

## Contents

April 2017 Volume 26 Issue 4

### Editorials

- 257** Opening up to Open Notes and adding the patient to the team  
*C L Goldzweig*
- 259** Triggering safer general practice care  
*S M Dovey, S Leitch*

### Original research

- 262** When doctors share visit notes with patients: a study of patient and doctor perceptions of documentation errors, safety opportunities and the patient–doctor relationship  
*S K Bell, R Mejilla, M Anselmo, J D Darer, J G Elmore, S Leveille, L Ngo, J D Ralston, T Delbanco, J Walker*
- 271** Towards optimising local reviews of severe incidents in maternity care: messages from a comparison of local and external reviews  
*A Shah, B Kemp, S Sellers, L Hinton, M O'Connor, P Brocklehurst, J Kurinczuk, M Knight*
- 279** How does audit and feedback influence intentions of health professionals to improve practice? A laboratory experiment and field study in cardiac rehabilitation  
*W T Gude, M M van Engen-Verheul, S N van der Veer, N F de Keizer, N Peek*
- 288** Assessing content validity and user perspectives on the Team Check-up Tool: expert survey and user focus groups  
*J A Marsteller, Y-J Hsu, K S Chan, L H Lubomski*
- 296** A scoping review of online repositories of quality improvement projects, interventions and initiatives in healthcare  
*J P Bytautas, G Gheihman, M J Dobrow*
- 304** Socioeconomic status influences the toll paediatric hospitalisations take on families: a qualitative study  
*A F Beck, L G Solan, S A Brunswick, H Sauers-Ford, J M Simmons, S Shah, J Gold, S N Sherman, H2O Study Group*

- 312** A patient feedback reporting tool for OpenNotes: implications for patient-clinician safety and quality partnerships  
*S K Bell, M Gerard, A Fossa, T Delbanco, P H Folcarelli, K E Sands, B S Lee, J Walker*

### Narrative review

- 323** Theory-based and evidence-based design of audit and feedback programmes: examples from two clinical intervention studies  
*S J Hysong, H J Kell, L A Petersen, B A Campbell, B W Trautner*

### Research and reporting methodology

- 335** Implementation of the trigger review method in Scottish general practices: patient safety outcomes and potential for quality improvement  
*C de Wet, C Black, S Luty, J McKay, C A O'Donnell, P Bowie*

### Viewpoint

- 343** What is the potential of patient shadowing as a patient-centred method?  
*E G Liberati*

### Electronic page

- e10** Response to: 'Supporting adherence for people starting a new medication for a long-term condition through community pharmacies: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial of the New Medicine Service' by Elliott *et al*  
*J Bush*

Receive regular table of contents by email.  
Register using this QR code.



The  
Health  
Foundation



NPSF  
National Patient Safety Foundation®

BMJ Quality & Safety is co-owned by the Health Foundation and is an official journal of the National Patient Safety Foundation



This article has been chosen by the Editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.



This article has been made freely available online under the BMJ Journals Open Access scheme.  
See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>



This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics  
[www.publicationethics.org.uk](http://www.publicationethics.org.uk)



When you have finished with this please recycle it

*BMJ Qual Saf* 2017 26: e10-346

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/26/4>

---

*These include:*

**Email alerting  
service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

**Notes**

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>