Finding patients before they crash: the next major opportunity to improve patient safety
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Adverse events cause large numbers of deaths in hospitals, and many more serious injuries. Often, however, it is difficult or impossible in a specific circumstance to determine whether or not the outcome would have been different with an earlier intervention.1,2 On the other hand, substantial evidence exists for many conditions—sepsis for example—showing that earlier, more aggressive intervention can improve patient outcomes, especially with the use of protocols or guidelines.3

Better monitoring of sick patients has been a major interest in hospitals for decades and was a major rationale for the development of intensive care units (ICUs). Much of the research on monitoring comes from intensive care. Patients on general wards (ie, outside ICUs) are increasingly sick, but the level of monitoring they receive varies substantially, with intervals between manual vital signs measurement easily spanning 8–12 h. Often patients have already decompen-sated to a significant extent before transfer to an ICU is contemplated.

We believe that the coming together of four major trends or innovations promises substantial improvements to patient outcomes by preventing this perennial problem of delayed recognition and management of deteriorating patients on general hospital wards. These trends include the uniform use of electronic health records in hospitals,4 major advances in physiological sensor development,5 the rapid adoption of mobile technologies,6 and the ability to perform analytics in the background to provide decision support at the point of care.7

Adoption rates for electronic health records in the USA have risen from approximately 20% to over 80% in recent years.8 Although the comprehensiveness of these records varies substantially, a high proportion include electronic vital signs, which offers major opportunities to improve the early detection and management of patients at risk of clinical deterioration. Other countries, such as the UK and Canada, currently lag behind in terms of widespread adoption of electronic health records, but this may well change soon. Even now, leading institutions have begun adopting in most industrialised nations.

New types of physiological sensors represent another breakthrough—and a staggering array are becoming available. These range from devices that sit under the mattress and can continuously detect pulse, respirations and whether or not the patient is moving9 through to end-tidal CO2 monitoring to ‘high-technology’ wearable garments that can detect physiological parameters10 to name just a few examples.

The ‘mobile revolution’11 constitutes a key part of the ‘triple revolution’—the internet, mobile technology and social networks. Use of mobile technology by clinical staff has become increasingly widespread in healthcare. In addition to facilitating rapid communication, it will become increasingly valuable as a data-entry tool and as an enabler for users to consume data.

Finally, ‘big data’ and analytics in general are finally coming to healthcare and offer opportunities to deliver major improvements in care delivery.7 For this area of enhanced patient monitoring, improvements will depend on harnessing and combining several types of data, but especially vital signs and clinical laboratory results, to identify patients with early signs of deterioration. The ability to identify such situations will likely be particularly enhanced when trends and combinations of variables are examined rather than simple cutoffs. For example,
a fall in blood pressure at the same time as an increase in pulse and a decrease in urine output is of much more concern than a fall in blood pressure alone. Combining other clinical factors, such as the presence of a known infection or recent surgery, will also have specific implications that can add to point-of-care alerts for clinical staff.

In the above context, the study by Schmidt et al.\textsuperscript{12} represents an important milestone. The authors used an electronic patient safety surveillance system (EPSS), while leveraging the use of electronic health records, mobile technology and analytical approaches, to find patients who appeared to be decompensating. Remarkably, they found reductions in mortality for patients within 56 diagnosis groups used in the National Health Service (NHS) to assess quality from 7.8\% to 6.4\% at one hospital, and 7.6\% to 6.2\% at the other. It is remarkable that the authors achieved these reductions roughly concurrently at the two hospitals given the logistic challenges of implementing this intervention. The authors apparently did not use new sensor technologies at either institution, basing the alerting mechanism on intermittent vital signs measurement. Furthermore, the analytical approaches used do not appear to be particularly sophisticated, which suggests that even bigger improvements are probably possible. Hospital mortality has been stubbornly resistant to improvement, so the lowering of mortality at the two study hospitals reported by Schmidt et al.\textsuperscript{12} represents a truly dramatic improvement.

The study and approach taken have many strengths and a number of weaknesses. The authors speculate that the EPSS may have been effective because it increased the likelihood that more complete vital signs data would be collected, enabled fast delivery of decision support, anticipated user needs, included simple data screens, asked for data only when necessary, and enabled tracking. If a user entered worrisome vital signs, they received immediate feedback, and entry was available everywhere because of the use of wireless and mobile. It is notable that they did not measure all-cause mortality, and this should be done in the future. Confounders are always an important concern, but none stand out in this case as an obvious issue. Moreover, the study did find reductions in mortality for the 56 diagnoses used to monitor performance in the NHS, and these in aggregate account for 83\% of inpatient deaths in UK hospitals. That the intervention showed similar reductions in mortality at the two hospitals provides further reassurance.

Of course, many previous efforts have aimed to improve monitoring in general and detection of decompensating patients in particular. A great deal of attention and focus has been placed on rapid response teams. While the evidence to support them has been mixed, a recent meta-analysis concluded that moderate evidence supports their use.\textsuperscript{13} As with many such interventions, rapid response teams would probably be more beneficial if deteriorating patients were recognised earlier. This can be achieved by automating the identification of a deteriorating patient through continuous monitoring and use of analytics as well as directly activating the response teams so that cultural barriers are mitigated. Mobile technology can also be leveraged—for example, to push worrisome signals about decompensation to responsible caregivers so they can come to evaluate the patient.

Continuous monitoring can be achieved through the use of continuous pulse oximetry, which is now routinely used at many hospitals to monitor many types of patients, especially after surgery.\textsuperscript{14,15} End-tidal capnography shows promise for detecting respiratory compromise earlier than oximetry might.\textsuperscript{16} Another approach that brings together multiple technologies is the EarlySense technology.\textsuperscript{9} This brings together: a sensor that detects pulse, respiratory rate and whether or not the patient is moving; real-time analytical tools to detect false-positive warnings;\textsuperscript{17} and modern communication mobile technology to communicate the results to the responsible provider. In a recent study,\textsuperscript{9} this approach reduced the length of stay of patients in general medical-surgical intervention units and the number of subsequent ICU days for patients in intervention units, although it did not significantly affect the likelihood of transfer to the ICU. Moreover, it appeared highly cost-effective.\textsuperscript{18}

The results of the study by Schmidt et al.\textsuperscript{12} have a number of implications. Before the approach is implemented widely, it would be helpful if the results could be validated prospectively in at least a few more hospitals. But the intervention is simple, and it should be possible to spread it widely within the NHS, which would have a major effect.

More broadly, it is also clear that recognition of decompensation should receive a great deal of attention going forward. Future research should address the relative benefits and cost-effectiveness of different approaches, and this should be publicly supported. In addition, research should target different clinical settings—ICUs, general care units, long-term care and high-risk patients at home. The false-positive issue has to be addressed—alert fatigue is the rule in this area. The greatest benefits are likely to be realised first in general care units—this is likely to be the ‘lowest-hanging’ fruit, although we believe there will also very likely be substantial improvement for high-risk patients at home.

Overall, the use of more effective monitoring approaches promises to reduce mortality rates substantially for hospital patients. The most successful interventions will probably bring together a variety of technologies—electronic health records, sensors, mobile devices and analytics. But, to implement these interventions effectively in the complex environments in healthcare, we will have to pay careful attention to sociotechnical factors, as they can trump even the best technologies.
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