EFFECTIVENESS BULLETIN

Effective health care: management of head and neck cancers

R Collins, A Flynn, A Melville, R Richardson, A Eastwood

The management of head and neck cancer, published in a recent issue of Effective Health Care, is reviewed.

This article is based on a recent issue of Effective Health Care which focused on the research evidence for the management of head and neck cancers. The bulletin is based on a series of systematic reviews carried out by the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination to inform service guidance for head and neck cancer. Full details are provided in “Improving Outcomes in Head and Neck Cancers – The Manual” published by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) and “Improving Outcomes in Head and Neck Cancers – The Research Evidence”.

NATURE OF THE EVIDENCE
In general the quality of research identified for many of the systematic review questions was poor. In many areas randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have not been undertaken and either only observational studies exist or no studies could be identified at all. The review questions addressed issues throughout the patient journey of cancer detection, diagnosis and treatment.

BACKGROUND
Head and neck cancer includes cancers originating in over 30 specific anatomical sites; the majority occur in the surface layers of the upper aerodigestive tract (UAT): the mouth, lip and tongue (oral cavity), the upper part of the throat and respiratory system (pharynx) and the voice box (larynx). These cancers are most common among smokers, especially those who also consume large quantities of alcohol. This article also covers cancer of the thyroid since the services required for thyroid cancer patients overlap with those required for head and neck cancer patients; however, UAT and thyroid cancers differ in many ways. There are over 8000 new cases and 2700 deaths from head and neck and thyroid cancer each year in England and Wales.

The prognosis for individual patients depends heavily on the site and stage of the disease at diagnosis and any pre-existing co-morbidities. Two year survival for stage I (early) UAT cancer is around 90%, whilst for stage IV (more extensive or metastatic disease) it is around 50%.

REFERRAL
Early detection of malignancy
Patients whose cancers are detected later require more extensive treatment and experience poorer outcomes. An interview based Brazilian study reported that 58% of delays were caused by patients delaying consultation with health professionals. Health professionals were solely responsible for delay in 13% of cases and responsible for at least some of the delay in a further 11% of cases. The study also found that patients who did not delay in reporting symptoms to a professional were approximately half as likely to present with late stage disease. There was a dramatic increase in hospital costs with more advanced disease.

An audit conducted in the West of Scotland region found that late stage presentation was common. Patients presenting with stage I disease fared significantly better than those presenting with all other stages in terms of disease-free interval after treatment. They also had a significantly better overall survival rate than patients presenting with stage III or IV disease.

Opportunistic screening
A UK study of the feasibility of systematic examination of the oral mucosa by dentists concluded that this could be carried out as part of a routine dental inspection. However, the study participants were a specific subpopulation and the study was not carried out in an NHS setting.

Rapid access to a specialist/dedicated diagnostic clinic
Persistent hoarseness
Among 271 patients who attended a direct referral, immediate access hoarse voice clinic, the average waiting time for attendance at the clinic was 3 weeks. Thirty nine patients (14%) were found to have suspicious lesions on indirect laryngoscopy at the clinic and were admitted for direct laryngoscopy and biopsy under anaesthetic. Ten of these 39 patients were diagnosed with cancer of the larynx, three were diagnosed with dysplasia, and one with cancer of the tongue. An audit of 34 patients referred to a pilot “husky voice” clinic with agreed referral protocols reported that 94% of patients were seen within 5 working days and five referrals (15%) were inappropriate. One case of cancer was reported.

Lump clinics
Two cohorts of 50 patients referred to a “lump and bump” clinic were compared in a controlled study. The mean time between the date of the referral letter and the outpatient appointment increased from 13.8 days to 25.4 days after implementation of the 2 week wait initiative.
The pick-up rate for malignancy was 4% in patients referred via the 2 week wait initiative and 14% for non-2 week wait “lump and bump” clinic patients.

An audit and re-audit of a “one-stop” head and neck lump clinic suggest that such clinics may enable the majority of patients to be managed during a single visit with an acceptable waiting time at the clinic and a high rate of accuracy of the immediate FNAC assessment.13 14

Of 100 patients referred to a direct referral clinic for a neck mass for which practitioners were advised of the appropriate route of referral, two referrals were considered to be inappropriate.15 Ten of 46 patients referred with enlarged lymph nodes were found to have squamous cell carcinoma and three had lymphoma. Four of 21 thyroid swellings and two of 17 salivary gland swellings were malignant.

STRUCTURE OF THE SERVICE
Role of multidisciplinary teams (MDTs)
Professionals seem to value the opportunities afforded by the MDT system.16 17 Where appropriate procedures are in place, good clinical outcomes may be promoted by management by a MDT.18

It is generally accepted that a wide range of specialist support services should be provided. Although there is consensus that speech and language therapists, dietitians, specialist nurses, and restorative dentists can play crucial roles, the limited evidence found in this area was of poor quality and definitive conclusions cannot be drawn.

Speech and language therapists (SLTs)
Three surveys of patients who had undergone a laryngectomy suggest that patients feel that they benefit from the opportunity to see SLTs both before and after surgery.19–21

Dietitians
Two studies suggest that interventions which may be advised by dietitians or nutritionists have beneficial effects on patients.22 23

Specialist nurses
A cost comparison study suggested cost benefits of sub-specialisation in nursing; however, no patient outcomes were measured.24

Volume and outcomes
Clinician volume
In patients who underwent thyroid surgical procedures between 1991 and 1996, the complication rate for non-unilateral subtotal thyroidecomy procedures was significantly higher in patients treated by surgeons who operated on fewer than 10 patients in the study period than in those whose surgeons operated on more than 100 patients in the study period.25 The length of hospital stay was lower in patients treated by surgeons who operated on more than 100 patients than any of the other volume categories for all surgical procedures; the difference was statistically significant in almost every category.

Hospital volume
In a retrospective survey of Scottish cancer registry data from 1984 to 1990, the effects of hospital volume were examined by comparing the largest provider with the remaining providers.26 The high volume provider saw 124 (60%) of the total 206 patients. The remaining 40% of patients were treated in 13 units. Patients treated at the high volume provider had a significantly lower risk of death and a significantly lower risk of recurrence. This association between treatment centre and survival or risk of recurrence was not apparent when the treatment strategy was included as a covariate. This suggests that the improvement in outcomes for patients seen in the high volume provider may, in part at least, be related to the choice of treatments offered.

DIAGNOSIS AND ASSESSMENT
Fine needle aspiration cytology in patients with symptoms suggestive of thyroid cancer
In a study investigating whether core needle biopsy (CNB) provides additional information over fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB), 29 patients diagnosed as having thyroid nodules on ultrasound had both index tests, as well as a definitive histological diagnosis after surgery.26 However, 13 CNBs did not provide sufficient material for diagnosis so the respective accuracy of the tests is only reported for 16 patients. The sensitivity of FNAB was 86% and the specificity was 100%. The sensitivity and specificity of CNB were both 100%. The fact that diagnostic conclusions could only be drawn from 55% of CNBs, in contrast to 100% of FNABs, suggests that the overall efficacy of FNAB is probably superior. However, the risk of false negatives needs to be acknowledged. Due to the small sample size, this study should be regarded as suggestive rather than definitive.

Effectiveness of imaging in assessing chest involvement
Studies of the diagnostic accuracy of imaging to assess chest involvement suggest that CT is more likely to identify a tumour when it is present (more sensitive), but that it is also slightly more likely to falsely identify a tumour that is not present (less specific) than plain film chest radiography.27 28 However, given the methodological limitations in the two identified studies, the results should be interpreted with caution.

Nutritional assessment
Early nutritional assessment and intervention, including PEG insertion, appears to be effective in preventing weight loss and dehydration in head and neck cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy.23 29

Dental assessment
Dental assessment before radiotherapy for head and neck cancer has been found to be beneficial. The majority of patients in four studies required dental treatment before the commencement of radiotherapy.30–33 Radiotherapy can cause adverse effects on the jaw, teeth and oral cavity, such that specialised dental management may also be required after treatment.34

Written information
Written information may be helpful to patients and improve recall rates although, when used in combination with other means of communication, the relative effects of the various different methods cannot be identified.35–38

Provision of a patient visitor
Patients who have undergone laryngectomy are keen to have contact with rehabilitated patients who have previously undergone the same procedures.16 17 19–21 36 The individual preferences of the patient should be taken into account in deciding the timing of the meeting.

TREATMENT
Primary treatment
The evidence suggests that concomitant chemotherapy increases survival and locoregional control for patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer,39 40 but no statistically significant survival benefit has been demonstrated with adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy41 42 (other than in a subgroup analysis which detected significantly improved
survival with neoadjuvant chemotherapy using 5-fluorouracil in combination with either cisplatin or carboplatin.41 The evidence relating to specific agents is contradictory with regard to the efficacy of platinum-based chemoradiation.10–41 The use of concomitant chemotherapy has been found to increase both acute and late radiation morbidity effects significantly.48

Patients with newly diagnosed locally advanced nasopharyngeal cancer treated with chemoradiation had significantly higher rates of disease-free survival than patients treated with radiotherapy alone.43 This was found for neoadjuvant chemotherapy, concurrent chemotherapy, and concurrent adjuvant chemotherapy.

In patients with newly diagnosed, locally advanced head and neck cancer, 2 year locoregional control rates were higher in patients receiving accelerated radiotherapy with a concomitant boost or hyperfractionated radiotherapy than those receiving accelerated radiotherapy with a split course or conventional treatment. However, overall survival was not statistically significantly different between the arms.54–62 Trials have reported increased acute toxicity with accelerated radiotherapy compared with conventional radiotherapy. Hyperfractionated radiotherapy has been associated with increased mucosal and skin toxicity compared with conventional radiotherapy. A reduction in the risk of death has been found in patients receiving hyperfractionated radiotherapy over those receiving conventional radiotherapy in one review;63 patients treated with hyperfractionation were less likely to respond incompletely to treatment or to suffer local recurrence.

### Adherence to specified radiotherapy time scales

Prolonged overall treatment time results in worse locoregional control and disease-free survival.48–50 In a re-analysis of data from the conventional arm of the CHART trial,63 patients receiving radiotherapy for 49 days or more (mean 51.5 days) had an increase in relative risk of death of 19% compared with patients receiving radiotherapy for 48 days or fewer (mean 45.7 days). When adjusted for factors collected before treatment, the increase in risk of death was 9%.

Compliance with the prescribed radiotherapy schedule is relatively poor with an agreement between overall and ideal treatment time in only around 30% of cases.51–53 A re-analysis of data from two RCTs identified a time factor of 0.8 Gy per day as the extra dose required to counteract the reduction in tumour control probability with extension of the treatment time.54 Despite the theoretical nature of the calculations, the results appear to be valid.

### Delays in initiating radiotherapy

Delays in the initiation of either primary radiotherapy or postoperative radiotherapy are associated with lower rates of local control in head and neck cancer.55

### Interventions for the prevention and/or treatment of mucositis

The evidence relating to head and neck cancer patients suggests that the use of prophylactic narrow spectrum antibiotics is beneficial for preventing severe oral mucositis in patients receiving radiotherapy.56 Amifostine was beneficial in patients undergoing chemoradiotherapy; it did not affect the antitumour effectiveness of radiotherapy and it rarely produced severe adverse effects. It was not found to significantly benefit head and neck cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy without concurrent chemotherapy.57

In cancer patients receiving chemotherapy or radiotherapy, ice chips and GM-CSF prevented mucositis and antibiotic paste or parstille and amifostine provided moderate and minimal benefits in preventing mucositis, respectively.58 Hydrolytic enzymes reduced the severity of mucositis.

### Interventions to reduce the severity of the symptoms of xerostomia

In three systematic reviews pilocarpine hydrochloride and amifostine were found to significantly reduce the effects of radiation induced xerostomia (dry mouth) in patients with head and neck cancer.59–60 Adverse effects of both agents were common but not severe or life threatening. However, these conclusions should be interpreted with caution owing to the lack of information about the methods used in two of the reviews and possible heterogeneity between included studies.

### Palliative treatment

Chemotherapy, given in combination with radiotherapy, may significantly improve disease-free survival in previously untreated patients being treated palliatively for oropharyngeal cancers (stages III–IV) in the short term. One relatively small study found that the complete response rate of patients treated by chemoradiotherapy was 39% higher than that of patients treated by radiotherapy alone.61 This difference was statistically significant (p = 0.015). However, more research is required to assess longer term benefits.

### REHABILITATION SERVICES

#### Speech and language therapy

The majority of studies identified relating to speech and language therapy were retrospective in nature, with potential biases.62–72 However, questionnaire based studies and case series reports support the view that speech and language therapy is beneficial in the rehabilitation of patients with head and neck cancer.

#### Osseointegrated implants

A number of studies have investigated the outcomes of dental and facial bone restoration using prostheses fixed to osseointegrated implants.73–81 It appears that the probability of osseointegration may be reduced in patients who have had radiotherapy,73–75 although the evidence was contradictory.76–77 Some evidence exists which suggests that hyperbaric oxygen therapy may ameliorate the effect of radiotherapy on osseointegration.74–76 Chemotherapy does not appear adversely to affect the success of osseointegration.79–81 While treatment related factors have an important influence on the outcome of osseointegration procedures, it appears that anatomical factors may play an especially important role. Grafted bone appears to be more likely to permit osseointegration than local bone and integration is more likely in the mandible than in the maxilla.77 However, in view of the potential biases in these studies, their conclusions may not be reliable.

### Patient support groups and education groups

Three surveys and a case series suggest that patients who are members of support groups derive benefits from their membership.16–18 A before and after study of patients who attended a monthly educational self-help group92 and a small qualitative study of patients who attended a 1 week psychoeducational programme a year after diagnosis93 reported that patients were satisfied with the group and learned new things.

### FOLLOW UP

#### Routine follow up

One systematic review was identified that assessed 37 different strategies for following up patients treated for UAT cancer.97 These strategies were either common to all forms of UAT cancer (n = 12) or specific to individual UAT cancers (n = 25). Every strategy recommended follow up clinic consultations for detecting deterioration in the status of the patient. Chest radiographs were recommended by 10 general strategies and 21 site-specific ones. Blood counts and
The results of the systematic reviews presented above were used to inform the production of the service guidance for head and neck cancer. The following key recommendations have been identified as priorities for the NHS, with the aim of improving outcomes in head and neck cancers:

- Services for patients with head and neck cancers should be commissioned at the Cancer Network level.
- Multidisciplinary teams with a wide range of specialists will be central to the service, each managing at least 100 new cases of upper aerodigestive tract cancer per annum. Specialised teams will deal with patients with thyroid cancer and those with rare conditions.
- Arrangements for referral at each stage of the patient’s cancer journey should be streamlined. Diagnostic clinics should be established for patients with neck lumps.
- A wide range of support services should be provided.
- Coordinated local support teams should be established to provide long-term support and rehabilitation for patients in the community.
- Multidisciplinary teams should take responsibility for ensuring that accurate and complete data on disease stage, management, and outcomes are recorded.
- Research into the effectiveness of management—including assessment, treatment, delivery of services and rehabilitation—urgently requires development and expansion.

### Implications

Liver function tests were the only other tests widely recommended. The review reported few details about its methods or the included evidence and did not present any evidence on the effectiveness of different follow-up strategies.

### Imaging in the detection of recurrence

Overall, the evidence reviewed showed both magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) to be more accurate than computed tomographic (CT) scanning, colour Doppler echography (CDE), and ultrasound in detecting recurrence in head and neck cancers.

A well-conducted diagnostic study compared CT scanning with MRI and found both to have relatively low sensitivity (44–67% for CT and 56% for MRI) and moderate specificity (64–69% for CT and 78–83% for MRI) in detecting tumour recurrence and in distinguishing recurrence from post-radiation therapy changes. However, MRI was found to be more accurate than CT (73–78% compared with 64%).

Two studies which compared CT with PET in patients with a suspected recurrence found that PET was more accurate than CT. A study which compared CT, PET and CDE found that the accuracy of CT and CDE were comparable at 79% each, but the accuracy of PET was superior at 86%. In a study which compared ultrasound with PET, PET was found to be more accurate than ultrasound (86% versus 64%).
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