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Effect of a flow chart on use of blood transfusions in primary total hip and knee replacement: prospective before and after study*

U Müller, A Exadaktylos, C Roeder, M Pisan, S Eggli, P Jüni

**Problem:** A suspected high proportion of unnecessary blood transfusions occur in patients undergoing total joint replacement.

**Design:** Prospective before and after study evaluating the impact of a one page flow chart aimed at reducing the use of blood transfusions.

**Setting:** Orthopaedic tertiary care centre in Winterthur, Switzerland. 208 patients underwent primary total joint replacement of hips or knees during the control period (October 1998 to September 1999) and 217 during the intervention period (October 1999 to September 2000).

**Key measures for improvement:** Proportion of patients receiving allogeneic blood transfusions.

**Strategies for change:** A simple one page flow chart, which summarised graphically the perioperative decision pathways for anaemic patients, was placed in all charts of patients undergoing total joint replacement and handed out to medical staff from 4 October 1999 onwards. The implementation of the flow chart focused on its endorsement by chief physicians and the development of a sense of "ownership" among physicians and nurses.

**Effects of change:** The proportion of patients receiving allogeneic blood decreased from 35.0% to 19.8% (absolute difference –15.2%, 95% confidence interval –23.3 to –7.0%). The percentage of patients donating and receiving autologous blood also decreased. This led to overall savings of about £23 000 ($42 470; €34 441) (£103.50 per patient undergoing total joint replacement). Differences became more pronounced after adjustment for confounding factors.

**Lessons learnt:** Allogeneic blood transfusions in primary hip and knee replacement surgery may be reduced cost effectively by implementing a one page flow chart. Five key elements may have contributed: simplicity; wide distribution; no requirement for major changes; endorsement by local opinion leaders; and development of a sense of ownership. These elements may be used in other contexts to achieve sustained change of clinical practice.

**KEY MEASURES FOR IMPROVEMENT**

Our primary outcome was the proportion of patients undergoing total joint replacement and receiving allogeneic blood transfusions perioperatively. Secondary outcomes were the proportion of patients receiving autologous transfusions and the proportion of patients receiving any transfusions (allogeneic and autologous combined).

**PROCESS OF GATHERING INFORMATION**

Between 1 October 1998 and 30 September 2000, all patients being considered for primary total hip or knee replacements underwent a standardised medical and orthopaedic assessment, including history taking, clinical examination, and routine laboratory tests.

Red blood cell counts were determined the day before surgery (day 1), within two hours after surgery (day 0), and on postoperative days 1, 3, and 7. If a blood transfusion was deemed necessary, the date of the transfusion, the type (allogeneic or autologous), and the number of blood units (450 ml erythrocyte concentrate per unit) were collected along with the reason for the transfusion.

**ANALYSIS**

We calculated that a sample size of 230 operations before and 230 after the implementation of the flow chart would allow us to detect a reduction in the incidence of patients receiving allogeneic blood transfusions from 45% to 30% with 90%
power at \( P = 0.05 \) (two sided), and estimated that a duration of 12 months before and after the intervention was needed to include this number of operations.

For comparisons between control and intervention periods we used maximum likelihood logistic regression models based on robust standard errors that allowed for correlation within patients undergoing several operations, also adjusting for 10 prespecified, potentially prognostic factors (see table 2). Using a combination of cumulative sum plots\(^8\) and bootstrapping\(^8\) (1000 replications), we performed a change point analysis to detect the point in time when significant changes occurred.

Using prespecified average estimates, we estimated benefits of the flow chart in terms of expenditure per donated unit for autologous blood (SFr240.90; £104; $191; €155), allogeneic blood (SFr165.20) and giving sets (SFr4.00), working hours of nurses (19 minutes), and laboratory assistants (4 minutes). We also calculated the expenditures for the development and implementation of the flow chart. Prespecified average costs of working hours were SFr45.20 per hour for physicians, SFr29.50 for nurses, and SFr27.35 for laboratory assistants.

**STRATEGY FOR CHANGE**

Within the framework of our unit’s internal measures of quality assurance, a team of three physicians and two nurses developed an algorithm aimed at reducing the use of allogeneic blood transfusions based on guidelines published by the American Association of Anesthesiology\(^7\) and the American College of Physicians.\(^8\) These guidelines were based on robust standard errors that allowed for correlation within patients undergoing several operations, also adjusting for 10 prespecified, potentially prognostic factors (see table 2). Using a combination of cumulative sum plots\(^8\) and bootstrapping\(^8\) (1000 replications), we performed a change point analysis to detect the point in time when significant changes occurred.

The flow chart was implemented on 4 October 1999. We presented the flow chart to nurses and physicians in orthopaedics, anaesthesiology, and intensive care during small group teaching sessions of about 15 minutes duration. We reviewed the current transfusion strategies, discussed the problem of inappropriate transfusions, and highlighted the objective of the algorithm—that is, to follow the criteria for red blood cell transfusions published by the American College of Physicians\(^8\) for patients undergoing total joint replacement. We pointed out that the local chief physicians endorsed the algorithm. To develop a sense of “owner-ship” among staff, we also emphasised that the flow chart had been developed locally and that the responsibility for medical decision making regarding blood transfusions was not exclusively with chief physicians and consultants but with the entire medical staff, including nurses and registrars. All medical members of staff were required to take the flow chart into account when they considered blood transfusions for total joint replacement, with identical criteria used for allogeneic and autologous blood. Apart from this, no changes to existing routines were deemed necessary. We distributed about 300 black and white copies of the flow chart, enclosed it in all charts of patients undergoing total hip or knee replacement and handed it out to physicians and nurses.

We obtained feedback twice during routine staff meetings three and nine months after the introduction of the flow chart in January and July 2000 (5 minutes’ duration). We determined the proportion of patients who had received allogeneic or autologous blood transfusion after total joint replacement and the number of allogeneic blood transfusions per operation.

For the entire duration of the study, all operative and perioperative procedures, including surgical techniques and types of implants, remained identical, with a tourniquet procedure\(^1\) in patients undergoing total knee replacement and intraoperative and postoperative cell salvage in all patients. Preoperative autologous blood donation could be offered to healthy patients aged less than 80 years. Allogeneic blood was administered only when autologous blood was unavailable.

**EFFECTS OF CHANGE**

All 421 patients undergoing 448 elective primary total hip or knee replacement operations between 1 October 1998 and 30 September 2000 were included. Of these, four patients had an operation before and after the implementation of the flow chart. Therefore, 208 patients underwent 224 unilateral and two bilateral operations before the implementation, and 217 patients had 218 unilateral and four bilateral operations after the implementation of the flow chart. Table 1 shows the characteristics of patients and operations. Preoperative haemoglobin concentrations and packed cell volumes were slightly higher during the intervention period and the average length of operation was shorter. Figure 2 (top) shows the proportion of patients receiving blood transfusions over time. The percentage of patients receiving blood transfusions decreased from 35.0% (79 operations) to 19.8% (44 operations) for allogeneic blood (difference –15.2%, 95% confidence interval –23.3 to –7.0%), from 28.8% (65 operations) to 5.9% (13 operations) for autologous blood (difference –22.9%, –29.6 to –16.2%) and from 59.7% (135 operations) to 24.8% (55 operations) for any blood transfusion (difference –35.0%, –43.5 to –26.4%). Change point analysis indicated that the proportion of patients receiving allogeneic transfusions significantly decreased around November 1999—that is, one

---

**Table 1** Comparison of characteristics of included patients and operations, according to time period. Values are means for continuous data and percentages for binary data. Differences between periods are shown along with 95% confidence intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>Intervention period</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of operations</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>1.4 (–0.5 to 3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (%)</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>6.2 (–3.3 to 15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of risk factors (%)</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>0.4 (–9.0 to 9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of operations</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative haemoglobin (g/l)</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>5.5 (2.7 to 8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative packed cell volume</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>2.1 (1.2 to 2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General anaesthesia (%)</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>–1.4 (–9.9 to 7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hip replacements (%)</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>–5.4 (–13.4 to 2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral surgery (%)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9 (–1.2 to 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (minutes)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>–7 (–13 to 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated intraoperative blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>–2 (–103 to 98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative haemoglobin (g/l)</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>–0.1 (–2.9 to 2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative packed cell volume</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>0.5 (–0.4 to 1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Guidelines for the perioperative management of volume status

- Perioperative and postoperative management of volume status does not simply refer to red blood cell transfusion, rather it needs a careful evaluation of the clinical signs and symptoms.
- Distinguish between hypovolaemic or hypervolaemic patients and normovolaemic patients with signs and symptoms of anaemia.
- Asymptomatic patients with normovolaemic anaemia do not need blood transfusions if the haemoglobin level is above 70 g/l.

1. Signs of hypervolaemia:
   - Peripheral oedemas
   - Dyspnoea
   - Pulmonary oedema
   - Signs of right heart failure, increased central venous pressure

2. Signs of hypovolaemia:
   - Thirst/dry mouth
   - Tachycardia
   - Hypotension
   - Orthostasis/dizziness
   - Cold extremities
   - Decreased central venous pressure

3. Signs of anaemia:
   - Coronary heart disease
   - Postoperative confusion
   - Angina pectoris
   - Tachypnoea
   - Tachycardia

4. Patients at risk:
   - Coronary heart disease
   - Relevant valvular heart disease
   - History of cerebrovascular insult
   - Severe pulmonary disease

---

**Indication for blood transfusion in normovolaemic patients:**
- Syncope
- Dyspnoea
- Tachycardia
- Hypotension
- Angina pectoris
- Transient ischaemic attack

**Relative indication for blood transfusion:**
- Fatigue and weakness in patients with anaemia

**No indication for blood transfusion:**
- General wellbeing in patients with anaemia
- Pneumothorax, subdural/subarachnoid/subcutaneous haematoma, intravascular volume with crystalloids/HES

**Note: differential diagnosis to hypo-/hypervolaemia or anaemia:**
- Heart failure
- Angina pectoris
- Pulmonary embolism
- Pneumonia
- Pleural effusion
- Infections of all kinds, etc

**Management in hypovolaemic patients:**
- 500-1000 ml Ringer’s lactate over 2-4h, in healthy patients
- 500 ml HES over 2-3h (don’t give HES in case of allergies)
- 2-4 l oxygen/min

---

**Figure 1** Flow chart for medical decision making related to perioperative and postoperative blood transfusions in total joint replacement (translated from German). HES = hydroxyethyl starch; Hb = haemoglobin.
month after the implementation of the flow chart (confidence interval for point in time, April 1999 to May 2000).

For both autologous and any transfusions, the respective estimate was October 1999 (September to October 1999).

The proportion of allogeneic transfusions that did not fulfill the criteria for red blood cell transfusions published by the American College of Physicians decreased from 43.8% to 15.9% (27.9%, 43.2 to 12.5%). The number of blood units used fell from 200 to 102 for allogeneic blood (difference –0.43 units per total joint replacement operation, 0.19 to 0.29) and from 127 to 25 for autologous blood (0.45 units per operation, 0.59 to 0.31). The flow chart also seemed to have influenced our staff’s advice to patients regarding autologous blood donation: the number of patients donating blood preoperatively decreased from 98 patients (47.1%) donating 245 units during the control period to 53 patients (24.4%) donating 107 units during the intervention period.

Table 2 presents results from logistic regression models: differences between periods became more pronounced after we adjusted for prognostic factors. Figure 3 indicates that it was a difference in early peri-operative management that resulted in the observed decrease of transfusions and a considerable increase in the proportion of patients with postoperative haemoglobin concentrations below 90 g/l during the intervention period. Two patients (one during each period) experienced an ischaemic event: both of them had uncomplicated angina pectoris that resolved promptly. No serious adverse events occurred, and the mean length of perioperative admission was similar (14.1 v 14.0 days, difference –0.1, 0.2 to 0.9).

Figure 2 (lower panel) contrasts the mean number of allogeneic blood units per operation with the overall number of allogeneic blood units used in this hospital. Exploratory change point analysis shows that the number of units used per operation significantly decreased around November 1999 (May 1999 to April 2000); no significant changes were found in the overall number of allogeneic blood units in the hospital. No clear time trends emerged nationally and regionally: the estimated number of allogeneic blood units used in Switzerland decreased from 310 000 in 1998 to 285 000 in 2000 (–8%), but increased from 52 700 to 60 600 units in the region of Zurich (plus/minus 15%).

The 300 copies of the flow chart cost SFr30.00. Physicians spent 29 hours and nurses spent 2 hours on the development of the chart, corresponding to salary costs equivalent to SFr1369.80. Teaching sessions led to an overall loss of 16.6 minutes of nurse working time (SFr8.20), 1.7 minutes laboratory assistant working time (SFr0.80), SFr72.00 for costs of allogeneic blood transfusions and SFr146.80 for autologous blood transfusions. Overall, this led to an average saving of SFr227.80 per operation and an overall reduction of the estimated annual expenditure for blood transfusions from SFr96 787.90 to SFr44 507.10.

LESSONS LEARNED AND NEXT STEPS

By implementing our flow chart, we were able to reduce the proportion of patients receiving blood after total joint replacement by more than 40%. Even more pronounced effects were observed for autologous blood transfusions, suggesting that appropriate clinical judgment may lead to more selective use of this approach, resulting in increased cost effectiveness. These benefits led to an approximate decrease in annual overall costs for transfusions related to total joint replacement of about SFr52 000 (£23 000) in our unit that was achieved at a cost of about SFr2700 (€1200).
Our project has potential limitations. Firstly, the before and after design may have led to confounding because of differences in prognostic factors between control and intervention period. For example, differences in preoperative haemoglobin concentrations (table 1) could have resulted in a decrease in the perceived need for transfusions irrespective of the flow chart. To address this, we adjusted estimates using multivariable logistic regression models and found our results to be robust. Secondly, the effect of being under study (also referred to as the Hawthorne effect) and, more specifically, the educational effects of audit and feedback, may have contributed to the observed changes, independently of the flow chart. Change point analyses, however, indicated a clear cut temporal association between implementation of the flow chart in October 1999 and the occurrence of significant changes (October/November 1999) that could be explained neither by the start of the audit (October 1998) nor by the feedback sessions (January and July 2000). Thirdly, the observed changes could reflect changes in the general attitude towards allogeneic blood transfusions, but routine data obtained for our hospital, the wider region of Zurich, and Switzerland showed no time trends that could explain our results. Fourthly, the success of our intervention could not be generalised if it related mainly to an excessively high proportion of patients receiving blood transfusions in our unit. This proportion, however, was well below the average observed internationally. Finally, we did not follow up patients after they were discharged from hospital, and we could have missed serious adverse events occurring late in the postoperative course. This is unlikely, considering that a landmark trial in critically ill patients by Hebert et al found that a restrictive transfusion strategy, similar to ours, tended to be superior to a liberal transfusion strategy, with a trend towards a decreased overall mortality in patients allocated to the restrictive strategy.

We conclude that the observed effect of implementing our simple flow chart on the perioperative management of anaemic patients after total joint replacement is likely to be real, and suggest that it was related to the following five key elements: the obvious simplicity of the flow chart with a graphical summary of decision pathways that could be followed easily by everybody, the wide distribution of the flow chart, no requirement for major changes to existing routines, the endorsement by local opinion leaders, and the development of a sense of ownership among physicians and nurses. The combination of these elements may be used in other contexts to achieve sustained change of clinical practice.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Verena Meier and Annelies Solenthaler for participating in development of the flow chart, reviewing the protocol, and assisting with data collection; Balz Isler, Andreas Kündig, and Markus Fopp for reviewing the flow chart; René Orler for reviewing the protocol and assisting with data collection; Matthias Egger, Björn Erik von Elm, Liz King, Arthur Marx, and Stephan Reichenbach for helpful comments; and the orthopaedics, anaesthesiology, and intensive care teams of the Kantonsspital Winterthur for actively participating in the project.

**Authors’ affiliations**

U Müller, C Roeder, Institute for Evaluative Research in Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Berne, 3001 Berne, Switzerland
A Exadaktylos, Department of Anaesthesiology, Inselspital, University of Berne, 3010 Berne
M Pisan, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kantonsspital Winterthur, 8400 Winterthur, Switzerland
S Eggli, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Berne, 3010 Berne
P Jüni, Departments of Social and Preventive Medicine and Rheumatology, University of Berne, 3012 Berne

Funding: PJ is a senior research fellow funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grants 32-66377.01 and 32-66378.01) and is also affiliated with the UK Medical Research Council’s Health Services Research Collaboration at the Department of Social Medicine at the University of Bristol.

Competing interests: None declared.

Contributors: UM conceived the study and had main responsibility for the development of protocol and flow chart, data collection, and management. AE participated in developing the flow chart and reviewed.
the protocol. CR and SE reviewed the protocol and participated in data preparation. MP participated in developing the flow chart and was responsible for data collection. PJ reviewed the protocol, had main responsibility for data preparation, analysis, and interpretation, and wrote the first draft of the paper. All investigators participated in data interpretation and contributed to the final draft. UM and PJ are the guarantors.

Ethical approval: None required.

REFERENCES

Effect of a flow chart on use of blood transfusions in primary total hip and knee replacement: prospective before and after study
U Müller, A Exadaktylos, C Roeder, M Pisan, S Eggli and P Jüni

Qual Saf Health Care 2004 13: 444-449
doi: 10.1136/qhc.13.6.444

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/13/6/444

These include:

References
This article cites 9 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:
http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/13/6/444#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Errata
An erratum has been published regarding this article. Please see next page or:
/content/14/1/72.2.full.pdf

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/
NOTICES

Healthcare: Is Europe Getting Better?
20th January 2005, Renaissance Hotel, Brussels.
For more information, please visit: www.Europeanvoice.com.

10th European Forum on Quality Improvement in Health Care
13th to the 15th April 2005, ExCel Conference Centre, London, UK.
To request a brochure or submit an abstract, please visit: http://www.quality.bmjgp.com.

Postgraduate Certificate in Evidence Based Health Care
20th September 2004 to the 30th September 2005, University of Oxford Department for Continuing Education, UK.
The Certificate is intended for health professionals who wish to obtain the skills that are needed to access existing evidence, disseminate evidence, and use evidence to promote informed decision making. The programme seeks to accommodate the busy and demanding work schedules of healthcare professionals and, therefore, is provided on a part-time basis. The Programme also offers flexibility in allowing candidates to choose empirical topics for study which are relevant to their professional needs and interests besides providing a core body of knowledge, skills and expertise in evidence-based health care thus allowing a progression from learning how to use evidence-based health care to how to establish it.
For further information or to receive application details contact: Health Sciences Portfolio, University of Oxford Department for Continuing Education; tel: +44 (0)1865 286941; email: cpdhealth@conted.ox.ac.uk; http://www.conted.ox.ac.uk.

Managing Change in Health Care
10th to the 14th January and 4th to the 8th April 2005, University of Oxford Department for Continuing Education, UK.
This 10 day course uses a combination of action learning and work based learning to teach principles of change management to health professionals. Four key steps to change management are used to resolve work based healthcare issues and contribute to clinical effectiveness programmes: conducting organisational analysis; working with multidisciplinary teams to identify appropriate strategies for change in your workplace; implementing plans for health care improvement; developing feasible methods for monitoring change; and overall evaluation of the process. The course provides:
- Flexible learning for professionals with limited time
- Ongoing tutor support for a work based project
- Transferable skills and materials applicable to varied change management projects
For further information or to receive application details contact: Health Sciences Portfolio, University of Oxford Department for Continuing Education; tel: +44 (0)1865 286941; email: cpdhealth@conted.ox.ac.uk; http://www.conted.ox.ac.uk.

Process Improvement Courses
Until end of March 2005, George Mason University.
For further information or to receive application details please go to: http://cqi.gmu.edu