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INTRODUCTION

Patient safety is a tough problem: tough in cultural, technical, clinical and psychological terms and because of its massive scale and heterogeneity.1 Healthcare encompasses many diverse areas: the mostly routine, but sometimes highly unpredictable and hazardous world of surgery, primary care and rehabilitation where patients have established relationships with their doctors over many years, the nature of probability in preventive medicine, some highly organised and unsafe processes such as the management of blood products and the inherently unpredictable, constantly changing environment of emergency medicine. In all these areas, error and harm to patients are real possibilities and frequent actualities, whereas the other two...
The themes that quickly emerged were the paucity of literature from low-resource settings, a ‘gap’ between theoretical and empirical benefits of technology and the need for research to drive implementation of best practice. The potential of technology to cause harm was also discussed, together with the need to avoid a ‘one size fits all’ mentality. While this analysis related to the technology, there was also consideration of the infrastructure in terms of appropriate use, initial operator training and timely maintenance. The importance of information capture with respect to patient safety events and identification of harm was a crucial area where technological assistance could be vital.

Case for medical technologies
Technology is a broad concept, defined as the practical application of knowledge especially in a particular area, and as a capability given by the practical application of knowledge. Although medical technology is traditionally defined as manufactured devices to diagnose, treat and monitor disease processes, a wider application is possible. It can include sterile processes, delivery of anaesthesia, stock provision and radio-therapeutic interventions.

This report takes a broad definition of technology that encompasses both the tools and crafts used to deliver healthcare. In practice, the emphasis is on technologies with an explicit clinical application, both diagnostic and therapeutic, including devices, software, equipment and procedures. Supporting technologies, such as a generator to power a hospital, are given less consideration. Safety is a broad concept, but the intention is to focus on the impact of technology on patient safety, rather than occupational or environmental safety.

In order to consider the areas of technology and patient safety in a systematic manner, it was first necessary to provide a framework upon which to hang our thoughts. With this in mind, clinical processes were divided into four broad areas, with the effect of different technologies discussed in each context. The four clinical divisions were diagnostic, therapeutic, preventive and information-based domains. It is of course possible that the same technology could be used across these areas; for example, ultrasound might be diagnostic or may guide a therapeutic intervention. Examples of each broad area are provided in box 2.

Various categorisations of medical technologies have been proposed that consider the utility of technology. When exploring the safety of technology in a global context there are two dimensions of interest (figure 1). First, the complexity of technology, and second, the spectrum from high-end to low-end technology. Complexity of the technology is often linked to cost, which is an important driver in both low- and high-resource settings. Complexity is also important in

---

**Box 1 WHO technology for patient safety programme**

An overview of the four working groups, with respect to the briefs for manuscript preparation.

**Introducing new technology safely**
To consider the role of regulation, health technology assessment, clinical engineering and training and surveillance in the introduction of new technologies. Particular consideration is given to the needs and challenges in developing world settings.

**Making existing technologies safer**
To consider reporting systems, human factors, home care, hospital care, primary care and quality assurance. Particular consideration is given to the needs and challenges in developing world settings.

**Information technology**
To consider interventions like electronic records, computerised physician order entry (CPOE), decision support and bar coding. The importance of IT for data collection systems to facilitate reporting and learning is also emphasised. Some of the barriers to the wider implementation of IT, work-arounds, cost and institutional resistance are considered.

**Simulation and training**
To consider the potential of simulation to improve patient safety through education and training, moving the learning curve from patient to simulator. The use of both low and high-tech simulation will be considered, as well as the potential for using simulation in research, design and testing.

---

**Box 2 Four clinical divisions used as a framework for considering new technologies**

**Diagnostic**
The advent of radiography and now CT, MRI and functional imaging allows unprecedented diagnostic access in ways that were unthinkable two decades ago.

**Therapeutic**
The use of minimally invasive therapies to treat disease has radically altered the patient experience for common procedures such as gallbladder removal and tubal sterilisation. This technology improved safety in many respects but also introduced new hazards; in some centres, bile duct and aortic injuries increased initially owing to the absence of adequate preparation, training and assessment prior to the routine use of the new technologies.

**Preventive**
The past two decades have witnessed widespread increases in screening practices for malignant and infectious diseases; these approaches offer the potential to reduce the burden of disease worldwide.

**Information-based**
Information technology (IT) is particularly critical to patient safety and the reduction of human error. It is the basis whereby information is provided to the healthcare worker through an automated system. In the past 50 years, there has been an explosion of medical knowledge. The sheer quantity of medical information, even within a single specialty, is often beyond the power of one person to comprehend. There is a sense in which modern medicine is a humanly impossible task; the human brain simply cannot cope with the volume and complexity of information needed to ensure patient safety. For instance, more than 600 drugs require adjustment of doses for multiple levels of renal dysfunction—an easy task for a computer but one that will inevitably be performed poorly by a person.
Introduction

We have pursued a pragmatic approach to considering the role of technology in supporting delivery of care by enacting real patient journeys in a variety of settings. The analysis proceeds in three stages:

- mapping the basic clinical process and technologies;
- examining potential errors, failures and vulnerabilities of the particular process;
- the role of technology in enhancing safety in the particular setting.

Example 1: emergency medical care on admission—acute chest pain (figure 2)

On arrival in an emergency department, the key initial technologies will be a medical record, oxygen saturation and blood pressure measuring devices, an electrocardiogram (ECG), and hospital pathways of care for acute chest pain.

Medical error at this stage can relate to diagnosis (eg, failure to interpret the ECG correctly), therapy (eg, thrombolysis with a background of recent haemorrhagic stroke, where primary angioplasty would be the preferred option), prevention (eg, missing a patient’s antiepileptic drugs at admission leading to a seizure and injury), or information management (eg, receipt of the result of a raised Troponin I level).

Error in diagnosis, therapeutic intervention, prevention and information management are reducible. Technologies that can reduce such error include a single electronic record shared between primary and secondary care and decision support systems for ECG interpretation. Pathways, guidelines and mnemonics like MONA (morphine, oxygen, nitrate and aspirin for acute cardiac chest pain) can help to standardise care and drive high quality and safety in provision of care. A classic example hails from acute life support, which is a standardised method of performing a life-saving procedure for the emergency team. Simulated scenarios can enable healthcare professionals to put their knowledge into practice, and provide accurate feedback through observation of their performance.

Example 2: elective surgical care in the operating room—laparoscopic cholecystectomy (figure 3)

A patient undergoing an invasive procedure in the operating room is primarily subject to anaesthetic and surgical technologies. The airway, breathing and circulation are under constant monitoring from electronic devices, providing the anaesthetist with a dynamic physiological ‘report card’ of their patient. Nonetheless, this is dependent upon a clear
understanding of the information provided to the anaesthetist. The surgeon is operating with specialised tools that could include high-energy devices such as diathermy and radiofrequency waves.

Medical error can relate to diagnosis (eg, failure to interpret the surgical anatomy correctly), therapy (eg, intraoperative damage to adjacent tissues leading to bleeding), prevention (eg, failure to administer prophylactic antibiotics) or information management (eg, loss of preoperative endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatogram (ERCP) images leading to an unnecessary intraoperative cholangiogram).

In analogy to the medical pathway for acute chest pain, surgical error is reducible for diagnosis, therapeutic intervention, prevention and result interpretation. Technologies that could reduce such error include intraoperative guidance, through the use of infrared cameras to delineate biliary structures, and protocol-driven processes within the operating room to ensure administration of appropriate medication (eg, antibiotics, insulin and flowtron boots). Particularly within the surgical technical domain, virtual reality simulation has been shown to shorten and flatten the learning curve for laparoscopic procedures in the operating room, with a reduction in time and error and concomitant enhancement in operator dexterity.14

Emerging themes and wider systems

We believe the patient pathway provides a useful method to consider the relationship between technology and patient safety. The intention is to provide a view to designing and introducing technologies to reduce error. The great power of this approach is that it is structured and simple with the ability to be specified to a particular process, setting, environment or cultural domain. Through the illustrative analyses, a number of initial themes have emerged:

- the importance of improved communication between domains of care;
- the value of pathways, checklists and guidelines to standardise care;
- the use of Computerised Physician Order Entry (CPOE) systems to help address medication administration errors;
- the use of electronic records to support decision-making and promote the exchange of information;
- the value of training outside the clinical environment, in an educational setting. Although beginning with the patient pathway ensures relevance and applicability to that clinical setting, it is only a starting-point in examining the role of technology.

Wider factors surrounding a patient, at local, national and international level, and how technology effects these also needs to be considered. Significantly, at local and national levels, IT systems for reporting and learning, when properly applied, have a vital role in reducing error. Wider issues, such as the role of regulation and standards for the safe introduction of both new and existing technologies, are not explicitly considered in this pathway.

DISCUSSION

Recent times have witnessed an explosion in the development and implementation of healthcare technologies. This has been paralleled by increases in healthcare expenditure, especially within high-resource regions. Associative factors of an ageing population, chronic diseases, sophisticated prescription medication and

Figure 2 Emergency medical care on admission—acute chest pain. The flow pathway is for a patient with acute chest pain, from hospital admission to discharge. At each stage of the pathway, technologies currently in use, potential healthcare errors and technologies to reduce error are defined.
advanced intervention technologies have fuelled extensive debate with regards to the future management of health services. Within the midst of this melee are reports that one in 10 patients suffers harm during their medical journey. Nonetheless, there is now a concerted effort to enhance levels of patient safety. Patient safety is a recognised part of modern medicine, as witnessed by the development of national patient safety agencies and initiatives that have the potential to influence national and international health policy. The WHO Patient Safety programme aims to coordinate, disseminate and accelerate improvements in patient safety worldwide. One part of the programme was to investigate the role of technology with regard to patient safety.

Within the realm of technology and its application to safety, there is often reference to other high-reliability organisations such as nuclear, oil and the military. These industries use high-end technologies to prevent, diagnose and treat errors. However, integral to their approach is the need to involve the end user at appropriate points within their product pathway. The commonly cited Crew Resource Management (CRM) literature stems from the aviation industry and has enhanced safety through the creation of a culture of safe practice with nothing left to chance. Recently, there have been proposals to translate such gains into medicine, including the development of checklists, enhanced communication, the use of simulation, the importance of debriefing and redesign of connector parts.

Medicine is an extremely disparate faculty, however, ranging from routine processes of care in a hospital ward, through to mass casualties in a field environment. The application of technology to enhance patient safety must thus be considered with respect to the clinical process, currently employed technologies, appropriate equipment maintenance and the environment of care. It is against this background that this overview paper has developed a simple, structured and generic framework for consideration of the relationship between technology and patient safety. The intention is that this framework could be applied to a number of other clinical pathways, in a variety of settings. With this approach, it may be possible not only to enhance such clinical pathways but also to provide an overview of where technology can have its biggest gains with regards to patient safety. For example, the role of handover is extremely important across a number of clinical pathways, and as such can be demonstrated and applied appropriately. The pathway approach can also illustrate the transference of error across clinical areas, for example, from ward to operating theatre.

The findings outlined in the following four manuscripts have sought to provide a snapshot of the current status and future possibilities with respect to technology and patient safety. The articles are intended not to provide an exhaustive review of the literature but rather to provide a starting-point for future empirical work. It is though with excitement that we proceed along this journey in order to enhance patient safety in the digital age.
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