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ABSTRACT

Problem Chronic kidney disease is increasingly recognised in the UK, leading to a greater demand for specialist services. Traditional means of meeting this demand rely on GP referral of patients to see a nephrologist. Hospital assessment may be inconvenient for patients and inefficient for health services.

Setting 17 general practices and a secondary care nephrology service in Bradford, UK.

Design A before and after evaluation comparing nephrology referrals from implementation and non-implementation practices following the introduction of electronic consultations (e-consultations) for chronic kidney disease.

Key measures for improvement The number, appropriateness and quality of new referrals (paper and electronic) from primary care, the timeliness of responses and the satisfaction of patients and health professionals with the new service.

Strategies for change Electronic sharing of primary care electronic health records with the nephrology service was introduced to implementation practices. Participating GPs attended education workshops and received paper and e-guidance about the new service.

Effects of change There was a significant reduction in paper referrals from implementation practices. E-consultation provided nephrologists with access to more clinical information. GPs reported that the service was convenient, provided timely and helpful advice, and avoided outpatient referrals. Specialist recommendations were well followed, and GPs felt more confident about managing chronic kidney disease in the community.

Lessons learnt E-consultation promotes effective management of patients with mild-to-moderate chronic kidney disease in primary care, allowing specialist resources to be directed towards supporting patients with more complex needs. There is a potential role for e-consultation in other chronic disease specialties.

OUTLINE OF PROBLEM

Primary care teams in the UK have recently assumed greater responsibility for the management of chronic kidney disease in recognition of the need to identify the condition at an early stage and then intervene to slow renal disease progression and reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. A number of recent policy initiatives have helped to facilitate this transition. The Quality and Outcomes Framework, first introduced in 2004, is a reward and incentive programme for UK general practices that details performance across a range of clinical care standards, and in 2006 it was extended to include chronic kidney disease. In 2004, the UK Department of Health published the National Service Framework for Renal Services, in which it is recommended that the referral of patients with progressive chronic kidney disease from primary care to specialist renal services should occur at an appropriate stage to optimise treatment outcomes. Achievement of this clinical standard requires both the provision of clear management guidance for GPs and a consistently high quality of communication between primary and secondary care.

The Bradford and Airedale Primary Care Trust serves a population of approximately 0.6 million people in a mixed urban and rural district in the North of England. It is estimated that more than 8% of adults in the region have chronic kidney disease stages 3–5, with case detection improving nationally. The rising prevalence of recognised chronic kidney disease prompted a multidisciplinary review of local renal service provision, which led to the initiation of a programme of work with GPs to strengthen communication at the interface between primary and secondary care using electronic methods. Over 90% of primary care practices in the Bradford and Airedale Primary Care Trust use a centralised IT system (SystmOne) that allows the sharing of detailed electronic health records by groups of healthcare professionals in various care settings.
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contained in primary care records is likely to improve communication and hence support the management of patients with relatively mild and non-progressive chronic kidney disease in the community. The potential benefits of using electronic health records to enhance the quality, safety and efficiency of healthcare have been recognised.\(^{10}\) Reported studies have focused mainly on ‘stand alone’ systems such as those used by individual general practices.\(^{11-14}\) In this study, we have explored electronic consultation (e-consultation) using networked electronic health records to support the ongoing management of patients with mild-to-moderate chronic kidney disease in primary care and so avoid the need for initial assessment in a hospital clinic.

**STRATEGY FOR CHANGE**

We developed a chronic kidney disease e-consultation service in SystmOne. The service allows GPs to send electronic referrals and share patient electronic health records with a renal specialist after first obtaining verbal patient consent. GPs use criteria agreed in local guidelines to ‘request advice’ or ‘question the need for hospital clinic review.’\(^{15}\) The renal specialist is able to open the electronic health record and view important clinical details such as patient comorbidities, medication history, lifestyle factors, previous communications from other specialists, reports of previous imaging and a chronological display of selected numerical data (blood pressure, estimated glomerular filtration rate, blood biochemistry and urinalysis). A decision is then made as to whether a patient should be referred to clinic, undergo tests or interventions in the primary care setting, or continue to be monitored and treated by the primary care team. Physician time is saved by using preset ‘auto-consultation’ responses. Responses are saved in the patient’s electronic health record and also sent as tasks to alert the referring primary care team.

A single practice pilot of e-consultation indicated potential benefits, with better coordination of patient management and avoidance of clinic referrals. We therefore introduced e-consultation to 17 volunteer implementation practices in July 2007, supported by two nephrologists. Participating GPs attended education events and received paper and electronic guidance about the new service. It was explained that the service should be used to obtain advice for specific queries and to request virtual review of patients with an indication for hospital clinic referral that was ‘borderline’ according to local criteria.\(^{15}\)
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**PROCESS OF GATHERING INFORMATION**

We evaluated the number, appropriateness and quality of all paper and electronic chronic kidney disease referrals made by GPs to the Bradford Renal Unit, the timeliness of responses made by the renal specialists and the subsequent actions taken. Professional and patient views were also assessed.

**Quantitative data**

We analysed paper referrals from 17 implementation and 68 non-implementation practices (all other practices served by the Bradford Renal Unit) over a run-in period prior to the introduction of the e-consultation service (January 2005 to August 2007) to provide baseline data. Data for all new paper and electronic referrals in the 12 months following the introduction of the service (September 2007 to September 2008) were collected prospectively using a structured proforma. The participating nephrologists subjectively categorised referrals according to whether the GP was seeking specific advice or questioning the need for review in the hospital clinic.

**Qualitative data**

Nephrologists (n=2) and GPs who had used the service (n=35) were offered a choice of telephone interview or email questionnaire. Content validity of interview schedules and questionnaires was assured through clinician review. Thematic analysis of the qualitative data was performed manually to identify key issues and themes using the constant comparative method.\(^{16}\)

**ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION**

Figure 1 summarises the pattern and quality of all primary care paper (n=376) and electronic (n=68) chronic kidney disease referrals for 12 months following the introduction of e-consultation. The mean age of patients referred electronically was greater than patients referred by letter (72.8 years vs 68 years, p<0.01). There was no significant difference in reported comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ischaemic heart disease, peripheral vascular disease and cerebrovascular disease. The mean (SE) interval between the GP sending an e-consultation referral and the renal specialist submitting an electronic response was 7 (0.8) days. This contrasted with a mean wait of 55.1 (1.6) days between the GP sending a paper referral and the patient attending a hospital clinic. The mean (SE) time to perform an e-consultation was 15.5 (0.4) min. E-consultations provided the renal specialist with access to more...
clinical information; for example, there were 14 (1.3) previous serum creatinine measurements per referral compared with only two (0.1) measurements in paper referrals, and urinalysis data were available in 76.7% of e-consultations compared with 50.8% of paper referrals.

When GPs were requesting clinic review by letter, only 56% of referrals were appropriate according to local criteria (71% and 52% for implementation and non-implementation practices respectively), but 98% of these were accepted for hospital clinic review. This may have been due to insufficient information in some referral letters and a general reluctance to cancel appointments that had been prebooked by the GP or the patient. By contrast, 90% of e-consultations that questioned the need for clinic review were appropriate, and clinic assessment was recommended in only 27% of cases (figure 1). GPs were asked to arrange additional investigations in advance of the clinic appointment where appropriate.

The electronic health records of patients referred via the e-consultation service were reviewed several months after the study period had ended. For those in whom hospital clinic referral had been deemed unnecessary (n=56), adherence to electronic advice was assessed. Recommendations made by the nephrologist for additional tests, treatment changes and monitoring schedules were adhered to in 82%, 97% and 89% of cases respectively. Reassuringly, renal function remained stable in this subgroup, with a small increase in estimated GFR of 3.6 (1.1) ml/min/1.73 m² after a mean follow-up period of 8.8 (0.7) months in primary care.

**EFFECTS OF CHANGE**

GP interviews and questionnaire responses to structured questionnaires (response rate 46%, 16/35) elicited similar themes. E-consultation was regarded as a convenient service that provided timely and helpful advice, and avoided unnecessary referral to the hospital clinic. GPs recognised that e-consultation presented an educational opportunity that increased their confidence in managing chronic kidney disease in the community. Less positive comments referred to difficulties using the system (problems which have been rectified) and some concerns over patient privacy, although patients were generally willing to consent to the viewing of their electronic health record by a renal specialist. Opportunistic interviews with GPs and patients revealed strong patient support for e-consultation on the grounds of service efficiency and avoidance of unnecessary hospital visits.

For the nephrologist, e-consultation permitted a detailed and efficient review of a patient’s primary care electronic health record, facilitating prompt and informed decision-making. Recommendations made by the nephrologist for additional tests, treatment changes and monitoring schedules were adhered to in 82%, 97% and 89% of cases respectively. Reassuringly, renal function remained stable in this subgroup, with a small increase in estimated GFR of 3.6 (1.1) ml/min/1.73 m² after a mean follow-up period of 8.8 (0.7) months in primary care.

**LESSONS LEARNT AND NEXT STEPS**

IT interventions are being introduced rapidly and on a large scale across health services. Randomised controlled trial evaluation of their effect on service provision would be ideal, but this is rarely possible. Our pragmatic evaluation attempts to provide reliable evidence of the impact of a novel application for networked electronic health records. We have found that e-consultation is a feasible way of assessing patients with chronic kidney disease of mild-to-moderate severity. The process is efficient and facilitates informed decision-making. Professionals and patients have welcomed the service, and there has been recognition of the associated environmental benefits. We intend to make e-consultation available to all eligible practices in Bradford and Airedale PCT. It is not yet clear as to whether the service will displace outpatient clinic activity or create additional work for nephrologists because of previous unmet need. Although there may be a continuing growth in chronic kidney case ascertainment driven by the renal Quality and Outcomes Framework, these data indicate that paper referral rates in Bradford are likely to diminish when the e-consultation service is made available to all practices. Uptake of the service may of course be different in practices that were not part of the initial evaluation and in healthcare settings outside the Bradford region. The summative effect of e-consultation activity on the workload of renal specialists is therefore not likely to be excessive, although in some centres the prevailing opportunity cost of seeing unnecessary referrals may be such that any new clinic capacity that arises from a reduction in such referrals will prompt more

Figure 2  Quarterly rates of GP referral to renal services of patients with chronic kidney disease.
frequent review of patients with complex renal disease. Total activity will need to be monitored to ensure that the introduction of an e-consultation service does not create financial disincentives for commissioners or service providers.

There is interest in adopting this innovation in other UK renal services and also other chronic disease specialties. A recent paper describes a similar approach in the USA based on unsolicited e-consultations provided by nephrologists to generalists to ensure timely referral of patients who may require renal replacement therapy. We are also looking to create additional ‘spokes’ for our electronic renal hub to support medicines reconciliation and care pathways that are shared with GPs (such as anaemia management, predialysis care, palliative care and renal transplant care). This may reduce administration time and improve patient safety through more efficient communication.

Secondary care IT infrastructures will need to embrace primary care systems if e-consultation services are to be adopted more widely in the NHS. Regional initiatives supported by Yorkshire and the Humber SHA may lead to formal commissioning of such services in the future.
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