Contents

Editorial

293 Disclosure after large-scale events: the price of honesty? P C Hébert

Original research

295 Intended and unintended effects of large-scale adverse event disclosure: a controlled before-after analysis of five large-scale notifications TH Wagner, T Taylor, E Cowgill, S M Asch, P Su, B Bokhour, J Durfee,

R A Martinello, E Maguire, A R Elwy

303 Fix and forget or fix and report: a qualitative study of tensions at the front line of incident reporting T A Hewitt, S Chreim

311 Pay-for-performance policy and data-driven decision making within nursing homes: a qualitative study K Abrahamson, E Miech, H W Davila, C Mueller, V Cooke, G Arling

Research and reporting methodology

318 What to expect when you're evaluating healthcare (6) improvement: a concordat approach to managing collaboration and uncomfortable realities

> L Brewster, E-L Aveling, G Martin, C Tarrant, M Dixon-Woods, The Safer Clinical Systems Phase 2 Core Group Collaboration & Writing Committee

May 2015 Volume 24 Issue 5

Narrative review

325 How to study improvement interventions: a brief overview of possible study types M C Portela, P J Pronovost, T Woodcock, P Carter, M Dixon-Woods

Quality improvement report

337 Developing person-centred analysis of harm in a paediatric hospital: a quality improvement report P Lachman, L Linkson, T Evans, H Clausen, D Hothi

Correspondence

- BMJ Qual Saf: first published as on 1 May 2015. Downloaded from **345** Bad assumptions on primary care diagnostic errors. Response to: 'Advancing the science of measurement of diagnostic errors in healthcare: the Safer Dx framework' by Singh and Sittig R A Young
- 345 Setting the record straight on measuring diagnostic errors. Reply to: 'Bad assumptions on primary care diagnostic errors' by Dr Richard Young H Singh, D F Sittig

Receive regular table of contents by email.





BMJ Quality & Safety is co-owned by the Health Foundation and is an official journal of the National Patient Safety Foundation



