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Older people – that is, those aged 65 and over – are the largest single group of people using health care services in Great Britain. In 1986–7 in England 48% of all NHS expenditure was accounted for by those aged 65 and over, 17% by those aged 65–74, 22-7% by those aged 75–84, and 8.6% by those aged 85 and over. Average annual NHS expenditure per head was estimated at £414 for those aged 65–74, £926 for those aged 75–84, and £1452 for those aged 85 and over.1

Demographic forecasts for England and Wales predict an increase between 1991 and 2031 of 43% in those aged from 60–64 (perinencephal age) to 74 (from 5.8 million to 8.3 million) and of 237% in those aged 85 and over (from 0.8 million to 1.9 million).2 The 1991 census enumerated 11.6 million people in Great Britain aged 60 or over, with roughly 800 000 being aged 85 or older.2 These demographic trends imply that considerable pressure will be placed on the NHS. Against this background of potentially substantially increasing demand it is important that older people (and indeed other client groups) receive the most effective forms of care and treatment.

In this paper we bring together evidence about the evaluation of health care for this important client group.

We can approach a review of the effectiveness of services for older people in two ways. We could review current knowledge about the types of health problems faced by older people such as stroke or dementia, or we could consider what is known about the different types of services used by older people such as continuing care or rehabilitation. From a public health purchasing perspective we considered that the second approach was appropriate because contracts are currently placed for specific services rather than conditions. This paper is part of a needs assessment for older people undertaken for a review of services provided for older people in an inner London health district. We reviewed work published about the effectiveness of the care of older people in seven main areas: (a) health promotion and primary prevention; (b) secondary and tertiary prevention; (c) hospital discharge; (d) rehabilitation; (e) home care; (f) continuing care; and (g) palliative care. We recognise that this is not a comprehensive review. It does, however, include the main service areas concerned with the care and treatment of older people. In reviewing the evidence for the effectiveness of specific interventions we have concentrated on randomised controlled trials or comparative studies when available, but we have also had to consider evidence derived from descriptive and observational studies.

Health promotion and primary prevention

Health promotion and primary prevention aim at maintaining and promoting health and wellbeing. Prevention and reduction of physical and mental illness and disability are important elements of any health promotion strategy for older people, especially if the agency wished to ensure that older people could be maintained in their own homes in the community.

In the United Kingdom older people have been largely excluded, either implicitly or explicitly, from most activity concerned with the promotion of health in the community and from studies concerned with the identification of risk factors for specific diseases. This reflects a concern with the health problems of younger age groups – for example, HIV or smoking in schoolchildren. Too often age is equated with disease.3 Such a concentration on health promotion issues affecting younger people may reflect an ageist attitude – that it is not worth investing in trying to improve the health of those aged over 60. Studies which have examined the benefit of, for example, reducing the classic risk factors for cardiovascular disease (hypertension, blood lipid concentrations, smoking, weight, etc) have usually excluded from their sample people aged 60 and over. The Whitehall studies, on which much of our evidence for the efficacy of reducing risk factors for heart disease is based, included only people of working age.4 5 One exception to this general rule was the European study of hypertension, which showed the benefits of treating hypertension in those aged 60 and over.6

Despite this relative neglect of the potential role of health promotion among older people, there is evidence that regular exercise7 (but not short bursts of exercise),8 weight control,6 eating a healthy diet,1 and smoking cessation are beneficial to older people and improve a range of outcome measures including both length and quality of life (table 1).

Studies of the effectiveness of health promotion and primary prevention are often confounded by the lack of information provided about the intervention undertaken, the precise nature of the population studied, and the cost effectiveness of the programmes. Additionally, a focus on primary prevention and health promotion requires action socially and politically to provide the context in which lifestyle changes will be made. Encouraging
Effectiveness of care for older people

Table 1  Health promotion and primary prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular exercise</td>
<td>Improves length and quality of life</td>
<td>Davies, Department of Health*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short bursts of exercise diet</td>
<td>Not effective and may reduce wellbeing</td>
<td>Ibrahim and Williams*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight control and healthy diet</td>
<td>Being overweight or underweight increases mortality; weight control improves length and quality of life</td>
<td>Davies*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced salt in diet</td>
<td>May be helpful in middle and moderate old age, but there is concern that in very frail elderly people it reduces food intake, causing them to become overweight and at high risk of fractures if they fall</td>
<td>Davies1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping smoking</td>
<td>Prevents or reduces deterioration in coronary heart disease and prevents stroke. Smoking is also known to be a risk factor in osteoporosis. Reduction in risk from lung cancer is found only if smoking is stopped for a long period – therefore not practical in very old people</td>
<td>Davies, Department of Health*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved lighting at home</td>
<td>Reduces number of falls</td>
<td>Davies, Department of Health*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

older people to participate in, for example, leisure activities will produce few positive health outcomes if people cannot afford to participate.

Secondary and tertiary prevention
The traditional tripartite division of prevention into primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention is difficult to maintain when considering the health care needs of older people. For those in the eighth and ninth decades the distinction between early diagnosis (secondary prevention) and treatment of established disease to limit its health consequences (tertiary prevention) can become blurred.

Most surveys of older people resident in the community have identified the presence of medical conditions previously unknown to the general practitioner.10 Numerous studies have shown the ability of multidimensional assessment of older people to detect problems with vision,11 hearing,12 chewing,12 locomotion,12 and iatrogenic disease.13 When assessment is combined with follow up, improvements have been seen in functional status,9 reduced use of drugs, and decreased rates of admission to nursing homes14 15 (table 2). Many of the early studies investigating multidimensional assessment of older people were observational in nature, therefore reducing the confidence which we may have in the results. Recent work indicates that the full benefit of multidimensional assessment seems to be confined to services where assessment is combined with long term follow up.

Iatrogenic disease is an important cause of both death and illness among older people. Some specific interventions to reduce iatrogenic disease have been tested. A randomised controlled trial showed that a letter from their general practitioner was highly effective in reducing benzodiazepine use among patients, including older people (the maximum age of patients in the study was 102).19

There is strong evidence establishing the proved benefits of a limited number of specific treatments for older people. These include the early detection and treatment of depression and other functional conditions,16 incontinence,17 and hypertension (at least up to the age of 80 years18), hip replacements,20 and coronary artery bypass surgery.21 Fibrinolytic treatment has been shown to reduce mortality in patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction. The use of this treatment in older patients remains contentious. A recent review of nine trials based on 58 600 patients concluded, however, that fibrinolytic treatment was associated with excess mortality on days 0 and 1 (especially for older patients) but that this was outweighed by much larger benefits during days 2–35. The authors concluded that

Table 2  Secondary and tertiary prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early detection and treatment of depression</td>
<td>Relieved within 3–6 weeks in 70%; delayed treatment has poor prognosis</td>
<td>Meyers et al*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early detection and treatment of incontinence</td>
<td>Curing or substantially ameliorating incontinence</td>
<td>Outland1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional assessment including physical health, functional ability, psychological status, and social support</td>
<td>Better detection of and attention to problems of vision, hearing, feet, chewing, locomotion, and mental state</td>
<td>Amery et al*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatric/medicine of old age assessment and follow up</td>
<td>Improved diagnostic accuracy; improved placement location, improved functional status, improved affect or cognition, reduced number of prescribed treatments, decreased use of nursing homes (especially if included with rehabilitation unit or home visit team, or both), reduced use of acute hospitals, reduced medical care costs, prolonged survival. (The last three particularly are included with the home visit team or home visiting services and rehabilitation)</td>
<td>Rubenstein et al,1 Rubenstein13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of hypertension</td>
<td>Reduces mortality from stroke and heart disease up to age of 80. Not yet studied in people over 80</td>
<td>Marmot†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is cost effective</td>
<td>Johannesson et al†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
this early hazard should not obscure the clear longer term beneficial effect on mortality in those receiving fibrinolysis.22

With age, the incidence of and mortality from cancer increases significantly. In Great Britain the two routine cancer screening programmes, for breast and cervical cancer, stop at the age of 65. This cut off point was selected because little is known about the risks, benefits, and feasibility of screening older people. In particular it has been argued that uptake of such programmes would be low, thereby making them not cost effective. Clearly there is considerable need for further research examining the effectiveness of cancer screening among older people. However, the age limits for these screening programmes may be re-evaluated in the light of increased life expectancy, the development of the health strategy Health of the Nation, and the aging of the cohorts of women brought up to expect the provision of cancer screening programmes. Education, changes in the design and promotion of screening services offering screening in primary care, and use of nurse practitioners are all factors which have been proved to increase the uptake of cervical and breast cancer screening.25-27

Assessment of older people

Comprehensive multidimensional assessment of older people is one of the cornerstones of the specialty of geriatric medicine. Such assessments may be undertaken either in the hospital or in the patient’s home.

Table 3 summarises the main benefits observed. Studies have consistently reported decreased mortality among those assessed and improved detection of medical conditions but, unfortunately, little impact on functional status. The use of services usually increases, but admissions to hospital and long term care may decrease.28-31

Many issues concerned with the home assessment of older people still require clarification. The cost-benefit aspects of this type of service have not been fully considered. The best method of providing the screening service—for example, by telephone or using health visitors or other trained health workers—has not been investigated. There is also no strong evidence to identify the age of the screened population at which such programmes may be most effective.32

Discharge from hospital

Older people are the principal client group of the secondary care sector. Admission to hospital may represent a major dislocation of the pattern of care being received by older people in the community and may be extremely disruptive to their social support networks.32 An extensive body of literature, which is largely descriptive in nature, has pointed to the short notice patients may receive of their impending discharge, the lack of information being given to patients about drugs prescribed to take home, the lack of involvement of carers, the lack of communication between the primary and secondary care sectors, and the failure of appropriate services to be provided once they return home33 (table 4). Another suggested result of poor discharge planning and aftercare is readmission to hospital. This perceived failure of current procedures for the discharge of older people prompted the Department of Health to send out a circular (HC(89)5) which sought to improve discharge planning for patients of all ages.

Good evidence to indicate the best ways of improving these obvious deficiencies is rather sparse (table 4). Descriptive studies have proposed setting up various hospital discharge and aftercare schemes and appointing discharge liaison nurses to facilitate early and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home assessment and referral team</td>
<td>Lower mortality, fewer hospital admissions, lower cost but greater use of home services (patients over 75).</td>
<td>Hendriksen et al.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home assessment by health visitors</td>
<td>Lower mortality, higher affect, and greater use of home services in urban but not rural areas</td>
<td>Vetter et al.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home assessment teams</td>
<td>Increased detection of disease and problems; 23% improved after 1½-2 years</td>
<td>Williamson et al.31, Lowther et al.32, Barber33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital discharge schemes</td>
<td>A more timely and integrated service can provide quick and high quality care to patients for a short time after discharge but can overburden and be expensive for social services</td>
<td>Neill and Williams34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equip hospital discharge scheme organisers with access to transport, access to rapid supply of aids and home adaptations, and means of identifying those at high risk by using indicators of unplanned admission, living alone, being without regular visitors in hospital</td>
<td>Not tested but recommended to identify those with the longest delays in discharge</td>
<td>Neill and Williams34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homefinder service—to locate appropriate placements for patients who require long term institutional care within the private sector</td>
<td>Reduction in length of stay in medicine of old age wards</td>
<td>Miskelly et al.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A cautionary note was struck, however, by one comprehensive evaluation, which noted that the creation of liaison nurses may make things more rather than less complex. One randomised controlled trial by Townsend et al showed a 25% reduction in readmission rates among older people provided with a two week programme of community support. However, routine visiting after discharge by a health visitor did not reduce the number of readmissions. Provision of a special aftercare scheme did not facilitate early discharge among the intervention group.

Several studies have drawn attention to the use of acute beds by patients awaiting placement in nursing home or long term care. Provision of a homefinder service dedicated to locating appropriate private sector long term care has been proposed as one way of reducing inappropriate use of acute hospital beds. Evaluations of this type of service are largely descriptive.

Continuing care for older people

Continuing or long stay care for older people is provided by four main agencies: the NHS, local authorities, private establishments, and the voluntary sector. Changes in the mix of provision of this type of care has resulted in a decrease in care in the public sector and a rise in care in the private sector.

Although many negative comments and observations have been made about long stay care, there have been few attempts to evaluate the effectiveness of such care using rigorous scientific methods. Two randomised controlled trials have compared care provided in NHS long stay wards with that in NHS nursing homes (table 5). Both of these studies failed to detect any difference in functional ability or mortality between the two groups. Those in the nursing homes, however, were observed as having better quality of life and experience of care than their contemporaries in NHS hospitals. However, we should be cautious in inferring from these studies that all nursing homes necessarily provide care which is superior to that offered by the public sector.

Home care of older people

Current policy for the care of older people supports the substitution of community for institutional forms of provision. This policy rests on the assumption that community based care of dependent elderly people is more effective, provides a better quality of life, is cheaper than institutional forms of provision, and reflects the wishes of older people themselves. These assumptions have not been evaluated in well controlled trials. The cost of caring for people at home increases with disability and in some circumstances may be considerably more expensive than continuing care. For very frail people care at home may reproduce many of the negative features associated with institutional environments. Descriptive studies have reported that some old people who would otherwise have entered long stay hospital care can be maintained at home (table 6). However, many of the full implications and costs of such policies remain unclear.

Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation units that have been evaluated tend to receive patients who have been admitted for acute illness, and they have a specialist in medicine of old age. Studies of this type have shown improved functional status, a reduction in the number of drugs prescribed, and improved wellbeing for patients. A randomised control trial comparing an inpatient rehabilitation unit and conventional care found even greater benefits than earlier descriptive studies, reduced rates or

---

**Table 5** Continuing care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home with small homely environments v hospital care</td>
<td>Better quality in observational studies, though evidence on mental and functional ability and cost is varied</td>
<td>Vetter, et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home v hospital care</td>
<td>Lower costs both for agency and social opportunity costs but may be related to case mix; few studies</td>
<td>Chalice, et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domus philosophy – small units of permanent residential care, with a multidisciplinary team for people with dementia</td>
<td>Improved quality of care – shown by observation</td>
<td>Macdonald</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6** Home care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home care instead of institutional care</td>
<td>Studies rather equivocal and few differences found in terms of morbidity or satisfaction of carers</td>
<td>Anand et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital at home</td>
<td>Reduced use of hospital services and cost savings for patients requiring short term care for palliative care or after discharge</td>
<td>Macdonald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case management project – 2-5 social workers plus a multidisciplinary community psychogeriatric team for people with serious behavioural disturbance or other problems</td>
<td>Case managers have a budget that represents two thirds of the cost of inpatient care in a residential home</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
readmission to hospital and use of nursing homes, and improved wellbeing in the patients receiving rehabilitation. Studies of rehabilitation units have tended to concentrate on frail elderly patients. Studies of younger patients (around 55) with chronic disease tended to show lesser benefit. Rehabilitation of stroke, either in domiciliary or hospital based services, have shown benefits among elderly patients.47-49 (table 7)

**Palliative care**

Access to palliative care units is usually limited to patients who have cancer. Some evidence suggests that patients receiving palliative care from support teams and in hospices tend to be younger than the local district population of patients with cancer.53 The only randomised controlled trial of inpatient hospice care found some benefits for family members in terms of reduced anxieties and increased satisfaction but little difference in patient outcomes. This trial has been criticised, however, because patients received both conventional and hospice services in the hospice group. When compared with conventional hospital care, other studies have shown evidence of improved pain and control of symptoms, less use of invasive procedures and higher patient and carer satisfaction, better resolution of grief, and lower costs among hospice or palliative care units, which have staff trained in symptom management. Home support teams were able to increase the amount of time that patients spent at home, increase carer satisfaction, and in some cases lower costs (table 8).

Currently, there are few services that will offer palliative care to patients who have a progressive disease other than cancer. One American randomised control trial of a homecare service showed that patients with various diseases and their families benefited more from a homecare service than from conventional services in terms of symptom control and satisfaction (table 8). Patients in the home care group also spent more time at home and less in hospital than the control group.54 In the United Kingdom a consultant in medicine of old age has developed a ward that offers symptom control and palliative care.55 Also, palliative care support teams will increasingly accept patients who do not have cancer.56

**Conclusion**

Older people are the main consumers of the services provided by the NHS. The coming decades will see a considerable increase in the number and proportion of very old people. Health agencies may find this review useful in helping them to ensure that they purchase the most effective models of care and treatments. Yet, as we have said, knowledge of the effectiveness of the interventions and care given to older people is underdeveloped. Too few treatments or interventions given to older people have been evaluated. For example, how should screening services be organised? Who should do the screening, and who should be screened? Given that resources for health care are finite, the potential increase in demand for health care will be problematic unless more is known about the most effective and efficient ways of caring for older people.

Our far from comprehensive review shows that older people are often excluded from studies assessing the risk of disease or evaluating health care services or interventions. We suggest that in the future older people should not be excluded from such studies and that much work is needed to examine the effectiveness and efficiency of many of the services they use. The development of health care purchasing and rigorous needs assessment may stimulate such studies. If more is known about the care of older people purchasing agencies will benefit in that they will be able to allocate their resources judiciously. Such knowledge would also greatly benefit older people in that they would receive the most effective and most appropriate forms of care and treatment.

We thank Dr Leila Lessof for her helpful comments on the needs assessment.
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**Table 7 Rehabilitation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient rehabilitation unit (usually accompanied by geriatric assessment) - for frail elderly patients, usually after acute admission</td>
<td>Improved functional status, reduced number of drugs prescribed, lower readmission to hospital and lower use of nursing homes, improved wellbeing</td>
<td>Rubenstein et al.,44,45 Liem et al.,46 Balaban52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital assessment and rehabilitation for chronically ill patients of 55 and over</td>
<td>Improved emotional state and wellbeing but no significant effects on readmission, functional status, or cost</td>
<td>Balaban52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 8 Palliative care**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospice Unit/hospital for people with cancer</td>
<td>Best control of pain and symptoms, less use of invasive procedures, higher patient and carer satisfaction, and better resolution of carer's grief; some evidence of lower cost but varies from unit to unit and is not found if inpatient stay is protracted</td>
<td>Kane et al.,44,45 Hinton,57 Mor et al.,58 Seale,59 Higginson et al.,60 Higginson and McCarthy,61 Parkes62-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home care support teams</td>
<td>More time spent at home, more people dying at home, and increased carer satisfaction. Costs between one eighth and one half of inpatient costs. Patients who lived alone or who had frail carers were less likely to remain at home until their death</td>
<td>Higginson et al.,64,65 Higginson and McCarthy,66 Parkes,67 Higginson,68 Hinton55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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