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This is the first of an occasional series of articles in which different health professionals discuss the contribution of their particular specialty to the quality of patient care.

In the recent debate on the role of the specialty of public health medicine the stimulation and support of quality improvement in patient care services have received insufficient attention. The disciplines which underpin the practice of public health, particularly epidemiology, statistics, medical informatics, and the management sciences, are highly relevant to improving health care quality. Public health doctors, who represent one of the disciplines within this broad range of expertise, have a vital and legitimate role in contributing to provider led improvement of quality.

The role of the specialty in population health status, health needs, and health care needs assessment has been debated. In this paper we shall discuss the potential influence of the specialty in assessing and improving the quality of health care provision. To an extent this is a false distinction: a provider may deliver coronary artery bypass grafts of the highest quality, but if this treatment is not accessible to people who would benefit then the quality of care to the community is suboptimal. However, in this article we concentrate primarily on the contribution of public health to the quality of provider care.

Public health's recent history

"Public health is the science and art of preventing disease, prolonging life and promoting health through organised efforts of society." Acheson Report

In 1972 the specialty of community medicine, now public health medicine, was established from three separate groups: the medical officers of health (whose responsibilities included a range of preventive health services at local authority level), senior administrative medical officers, and academics in social medicine and epidemiology, thus merging disease prevention, medical management, and epidemiology. Therefore, before 1972 the role of public health doctors in developing high quality services was explicit: they were closely involved in the direct management of hospital and preventive services. One of the principal aims of creating the specialty was to bring an epidemiological perspective to the NHS to improve its effectiveness and efficiency.

A major review by the Acheson Committee, followed by the NHS review and the enactment of the NHS and Community Care Act, have clarified the role of public health as focusing on the measurement of the health status of populations, the promotion of health, the prevention of disease, and the analysis and evaluation of health services. The NHS reforms, particularly the purchaser-provider separation, have emphasised the importance of needs assessment in health and health care and of health promotion and disease prevention at the population level as the primary objectives of health authority public health doctors. The white paper The Health of the Nation further commits the government, health services, and others to a new focus for improving the population's health. That improvement is the primary goal of the specialty.

Improving the quality of health care

"Quality in health care: a commodity that is damaged if any changes whatsoever are made in the structure or financing of the current system of medical practice." CAPER, 1988

Many attempts have been made to define quality in health care succinctly, including the ironic definition above. This may ultimately be a futile activity: it is not so much a definition that is needed but a better understanding of the components of health care quality and of the methods to assess and improve that quality, encompassing ways of obtaining valid perspectives, including those of the public and patients.

For our purpose it is important to distinguish between quality of health (encompassing health status assessment) and quality of health care (encompassing the structures, processes, and outcomes of health care). The application of health, and health care needs assessment bridges these two areas. The ultimate delivery of health care quality rests at the individual patient-service interface, but assessment and improvement of quality depends as much on analysis of the delivery and outcomes of health care for groups of patients (medical care epidemiology) as on analysis of the care of individuals.

Quality of health care can be assessed in several ways – for instance, in terms of the structure, process, and outcome of care; the technical interpersonal, and amenity elements; and the client, professional, and management perspective. However, the subdivision is less important than the approaches used to
evaluate and enhance quality. In health care
these range from peer review and audit,
through health services evaluation and
research, to the application of quality
accreditation systems (such as the British
Standard BS5750\textsuperscript{44} and organisational
audit\textsuperscript{25}), and ultimately to total quality
management within entire organisations.\textsuperscript{16}
Their effectiveness in producing demonstrable
improvement in patient care requires data
collection and analysis, management of
change, and re-evaluation (the quality
assurance cycle). Thus effective improvement
will require the application of a wide range
of skills and methods which are as yet
unfamiliar to many health care providers.
However, public health training provides
many of these skills and an understanding of
these methods.

**Public health and quality of care**

“[Public health] training in epidemiology
and statistics provides a valuable
resource for clinical colleagues under-
taking medical audit.”

*The Quality of Medical Care*\textsuperscript{47}

Public health doctors combine training in
clinical medicine with a range of other
subjects, including epidemiology, research and
evaluation methods, statistics, health service
information, management and organisational
theory, social sciences, and health service
policy. Aligned with these skills is a philosophy
and perspective concerned with analysing the
determinants of health and disease within
populations and applying that knowledge to
improve the public’s health.\textsuperscript{18} Thus public
health doctors study the incidence and preva-
dence of disease (disease epidemiology); assess
health and health care needs; and evaluate
the quality and effectiveness of health services for
populations and groups of patients (medical
care epidemiology). Furthermore, public
health doctors work closely with others with
specialist knowledge, most commonly social
scientists and statisticians.

However, appropriate skills and training are
not sufficient to justify a major role for public
health medicine in provider led quality of care.
That requires demonstration of valuable past
contributions and, more importantly, evidence
that such involvement would help to improve
the health of the population. Public health’s
past contributions have included analysis
and understanding of variations in medical
practice and in mortality after common
surgical procedures\textsuperscript{19,20}; studies of variation
in the use of investigative tests and the effects of
guidelines in changing practice\textsuperscript{21,22}; developing
the infrastructure to support surgical audit\textsuperscript{23,24};
assessing readmission rates\textsuperscript{25,26}; waiting list
analysis\textsuperscript{27,28}; evaluating the use of occurrence
screening as a method of audit\textsuperscript{29}; evaluating
audit methods\textsuperscript{30,31}; and assessing medical
audit publications.\textsuperscript{32} Much of this work has
entailed close collaboration between public
health doctors and other colleagues. Thus,
public health doctors have a firm base, not
only scientifically and technically but also in
conceptualising and championing the issues.\textsuperscript{34-37}

Public health doctors have also been active
in improving the quality of their own work.
The quality of the work of the specialty needs
to be audited and mechanisms introduced to
ensure continual improvement.\textsuperscript{38} To contri-
bute effectively to improving the quality of
patient care it is important that public health
doctors develop experience of the methods
for achieving improvement and show commit-
tment to evaluating their own work. Though
cyclical audit\textsuperscript{39} is one model for improvement
of quality in public health practice, the
features of that practice, particularly those of
multidisciplinary work and senior manage-
ment functions within purchasing authorities,
lead us to believe that over time the concepts
and techniques of total quality management
or, to use Don Berwick’s preferred phrase,
continuous quality improvement, will be more
fruitful.\textsuperscript{40-42} Though published evidence of
audit in public health medicine is limited,\textsuperscript{43}
considerable activity aimed at improving
quality of public health practice through audit
and quality improvement programmes is under
way.\textsuperscript{44-48}

Do such contributions improve the health of
the population? Many factors influence health,
ranging from individual lifestyle, poverty,
housing, employment, and environmental
pollution through to health services, including
those for health promotion, disease preven-
tion, care, cure, and rehabilitation.

Major changes in the population’s health
have followed changes in economic prosperity,
diet, sanitation, and housing.\textsuperscript{49} Current chal-
enges to public health also include economic,
environmental, and lifestyle factors such as
poverty, housing, pollution, unemployment,
smoking, sexual health, and diet. However,
recognition that the environment in its
broader sense, rather than health services, is
the prime determinant of health does not
diminish the importance of health service
provision in contributing to improved health
of individuals and the population. Public
health doctors have an interest in ensuring that
health services offer treatment and inter-
ventions of proven benefit and that these are
applied to maximum benefit to the health of
the population. Effective provider led quality
improvement programmes will be a necessary
part of achieving this potential. Public health
doctors thus have a role in applying their skills
to support quality improvement as part of the
goal of improving the health of the
population.

Public health doctors thus have both a
proven record and a justification for involve-
ment in improving quality of health care.

**Potential contributions of public health
doctors**

Public health doctors can contribute to
improving provider based quality of care in
several ways, as follows.

- Indirect contributions as a purchaser of
services through contractual specification
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- Direct contribution through collaborative work with providers
- Enabling the views of the public and patients to be articulated and responded to (both purchaser and provider elements)
- Research into, and evaluation of, quality improvement methods
- Education and training.

INDIRECT CONTRIBUTION: THE PURCHASING ROLE
Public health doctors help to ensure that services purchased meet the identified health needs and health care needs of the local population. The specialty therefore has a key role in assessing the potential of services purchased for, and developed on behalf of, local populations and in influencing purchasing decisions so that resources are targeted towards areas of demonstrated value and away from areas of unproven efficiency. However, purchasing the "right" portfolio of services does not guarantee their quality. Purchasers must also consider the quality of the services, for the actual outcomes may differ from the potential outcomes (effectiveness rather than efficacy).

Clearly, the purchaser/provider split offers an opportunity to define more distinctly the strategic role of health authorities by separating it from the operational management of services and hence contributes to a more explicit approach to the commissioning and delivery of services. However, there is concern that the relationship between purchaser and provider may become unnecessarily adversarial. Health authorities have a duty to review the quality of care provided for their population and to ensure that effective quality improvement programmes are in place. Providers will need to demonstrate this. Some of the present sensitivities at the purchaser-provider interface, including the concerns about the confidentiality of medical audit, may inhibit the development of shared understanding. This in itself could undermine or compromise quality of care. A common understanding of the potential of quality improvement methods, including those of total quality management, and their application is essential between purchaser and provider.

Herein lies an important task for public health doctors, that of bridging the interests of purchasers and providers for the better care of the population. They should contribute to the specification of contracts, including the quality components, and to the interpretation of the results of provider based quality improvement programmes on behalf of the purchaser. They can also help to ensure that the demands made on providers for information on quality through the contracting process are appropriate and realistic. Finally, they can contribute to implementing required changes through the policy making process of purchasing organisations.

DIRECT CONTRIBUTION: PROVIDER BASED AUDIT AND QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
We believe that public health doctors can also contribute directly to the development of medical audit and, increasingly, interprofessional audit and total quality management programmes at the provider level. For example, they could usefully contribute to selection of priority topics for quality improvement; giving advice on appropriate methods, study design, and analysis; enhancing understanding of the availability, quality, and uses of NHS information; disseminating and communicating the findings of studies; and incorporating important findings into policy to maximise their benefit.

Public health doctors working alongside clinical colleagues, for instance, as members of medical audit committees, can enhance the application and understanding of audit, including its potential and its constraints. In particular, their population focus and emphasis on the analysis of aggregated data are necessary counterpoints to the individual patient based, peer review approach. Skills in managing change and experience in multi-disciplinary working of public health doctors can support the development of effective interprofessional audit and quality improvement. Contributions in the recent past reveal the potential benefits of further participation of the specialty in audit and quality improvement at provider level.

VIEWS OF PATIENTS AND PUBLIC
 "The health of the people is the concern of the people themselves."
LENNIN

The health service, previously criticised for its lack of responsiveness to the views, wishes, and expectations of the public and patients, is changing as a result of wider recognition that quality improvement requires the perspective of service users and that public services should be more accountable. The new population focus of district health authorities and family health services authorities emphasises their role, with that of others such as community health councils, in articulating the views of the public.

For this we need methods of obtaining the unbiased views of the public and patients and mechanisms for responding to these views by creating change. Public health has a responsibility to focus the attention of providers and purchasers on users' views and to ensure that valid methods are used to collect them. Public health doctors (and colleagues within public health departments) are skilled in planning, analysing, and interpreting surveys of representative populations, which are the principal tools for incorporating users' perspectives into both purchasing decisions and quality improvement programmes.

RESEARCH INTO HEALTH CARE QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

"The science of evaluation is an area of neglect between biomedical research and clinical practice."
PECKHAM
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We should ask challenging questions of audit and quality improvement. Are audit or quality improvement projects and programmes effective in producing desired change? What are the features that help to define effective approaches to audit and how can these be enhanced to increase the cost effectiveness of programmes? What are the most effective mechanisms for stimulating quality improvement? These are important questions in the public health tradition. Thus public health doctors have another role, in evaluating or auditing quality improvement methods.

We therefore welcome the recent announcement of an NHS research and development strategy, including the appointments of national and regional directors, and the intention to identify priorities for research and to apply and disseminate the findings. This will inevitably lead to a greater emphasis on research into methods for assessing quality improvement and into outcomes of care, where the specialty has a distinct contribution to make.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING

"Education must have an end in view, for it is not an end in itself."

SYRIL MARSHALL

As the disciplines of audit, quality improvement, and health service evaluation develop, the need will increase for clinicians, managers, and others to learn new skills. Academic departments of public health, the usual medical school base for epidemiologists, medical statisticians, and social scientists working in health care, are well placed to meet these needs in management and professional education programmes. Without such support the availability and application of necessary skills will continue to be limited and the aspirations for systematic quality improvement will fail to be realised, not because of shortcomings of the methods themselves but through scarcity of knowledge and skills.

Conclusions

There are considerable potential benefits for public health doctors; clinical and managerial colleagues; and, ultimately, for the public’s health, in the active involvement of the specialty of public health medicine in health care quality assessment and improvement programmes. The benefits to the specialty lie in the potential to influence services to have a greater impact on the health of the population. The benefits to other professional groups lie in the opportunity to add an epidemiological and social science perspective to enhance the design, analysis, interpretation, and application of work on health care quality. The benefits to purchasers lie in the potential for better informed purchasing of services of known quality, which are acceptable to the public, and which are purchased from providers whose quality improvement programmes have been imbued with both clinical and population perspectives.

As the specialty struggle with the immense agenda of the NHS reforms, coming to terms with the increased responsibility for health status and health needs assessment, there is a risk that other valuable contributions within the NHS might be neglected. One such area is the considerable potential to influence the quality of care for patients. Though much of the present reform within health care in the United Kingdom may seem to be based on structural change, two central features will continue long beyond the present reconfiguration: the emphasis on the population’s health and the increasing profile of quality improvement. Public health has key roles in both; it should be concerned not only with the health and health care needs of populations but with the quality of care provided to the population. It would be sad to look back in 10 years and conclude that the specialty missed the opportunities for improving quality of care.

We thank Drs Alan Mordue and Martin White for their valuable comments during the writing of this paper.
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