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Abstract

Objective—To develop a valid and reliable outcome measure for patients with varicose veins. Design—Postal questionnaire survey of patients with varicose veins. Setting—Surgical outpatient departments and training general practices in Grampian region. Subjects—373 patients, 287 of whom had just been referred to hospital for their varicose veins and 86 who had just consulted a general practitioner for this condition and, for comparison, a random sample of 300 members of the general population. Main measures—Content validity, internal consistency, and criterion validity. Results—281 (76%) patients (mean age 45.8; 76% female) and 542 (60%) of the general population (mean age 47.9; 54% female) responded. The questionnaire had good internal consistency as measured by item-total correlations. Factor analysis identified four important health factors: pain and dysfunction, cosmetic appearance, extent of varicosity and complications. The validity of the questionnaire was demonstrated by a high correlation with the SF-36 health profile, which is a general measure of patients' health. The perceived health of patients with varicose veins, as measured by the SF-36, was significantly lower than that of the sample of the general population adjusted for age and a lower proportion of women. Conclusion—A clinically derived questionnaire can provide a valid and reliable tool to assess the perceived health of patients with varicose veins. Implications—The questionnaire may be used to justify surgical treatment of varicose veins.

Introduction

Varicose veins are common, with an estimated prevalence of about 8% in men and 16% in women.1 Their treatment imposes substantial costs on the NHS, whether measured by operations and bed days2 or financially.1,4 At a time when health care systems in the United Kingdom and abroad are trying to contain the rising costs of health care, clinicians are increasingly required to show that the care they deliver is effective and efficient. In the United States, for example, the state of Oregon has attempted to introduce a system of health care rationing that gives priority to those medical conditions for which treatment has proven benefit.5 In the United Kingdom the government has introduced managed competition into the NHS.6 In this internal market health authorities and fund-holding practices are expected to purchase cost effective care from competing provider units.

To achieve this purchasers will need valid and reliable measures of patient outcome. There are few such measures for varicose veins, which may help to explain why there is no consensus about the relative merit of the two main forms of treatment — namely, injection sclerotherapy and surgery.7-11 Consequently, treatment for this condition has been given a low priority by some health authorities; North East Thames Regional Health Authority, for example, has advised its districts to curtail treatment for varicose veins when there is no “overriding clinical need.”12

Health profiles are general measures that assess health status across a range of separate dimensions like physical function, social function, mental health, and pain. The SF-36 health profile,13 for example, has been used widely in the United States and increasingly in the United Kingdom.14 The reliability and validity of this 36 item questionnaire in a patient population has been confirmed by recent studies in the United States15,16 and in a general practice population in the United Kingdom.14 The developers of the profile recommend using measures of health status specific to the condition in conjunction with general measures. A specific measure of health for varicose veins that asks specific questions about symptoms and signs and assesses the specific effect of varicose veins on health has potential advantages over more general measures.

(1) It may be more sensitive to small yet clinically significant changes in health status.

(2) It may have greater power to discriminate between patients whose health is only mildly affected by their varicose veins.

(3) It will contain questions that clinicians ask routinely when taking a clinical history (for example, “Does the appearance of your varicose veins cause you concern?” “How much ankle swelling have you had?”) and will therefore be acceptable to them.

(4) The questions will be familiar to patients,
and the resulting questionnaire will present them with few difficulties.

The development of a clinically based specific outcome measure for patients with varicose veins therefore has much to contribute to the debate about resource allocation within the NHS. This paper explores the extent to which such a measure reflects patients’ perceptions of their health. We describe the development of the questionnaire and compare the responses with those to the SF-36 health profile. The full effect of varicose veins on patient wellbeing was assessed by comparing the health status of a sample of patients with varicose veins with that of a random sample of the general population.

**Methods**

**Developing the questionnaire**

An outcome measure should be both valid and reliable. Validity is the extent to which a questionnaire measures what is intended.\(^\text{17}\) Reliability is the extent to which measurements on the same individual are similar under different circumstances.\(^\text{18}\) In this paper, rather than measure the same individual under different circumstances, we assessed reliability through internal consistency — that is, the extent to which similar questions give consistent responses.\(^\text{17}\)

In developing the questionnaire we followed five steps recommended by Streiner and Norman.\(^\text{17}\)

1. **Devising and scoring the questions**

The questionnaire (see appendix) was based on questions commonly used in the clinical assessment of patients with varicose veins, as indicated by a review of the clinical literature. The content validity of the questionnaire, which assesses whether the selected questions are representative of the domain of all relevant questions,\(^\text{17}\) was confirmed by two consultant surgeons. The two surgeons then scored the questionnaire independently; each allocated a score of zero to all response categories considered normal and scores to all other categories in proportion to their perceived contribution to severity.

The two sets of scores were then averaged and rescaled to yield total scores between zero and 100; zero is reserved for patients with no evidence of varicose veins and 100 for patients ticking the most severe response to each question (for example, “In the last two weeks my varicose veins caused me pain or ache for more than 10 days; severe ankle swelling; and to wear support stockings every day”). If a question is omitted by a patient the total score is calculated after removing the score for that question from the denominator. This ensures that patients can still score between zero and 100 if they omit questions that they consider inappropriate.

2. **Pretesting the questions**

To check for comprehensibility and lack of ambiguity we sent the resulting questionnaire to a small sample of patients with varicose veins, who were subsequently interviewed.

3. **Testing the questions**

Between March and June 1991 patients with varicose veins were identified in two ways: from all referral letters to the surgical outpatient departments in Grampian\(^\text{19}\) and by general practitioners from four large training practices in Grampian, whose patients were included only if the general practitioner did not refer them to a specialist during the recruitment period of the study. A questionnaire including the clinical questions, an anglicised version of the SF-36 health profile (A M Coulter, personal communication), and relevant sociodemographic questions was sent to all patients in general practice within two weeks of their initial consultation and to the referred patients before their first outpatient appointment. All patients not wishing to take part in the study were asked to return their questionnaires blank. Reminders were sent to non-responders after two weeks and again after four weeks.

Questions were considered for rejection if more than 80% of patients gave the same response because such questions are not sensitive enough to discriminate between different degrees of severity.\(^\text{17}\)

4. **Assessing internal consistency**

We used two measures of internal consistency: Cronbach’s alpha\(^\text{20}\) (which measures the correlation between items — that is, questions) and item-total correlations (which compare scores for individual questions with the total weighted score). Questions yielding item-total correlations below 0-2 were considered for rejection.\(^\text{20}\)

However, questions that measure different aspects of health cannot be expected to correlate well with the total score. Factor analysis\(^\text{21}\) was therefore used to identify separate health “factors” within the questionnaire. A factor was considered important if its “eigenvalue” (a statistical measure of its power to explain variation between patients) exceeded 1-2; and if it had face validity — that is, if it seemed “at face value” to be measuring a clinically recognisable aspect of patients’ health. Questions that did not contribute significantly to any of these important factors were considered for rejection.

5. **Finalising the questionnaire for clinical use**

Questions were included in the definitive questionnaire if they satisfied at least two of the following three criteria: all response categories ticked by less than 80% of patients; a significant coefficient within one of the important factors; and an item-total or relevant item-factor correlation above 0-2. Finally we checked that Cronbach’s alpha for the resulting questionnaire exceeded 0-5 — the criterion that needs to be satisfied when comparing groups of patients.\(^\text{21}\)

**Validating the questionnaire**

Criterion validity is the extent to which a new measure is correlated with established measures of the concept under study.\(^\text{17}\) We assessed the criterion validity of the definitive
questionnaire as a measure of health by comparing it with the SF-36 health profile, which measures health across three dimensions and includes eight separate scales (table 1). The responses to the questions on each scale are summed and rescaled to yield scores between zero and 100; zero is reserved for patients ticking the most severe response to each question and 100 for patients ticking the best possible response to each question (that is, the opposite of the severity score for varicose veins).

Patients' scores on the definitive questionnaire were compared with their scores on each of the eight scales within the SF-36 health profile. Stepwise multiple regression was then used to investigate the relation between the varicose veins score and all the SF-36 scales simultaneously. This analysis also included six sociodemographic variables: age, sex, marital status, age on completing education, home ownership, and employment status and an additional SF-36 variable measuring change in health over the past year.

COMPARISON WITH THE GENERAL POPULATION A random sample of 900 members of the general population selected from the electoral registers for Aberdeen served as a comparison group. They were sent a similar questionnaire, including the SF-36 health profile and sociodemographic questions but without the clinical questions relating to varicose veins. Their responses were adjusted to yield the same age-sex distribution as the varicose vein sample before comparison by weighted t tests.

Results
DEVELOPING THE QUESTIONNAIRE A pilot survey of 12 patients confirmed that the questionnaire was easy to complete, and follow up interviews confirmed that the questions were well understood. Although some patients had difficulty in completing the diagram in question 1 (see appendix), most were able to complete it without apparent difficulty. We therefore decided to include this question unaltered in the main survey and to determine empirically whether it should be discarded from the definitive questionnaire. Otherwise, only minor changes in wording were made.

In the main survey 373 patients were identified, 287 from referral letters and 86 by their general practitioners. In all, 34 patients returned blank questionnaires and 53 failed to respond; five questionnaires could not be delivered by the postal system. Thus 281 patients responded, yielding a response rate of 76%. Their mean age was 45-8 years (range 19–83 years); 212 (76%) were female (the same proportion as in the original sample) and 215 (76%) had just been referred to a surgical outpatient clinic.

The response frequencies in the main survey (table 2) show that questions 4 ("On how many days did you take pain killing tablets for your varicose veins?"), 7 ("Do you take 'water tablets' for ankle swelling?"), 10 ("Do you have a rash or eczema in the area of your ankle?"), and 11 ("Do you have a skin ulcer associated with your varicose veins?") were answered negatively by more than 80% of respondents and therefore provided little discrimination. Only question 3 ("At what time of the day were your varicose veins usually most painful or aching?") yielded an item-total correlation of less than 0.2 (table 2).

Of the final six columns of table 2, the first four represent important health factors. A consultant surgeon (ZHK) confirmed that each corresponds to a clinically recognisable aspect of health – namely, pain and dysfunction (F1), cosmetic appearance (F2), extent of varicosity (F3), and complications

### Table 1 Correlation between severity score for varicose veins and eight scales of SF-36 health profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF-36 dimensions and scales</th>
<th>Correlation with varicose vein score*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional status:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role limitations attributed to physical problems</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role limitations attributed to emotional problems</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellbeing:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and fatigue</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall evaluation of health: General health perception</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All correlations significant at the 0.1% level.

### Table 2 Selection of questions for outcome questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question No</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Maximum response frequency (%)</th>
<th>Item-total correlation</th>
<th>Significant factor coefficients (after rotation*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Distribution of veins</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Duration of pain</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>Time of day with worst pain</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Duration of analgesia</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Degree of ankle swelling</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Use of support stockings</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>Use of diuretics</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Extent of itching</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Any discolouration?</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rash or eczema?</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Skin ulcer?</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Degree of concern at appearance</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Influence on choice of clothes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Interference with work, etc</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Interference with leisure</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eigenvalue (power to explain variation between patients): 3.26 1.76 1.58 1.16 1.08 1.02

*Question failed to meet two of the three criteria and is therefore discarded from the definitive outcome questionnaire.

†Question failed to meet relevant criterion for inclusion in outcome questionnaire.
These variables account for 32% of the variation in the varicose vein scores ($R^2=0.317$).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients with varicose veins</th>
<th>General population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role limitations (physical problems)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role limitations (emotional problems)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy/fatigue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health perceptions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differences between groups for role limitations (physical and emotional problems) and pain significant at 1% for energy/fatigue and general health perceptions at 5% (weighted t test)

Fig 2 Mean scores of eight scales of SF-36 health profile in patients with varicose veins (n=281) and random sample of general population (n=342) adjusted for age and sex. Bars are 95% confidence intervals

signs, thus describing only limited aspects of patients' health. If the SF-36 health profile genuinely reflects patients' perceptions of health, however, our results show a high level of agreement between the perceptions of doctors and patients.

Varicose veins are often considered a relatively unimportant condition, to the extent that one health authority has considered curtailing treatment. This study showed that there are significant differences in health between patients with varicose veins and the general population. By assessing these patients after treatment, we plan to use the SF-36 health profile and our clinical questionnaire in combination to estimate the extent of any improvement in health. Because the SF-36 health profile is a general measure the information it provides could be used to throw light on the cost effective allocation of resources between treatments and across conditions.

Because of its restricted focus, however, our clinically based questionnaire may be more sensitive in showing change over time and in discriminating between patients with mild symptoms. Thus it may be more useful in managing individual patients. We shall address these issues by following up our sample of patients at six months and one year.

In conclusion, a clinically based questionnaire such as we have described, together with a general measure of health such as the SF-36 health profile, can provide a valid and reliable tool to assess the perceived health of patients presenting with varicose veins. This may yet enable surgeons who treat varicose veins to justify their claim on scarce NHS resources by demonstrating health gains for their patients.

We thank the staff of Inverurie, Portlethen, Rubislaw Place, and Westhill practices for their help, and Mr R A Keenan for scoring the outcome questionnaire. This research and the Health Services Research Unit are both funded by the Chief Scientist Office at the Scottish Office Home and Health Department; however, the opinions expressed are those of the authors and not the SOHHD.
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Appendix
Clinical varicose veins questionnaire

1. Please draw in your varicose veins in the diagram(s) below:
   - Legs viewed from front
   - Legs viewed from back

2. In the past two weeks, for how many days did your varicose veins cause you pain or ache?
   (Please tick one box for each leg)

   - Right
   - None at all
   - Between 1 and 5 days
   - Between 6 and 10 days
   - For more than 10 days

   - Left
   - None at all
   - Between 1 and 5 days
   - Between 6 and 10 days
   - For more than 10 days

3. In the past two weeks at what time of day were your varicose veins usually most painful or aching?
   (Please tick one box)

   - Right
   - Not painful at all
   - No particular time
   - In the morning
   - In the afternoon and/or evening
   - At night

   - Left
   - Not painful at all
   - No particular time
   - In the morning
   - In the afternoon and/or evening
   - At night

4. During the past two weeks, on how many days did you take painkilling tablets for your varicose veins?
   (Please tick one box)

   - None at all
   - Between 1 and 5 days
   - Between 6 and 10 days
   - For more than 10 days

5. In the past two weeks, how much ankle swelling have you had?
   (Please tick one box)

   - Moderate ankle swelling (for example causing you to sit with your feet up whenever possible)
   - Severe ankle swelling (for example causing you difficulty putting on your shoes)
   - None at all
   - Slight ankle swelling

6. In the past two weeks, have you worn support stockings or tights?
   (Please tick one box for each leg)

   - Right
   - No
   - Yes, those I bought myself without a doctor's prescription
   - Yes, those my doctor prescribed for me, which I wear occasionally

   - Left
   - No
   - Yes, those I bought myself without a doctor's prescription
   - Yes, those my doctor prescribed for me, which I wear every day

7. Do you take “water tablets” for ankle swelling?
   (Please tick one box)

   - No
   - Yes

8. In the past two weeks, have you had any itching in association with your varicose veins?
   (Please tick one box for each leg)

   - Right
   - No
   - Yes, but only above the knee
   - Yes, but only below the knee
   - Yes, both above and below the knee

   - Left
   - No
   - Yes, but only above the knee
   - Yes, but only below the knee
   - Yes, both above and below the knee

9. Do you have purple discoloration caused by areas of tiny blood vessels in the skin, in association with your varicose veins?
   (Please tick one box for each leg)

   - Right
   - No
   - Yes

   - Left
   - No
   - Yes

10. Do you have a rash or eczema in the area of your ankle?
    (Please tick one box for each leg)

    - Right
    - No
    - Yes, but does not require any treatment from a doctor or district nurse
    - Yes, and requires treatment from a doctor or district nurse

    - Left
    - No
    - Yes, but does not require any treatment from a doctor or district nurse
    - Yes, and requires treatment from a doctor or district nurse

11. Do you have a skin ulcer associated with your varicose veins?
    (Please tick one box for each leg)

    - Right
    - No
    - Yes

    - Left
    - No
    - Yes

12. Does the appearance of your varicose veins cause you concern?
    (Please tick one box)

    - No
    - Yes, slight concern
    - Yes, moderate concern
    - Yes, a great deal of concern

13. Does the appearance of your varicose veins influence your choice of clothing, including tights?
    (Please tick one box)

    - No
    - Occasionally
    - Often
    - Always

14. During the past two weeks have your varicose veins interfered with your work or housework or other daily activities?
    (Please tick one box)

    - No
    - I have been able to work but my work has suffered to a slight extent
    - I have been able to work but my work has suffered to a moderate extent
    - My veins have prevented me from working one day or more

15. During the past two weeks have your varicose veins interfered with your leisure activities (including sport, hobbies and social life)?
    (Please tick one box)

    - No
    - Yes, my enjoyment has suffered to a slight extent
    - Yes, my enjoyment has suffered to a moderate extent
    - Yes, my veins have prevented me taking part in any leisure activities

Instructions for scoring the clinical questionnaire are freely available from the authors on request.
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