Evaluation of the implementation of the alert issued by the UK National Patient Safety Agency on the storage and handling of potassium chloride concentrate solution
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Objectives: To assess the effectiveness of the response of NHS hospital trusts to an alert issued by the National Patient Safety Agency designed to limit the availability of concentrated potassium chloride in hospitals in England and Wales, and to determine the nature of any unintended consequences.

Design: Multi-method study involving interviews and a physical inspection of clinical areas.


Participants: Senior managers and ward based medical and nursing staff.

Main outcome measures: Degree of staff awareness of and compliance with the requirements of the national alert, withdrawal of concentrated potassium chloride solutions from non-critical areas, provision of pre-diluted alternatives, storage and recording in accordance with controlled drug legislation.

Results: All trusts required that potassium chloride concentrate be stored in a separate locked cupboard from common injectable diluents (100% compliance). Unauthorised stocks of potassium chloride were found in five clinical areas not authorised by the trust (98% compliance). All trusts required documented control of potassium chloride concentrate in clinical areas, but errors were recorded in 20 of the 207 clinical areas visited (90% compliance). Of those interviewed, 78% of nurses and 30% of junior doctors were aware of the alert.

Conclusions: The NPSA alert was effective and resulted in rapid development and implementation of local policies to reduce the availability of concentrated potassium chloride solutions. The success is likely to be partly due to the nature of the proposed changes and it cannot be assumed that future alerts will be equally effective. Continued vigilance will be necessary to help sustain the changes.

It has been estimated that adverse events feature in around 10% of admissions to hospital, affecting 850 000 people a year, costing the NHS in the region of £2 billion, and causing pain and suffering to patients. It was in order to reduce the incidence of such incidents that the National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) was established in 2001 to monitor adverse incidents and improve patient safety in England and Wales. This body, like its counterparts in the US and in Australia, made concentrated potassium products the subject of its first alert.

The fatal consequences of inappropriate administration of strong potassium chloride solution have been acknowledged for many years. The substance has been used inadvertently instead of sodium chloride to flush IV lines; instead of water for injection to reconstitute antibiotics; or has been confused with frusemide, a loop diuretic with which it is frequently given. Such confusion is exacerbated by the fact that high concentration potassium chloride is produced by a variety of manufacturers in ampoules that commonly resemble those containing sodium chloride and water for injection (fig 1). Even when diluted, the substance may give rise to problems because its hyperbaric properties make adequate mixing difficult. However, because blood potassium levels below 2.5 mmol are potentially fatal and need urgent and carefully titrated correction, many clinicians have opposed previous attempts to remove it from clinical areas. A summary of the alert is given in box 1 and full details are available from the NPSA website (http://81.144.177.110/site/media/documents/486_riskalertpsa01.pdf).

Given that this was the first directive from a new NHS organisation, it was important to study its effect so, 1 month after the publication of the alert by the NPSA, we were commissioned to evaluate its impact. The study aimed to examine the receipt, dissemination, and management of the alert and to assess the extent of compliance with its requirements. Data collection started 1 week after the date...
found that most pharmacy departments held their own list of ‘authorised areas’. In each trust at least five authorised and five unauthorised wards were visited and audited for availability, storage, and recording of potassium chloride using an audit checklist (see Appendix 3 available online at http://www.qshc.com/supplemental). Researchers inspected all drug cupboards, drug trolleys, other storage facilities, and preparation surfaces in both patient areas and in clinical preparation rooms. In addition, they examined opened boxes and containers holding sterile water and sodium chloride for injection and examined books used for recording the use of potassium. In total, 207 areas were inspected.

Ward staff interviews
A convenience sample of eight registered nurse ward managers and two junior doctors in each trust was interviewed to ascertain their awareness of the NPSA requirements and to explore any problems that had arisen since the implementation of the alert (see box 2 for definitions of staff types). In total, 166 ward managers (or their nominees) and 37 junior medical staff were interviewed in addition to 14 others including night nursing staff. A structured interview schedule was used for these interviews and these were coded and analysed using SPSS.

Audit trail
Trusts were asked to provide evidence of action taken in advance of and immediately following the alert. These data—consisting of policies, memos and minutes of meetings—were used to triangulate the information given in the interviews.

RESULTS
The results reported here represent a distillation of data from all sources. The themes emerging from the senior manager interviews and the open questions in the structured interviews with ward staff related to previous action, dissemination, awareness and reaction, implementation of the guidance (storage, recording and authorisation), actual and potential unintended consequences and unresolved issues.

Previous action taken
Interviews with senior managers revealed that 19 trusts (95%) had previously taken some prior action to reduce dependence on the concentrated solution although only one was fully compliant with all aspects of the guidance at the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 1 Requirements of the NPSA alert on potassium products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potassium chloride (KCl) concentrate solutions and other strong potassium solutions should be:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• restricted to intensive care environments;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• prepared in pharmacies when no commercial product of the correct concentration is available;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• subject to the same recording processes as controlled drugs;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• stored in a locked cupboard;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• signed for by a second practitioner;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• not transferred between clinical areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 2 Definitions of professional roles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Medical director: trust board member representing doctors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clinical governance lead; executive member of trust board with responsibility for clinical quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ward manager/ward sister/charge nurse: registered nurse in charge of ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Junior doctor: all non-consultant grades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clinical risk manager: person responsible for undertaking risk assessments and identifying and addressing potential and actual clinical risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• House officer: newly qualified doctor in pre-registration year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Senior house officer: registered doctor who has completed a minimum of 1 year’s practice after qualifying.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by which it was supposed to be implemented (31 October 2002) and was completed 3 months later.

METHODS
We planned to carry out this research in a random sample of 20 NHS hospital trusts. Forty NHS trusts were initially identified by applying computer generated random numbers to a Department of Health list of acute trusts. After taking mergers into account, the Chief Executives and Medical Directors of 37 trusts were invited to take part in two studies, the second of which (evaluation of the implementation of NICE guidance) entailed a detailed audit of patient records. Twenty trusts finally agreed to take part in both studies, the remainder citing as a reason the workload implications of the NICE study. The sample exhibited features of good geographical spread, size distribution, and performance rating (see Appendix 4 available online at http://www.qshc.com/supplemental). The study was exempted from ethics approval by the South East MREC who classified it as an audit.

Semi-structured interview schedules for senior managers and structured schedules for ward staff were developed and administered by experienced nurse teachers from the University of York (see Appendices 1 and 2 available online at http://www.qshc.com/supplemental). Documentary evidence of action and consultation was collected to form an “audit trail”. The same researchers also undertook an inspection of 10 clinical areas in each trust selected for the likelihood of regular potassium use.

Interviews with senior managers
All medical directors, clinical governance leads, chief pharmacists, chief nurses and clinical risk managers were approached for interview using a semi-structured format. We also interviewed others identified as having a key role in the dissemination and implementation process. Specific data were recorded on the interview schedules with these 70 senior managers to enable the development of descriptive statistics, but interviews were also recorded and transcribed.

Data analysis was concurrent and clear thematic categories and subcategories emerged. These categories were developed by two researchers working independently, and differences compared and reconciled by discussion. Differences between trusts and groups of respondents were examined using analytical matrices.

Ward audits
Although the NPSA alert named some specific clinical areas that would require continued access to the concentrate, it also referred to “other specialist critical care areas” and it was found that most pharmacy departments held their own list of
time the alert was published. All managerial claims were substantiated by the audit trail.

Dissemination, awareness, and reaction
Interviews with ward based personnel revealed that an average of 135 nurses (79%) and 11 doctors (30%) were aware of the alert and could provide information concerning the provisions. Most nurses welcomed the action taken, typical comments being:

"It's not about making our jobs more difficult, it's about protecting us. It's been quite reassuring and improved safety. It recognises that we are humans and we do make mistakes." (Ward sister)

"The guidance has resulted in the benefit of having peace of mind." (Staff nurse)

There was considerable variation in the means by which nursing and medical staff at ward level had become aware of the policy or its provisions (table 1). Circulation of the policy, staff meetings, and oral communication by pharmacists appeared to be the most effective mechanisms for communicating with nursing staff, whilst memos, emails, and overheard conversations were the means by which most junior doctors had received the information. The ward interviews revealed that doctors were, almost without exception, unaware of any procedures relating to storage and recording of drugs or of obtaining them out of hours, claiming that these were nursing responsibilities.

Authorisation of areas
Four pharmacists reported having discussions with the NPSA to determine whether they could authorise theatres or paediatric units to hold the concentrated solution and had been told that this was possible, subject to a full risk assessment. The range in the number of areas authorised to hold the concentrate was 2–11 for small trusts; 4–11 for medium trusts, and 5–27 for large trusts.

Eighty seven of the 207 areas visited were found to be in possession of concentrated potassium chloride solution (table 2). Eighty two of these were named in trust policies as authorised. Of the five areas in three trusts that were not authorised, two (accident and emergency and renal unit) lay within the NPSA’s definition of critical care areas and two were theatre recovery areas, which had been authorised in other trusts. Strong potassium chloride solution was found on only one general medical ward (from which it was promptly removed by the lead pharmacist) and no potassium phosphate was found outside intensive care units.

Storage
The audit revealed that, of the 87 wards and departments in which strong potassium chloride was found, in 70 cases it was stored in the controlled drug cupboard, in seven in the outer drug cupboard, and in 10 in a separate locked cupboard (100% compliance). Storage of potassium dihydrogen phosphate was also in line with the alert. Overall, it was clear that in the majority of areas the storage space for controlled drugs was inadequate for its purpose and, had potassium chloride been made a true controlled drug under the provisions of the act, many trusts would have required a wholesale replacement of these cupboards. As it is, in most wards these tiny cupboards are piled high with drugs, frequently necessitating the removal of several boxes to locate the drug required.

Recording
Fifty seven (65%) of the areas in which the strong solution was found were recording its use in a controlled drug register and 25 (29%) were using a separate potassium register. Five (6%) were not making any record. The failure to record the use of strong potassium chloride was an error in four cases...
and a deliberate strategy in one intensive care area where the staff maintained that they already recorded and double signed the administration of potassium chloride in the patient’s care plan and so refused to sign the controlled drug register for the preparation, citing pressure of time.

“The hardest bit of the guidance has been the double checking and signing for … given that they already had to double sign the treatment card and double sign the label and what they were very concerned about was taking a one to one nurse away from a patient to go to a cupboard.” (Clinical risk manager)

In addition to these five wards, auditors noted 13 cases in which second signatures were missing and two in which patients’ names were not recorded.

Out of hours arrangements
No pharmacy in any of the 20 trusts visited was open for 24 hours. Typically, out of hours arrangements, as described by senior managers and confirmed by policy documents, indicated that the first action was to borrow a stronger commercially prepared solution from another ward area or, through the nurse in charge, to access these from an emergency drug cupboard. If these measures proved insufficient, the on-call pharmacist was to be contacted. In one trust the nurse in charge had authority to dispense with the on-call pharmacist in specific life threatening clinical emergencies, providing certain conditions were met.

Actual and potential unintended consequences
Senior managers were asked whether they had concerns about any unintended consequences of the implementation of the guidance. Five stated that there was a need to monitor whether restrictions were impeding access to potassium for patients who required it. This was of particular concern in cardiac theatres and cardiac intensive care units where potassium levels can fall quickly.

“In cardiac surgery you do actually use large volumes of potassium and some of it is actually not prescribed by doctors but perfusionists – the people who drive the cardiopulmonary bypass. Their role includes putting potassium into the heart lung machine. In a way, cardiopulmonary perfusionists are practitioners in their own right and they have their own system of registration.” (Consultant anaesthetist)

Concern was also expressed at the potential for deskilling staff in non-authorised areas, bearing in mind that all but four trusts retained the right to make the strong solution available for named patients on unauthorised areas. Some managers pointed out the need for constant vigilance lest the stronger solutions (40 mmol in 100 ml; 50 mmol in 50 ml) of which clinicians had no prior experience.

“There was a fear that we were changing the risk from the ampoules to the 40 mmol in the 100 ml bags. Firstly, they are unlicensed and, secondly, although not as concentrated as the injection, they can still cause harm so we had to demonstrate that we weren’t merely transferring the risk from one preparation to another.” (Chief pharmacist)

One pharmacist reported a recent case of a consultant giving the stronger solution as a bolus injection through a peripheral vein.

Unresolved issues
Both managers and ward staff were invited to comment on any issues arising from the alert and some of their responses have been embedded in sections above.

There had been a few recorded instances of problems encountered since the implementation of the guidance. Staff on paediatric and other wards with specific patient groups (cardiac surgery) were concerned about having to go through complex processes in the middle of the night to obtain the concentrate, although these were not common complaints.

“Fluid-restricted patients present a major challenge. The rigmarole to get hold of the concentrate in the middle of the night is ridiculous.” (Ward sister)

There were also issues for manufacturers. Eighteen managers and ward staff stressed the need to address the packaging and labelling of ampoules and of IV solutions containing potassium to clearly differentiate them and so avoid confusion (fig 1).

“After all that has been said about this, it is obscene that the ampoules are so similar to water and sodium chloride. You would have thought that they would have done something about it by now.” (Registered nurse)

“The red writing on the IV bags is on the side of the bag. You need it on the front of bag in red.” (Registered nurse)

Others stated that the sudden rise in demand for 40 mmol potassium chloride in 100 ml solutions of sodium chloride or glucose was creating problems for manufacturers and stressed the importance of these substances being constantly available.

“We had to wait three months for the glucose bags – there is no point in doing all this unless we can ensure a constant supply, otherwise people will go back.” (Assistant chief pharmacist)

DISCUSSION
There are a number of limitations to this study. The 20 NHS trusts that agreed to take part may not be an unbiased sample even though the given reasons for non-participation were unrelated to the research study reported here. Trusts had about 6 weeks’ warning of our audit which may have prompted implementation of the alert, although in all cases the audit trail revealed activity from the date of the alert or earlier, rather than commencing after our first contact. Finally, the audit was carried out immediately after the implementation date and thus we may have failed to identify problems that had yet to surface, and conversely identified easily resolvable problems. The main strengths of the study lie in the physical inspection and audit trail which verified both the accuracy of interview data and the effectiveness of the management action.

Overall, the dissemination process from the NPSA to the trusts and thence to senior managers had worked well. The alert was welcomed by all pharmacists interviewed who felt that it had lent authority to their efforts to restrict the availability of concentrated ampoules and to promote the use of commercially available dilutions. This illustrates a potential value of these communications in providing strong central backing for the views of a significant professional group which may stimulate more action from senior managers than would otherwise be the case.

The list of areas permitted to stock potassium ampoules left trusts some room for manoeuvre and, on advice from the NPSA, most trusts had carried out a risk assessment and had added general theatres, labour wards, and paediatric wards to their list of authorised areas. There was wide variation in the number of wards authorised within trusts of the same size, which may indicate further room for removal of the concentrate from clinical areas.

The only opposition to the requirements of the alert came from intensive care staff, and particularly from those involved with cardiac surgery patients, who cited as a problem the time consuming nature of the new procedures caused by the location of some controlled drug cupboards and the fact that the drugs could only be checked out ampoule by ampoule to address the immediate need of the patient.
trusts. In 2002 the newly formed National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) made the substance the subject of its first alert. All 20 trusts visited had taken action in response to the alert (100% compliance). The substance was found in five clinical areas which were not authorised by the trusts to stock it, although only one of these was a general ward (98% compliance).

78% of nurses and 30% of junior doctors were aware of the alert and could describe at least some of its requirements. Recording errors were found in 20 of the 207 areas visited (90% compliance). Senior staff welcomed the intervention of the NPSA in assisting the implementation of a policy widely held to be in the interests of patient safety.

Key messages

- The potentially fatal consequences of the inappropriate administration of concentrated potassium chloride have been acknowledged for many years.
- In 2002 the newly formed National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) made the substance the subject of its first alert.
- All 20 trusts visited had taken action in response to the alert (100% compliance).
- The substance was found in five clinical areas which were not authorised by the trusts to stock it, although only one of these was a general ward (98% compliance).
- 78% of nurses and 30% of junior doctors were aware of the alert and could describe at least some of its requirements.
- Recording errors were found in 20 of the 207 areas visited (90% compliance).
- Senior staff welcomed the intervention of the NPSA in assisting the implementation of a policy widely held to be in the interests of patient safety.

Given that the literature is peppered with tales of patient deaths arising from the confusion of potassium chloride with sodium chloride and water for injection, it seems inappropriate that the packaging and labelling has not been made more distinctive.

Poor awareness on the part of junior doctors, despite the commendable efforts of some pharmacists to communicate, was a source of concern. The numbers interviewed in this study are too small to justify recommendations in relation to this finding, nor did any of the senior manager respondents have any suggestions as to how to cope with this constantly changing resource. However, there may be strength in the prevailing view of the junior doctors that the management of drugs was purely the province of nursing staff. Nurses may both figuratively and literally “hold the key” to this problem and, if they are provided with prescribing guidance in relation to the administration of the potassium, they can challenge prescriptions that they perceive to be inappropriate.

Our research suggests that strong potassium chloride has been successfully removed from nearly all inappropriate clinical areas and there is reason for optimism that this may result in a marked reduction in sentinel events, as was reported in the US. The finding is compatible with those from the NPSA’s “learning and sharing” initiative with trusts.

The rapid and comprehensive impact of the safety alert is likely to have been influenced by several factors that have been shown in the literature to influence the uptake of guidelines and other innovations. Most importantly in this context, the proposed change is strongly supported by evidence in the literature showing a clear advantage to changing resource. However, the indifference of junior doctors suggests that sustaining the policy implementation may be challenging with some groups. Continuing vigilance of pharmacy departments, with annual random monitoring checks, may be required to ensure that ampoules do not effect a gradual return to unauthorised wards by prescription on a named patient basis. Cost, lack of storage space, inconsistent availability of some of the newer stronger solutions, and the difficulties in accessing concentrated potassium chloride out of hours may also contribute to its return.

CONCLUSION

The NPSA alert was effective, resulting in a rapid implantation of local policies to reduce the availability of concentrated potassium chloride solutions. The success is likely to be partly due to the nature of the proposed changes and future alerts may not be equally effective. Continued vigilance will be necessary to help sustain the changes.
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Clinical Evidence—Call for contributors

Clinical Evidence is a regularly updated evidence-based journal available worldwide both as a paper version and on the internet. Clinical Evidence needs to recruit a number of new contributors. Contributors are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine and the ability to write in a concise and structured way.

Areas for which we are currently seeking authors:
- Child health: nocturnal enuresis
- Eye disorders: bacterial conjunctivitis
- Male health: prostate cancer (metastatic)
- Women’s health: pre-menstrual syndrome; pyelonephritis in non-pregnant women

However, we are always looking for others, so do not let this list discourage you.

Being a contributor involves:
- Selecting from a validated, screened search (performed by in-house Information Specialists) epidemiologically sound studies for inclusion.
- Documenting your decisions about which studies to include on an inclusion and exclusion form, which we keep on file.
- Writing the text to a highly structured template (about 1500–3000 words), using evidence from the final studies chosen, within 8–10 weeks of receiving the literature search.
- Working with Clinical Evidence editors to ensure that the final text meets epidemiological and style standards.
- Updating the text every six months using any new, sound evidence that becomes available.

If you would like to become a contributor for Clinical Evidence or require more information about what this involves please send your contact details and a copy of your CV, clearly stating the clinical area you are interested in, to Klara Brunnhuber (kbrunnhuber@bmjgroup.com).

Call for peer reviewers

Clinical Evidence also needs to recruit a number of new peer reviewers specifically with an interest in the clinical areas stated above, and also others related to general practice. Peer reviewers are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine. As a peer reviewer you would be asked for your views on the clinical relevance, validity, and accessibility of specific topics within the journal, and their usefulness to the intended audience (international generalists and healthcare professionals, possibly with limited statistical knowledge). Topics are usually 1500–3000 words in length and we would ask you to review between 2–5 topics per year. The peer review process takes place throughout the year, and our turnaround time for each review is ideally 10–14 days.

If you are interested in becoming a peer reviewer for Clinical Evidence, please complete the peer review questionnaire at www.clinicalevidence.com or contact Klara Brunnhuber (kbrunnhuber@bmjgroup.com).
Appendices
Appendix 1

NATIONAL AUDIT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NPSA POTASSIUM CHLORIDE GUIDANCE

Structured interview schedules

The following question schedules are designed as interview schedules, not as questionnaires.

They have been compiled to facilitate the recording of answers but not to constrain discussion in any way. If an answer to a later question is partly given in response to an earlier, prompt them to say more at that stage and don’t ask the question later.

If answers do not correspond to the responses given please note the answer in the ‘other’ box and give details.

Interviews should be tape recorded to allow for accurate transcription of responses to open questions and to permit the capture of data not prompted by questions.

Words in italics are addressed to interviewers only

Please try to obtain copies of any policies referred to – and in particular the following:
Administration of Medicines (IV)
Reporting of medication errors
Storage and handling of potassium chloride

Suggested introduction

“As you are aware, we are carrying out a national audit of the implementation of the NPSA guidance on the storage and handling of potassium chloride. I would value your perspective on the process. Most of the questions I have to ask you are fairly specific, but there are a few that will need you to elaborate, so for that purpose I would like, with your permission to tape record the interview, so that I can be sure of noting your views accurately. Is that all right?
Any quotations that appear in the final report will be attributed to a (medical director/chief nurse/risk manager etc) in one of the participating trusts”
1. **Respondent**

- Medical Director
- Clinical Governance Manager
- Chief pharmacist
- Chief Nurse
- Risk Manager
- Other (Please specify)

2. I wonder if you could tell me who, if anyone, is designated as having the lead role in the trust for the implementation of the Potassium Chloride guidance? (tick more than one if appropriate)

- Chief Executive
- Medical Director
- Clinical Governance Manager
- Chief pharmacist
- Chief Nurse
- Risk Manager
- Other (Please specify)
- No-one specified

3. If someone has lead responsibility, how was this lead established?

- Specified in guidance
- Previously established lead for all NPSA guidance
- Decision by chief executive/clinical governance lead
- Agreed in committee
- Assumed because of previous work in this field
- Other (Please specify)

**If in committee, please name**

*Record comments:*

____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
4. Recognising that the Potassium Chloride guidance was the first to be issued by the National Patient Safety Agency, did the trust have a prior established strategy for handling guidance from this source?

Yes 1
No 2
Same process as for other guidances (e.g. Medical Devices Agency) 3
Other 4

5. Looking back over the last few years, what has been the level of concern in the trust in relation to the hazards of Potassium Chloride administration?

High 1
Medium 2
Low 3
Don’t know 4

Record comments:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

6. Had any safeguards been introduced prior to the issuing of the NPSA guidance?

Yes 1
No 2
Don’t know 3

7. **If yes to question 5**, what were these?

   - Removal from all areas 1
   - Removal from all except critical care areas 2
   - Removal from some areas 3
   - Change to packaging 4
   - Issuing warnings of dangers 5
   - Requirement for single signature 6
   - Requirement for two signatures 7
   - Storage in locked cupboard 8
   - Ongoing staff education 9
   - Other 10
   - Don’t know 11

If other, record details:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

8. Were these changes prompted by any specific publication or event?

Yes 1
No 2
Don’t know 3
9. **If yes, what was the publication or event**

   Issuing of guidance by Guild of Healthcare Pharmacists 1
   Adverse incident in trust 2
   Other 3
   Don’t know 4

**If adverse incident or other, please record**

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

10. Have there been any adverse incidents involving potassium chloride in the last year

   Yes 1
   No 2
   Don’t know 3

11. If there was, how would such an incident have been reported? (to whom; what details would be given and what would the trust do with the information?)

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

12. Has specific action been taken in response to the guidance?

   Yes 1
   No 2
   No need to take any action as already implemented 3
   Don’t know 4
13. **If yes to question 10**, what steps have been taken?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Removal from all except critical care areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Removal from some areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Treat as controlled drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Requirement for single signature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Requirement for two signatures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Storage in locked cupboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ongoing staff education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Has any aspect of the NPSA guidance **not been implemented** for any reasons within the trust? *May be completed later from tape*

15. Has any change been made to the reporting mechanism for incidents involving potassium chloride since the publication of the guidance?

16. On a scale of 1 to 5; where 1 is not at all difficult and 5 is very difficult indeed, how would you describe the process of managing the implementation of this guidance

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Record comments:**

_________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________
17. What or who helped or hindered the implementation of this guidance?
(Prompt if necessary:
Did any particular directorate or professional group support it?
Did any particular directorate or professional group oppose it?
Did people cite time or resources as a problem?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>Helping factors:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b</th>
<th>Hindering factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. How was the guidance disseminated to front line staff?

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. How did you determine which areas should be able to stock concentrated potassium chloride solution?

Designated critical care areas (inc A&E)   
Clear criteria drawn up                     
Decided by consultants in each area          
Agreed in committee                          
Decided by pharmacy                          
Areas using most concentrated potassium chloride 
Other                                         
Don’t know                                    

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If other please record

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
20. In clinical areas in which the storage of potassium chloride is permitted, have you specified one system for the recording of the use of concentrated potassium chloride?

   Yes [1]  
   No [2]  
   Don’t know [3]

21. If so, what is that system?

   Record in controlled drug book [1]  
   Record in separate book [2]  
   Other system (please describe) [3]  
   Don’t know [4]

22. Is every use of potassium chloride to be recorded?

   Yes [1]  
   No [2]  
   Don’t know [3]

23. Do people signing for use of potassium chloride have to be registered practitioners?

   Yes [1]  
   No [2]  
   Don’t know [3]

24. Has the guidance necessitated any changes to the opening hours of pharmacy or to the out-of-hours service?

   Yes [1]  
   No [2]  
   Don’t know [3]

details/comments:

25. Do you have any concerns about unintended consequences (unwanted knock-on effects) of the implementation of this guidance?

   Yes [1]  
   No [2]  
   Don’t know [3]
26. Can you tell me about these? (complete later from tape)

27. Has this guidance been incorporated into trust induction and training strategies?

   Yes  □ 1
   No   □ 2
   Don’t know □ 3

28. Does the trust intend to audit compliance with the guidance?

   Yes  □ 1
   No   □ 2
   Don’t know □ 3

29. Does the trust’s policy allow for concentrated potassium chloride to be supplied for named patients in clinical areas that do not carry stock?

   Yes  □ 1
   No   □ 2
   Don’t know □ 3

30. What is the process by which non permitted areas can obtain concentrated potassium chloride solution out of normal pharmacy opening hours

   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________

30. Other comments

   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

For pharmacists only

31. May we have a list of all dilute solutions of KCl? Yes  □ 1 No □ 2

   stocked by the trust, together with their suppliers

   If yes, please append.
**Appendix 2**

**NATIONAL AUDIT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NPSA POTASSIUM CHLORIDE GUIDANCE**

**Interview with Ward managers (ward sister/charge nurse or designated deputy) and junior doctors**

(Interviewees may be approached when carrying out the ward audit and asked to answer a few short questions. Alternatively they may identified when carrying out the ward audit and invited to answer a few questions at a convenient time later in the day. Introduce yourself as (name) from University of York, undertaking an audit for the National Patient Safety Agency in relation to the storage and handling of potassium chloride.

1. **Trust code**
2. **Interviewee**
   - Ward sister/charge nurse
   - Staff nurse
   - House officer
   - SHO
   - Specialist Registrar
   - Other (please specify)

3. **Type of ward/unit**
   - Accident and Emergency
   - Coronary care
   - High dependency
   - Intensive care
   - Medical
   - Obstetrics
   - Oncology
   - Paediatric
   - Renal units
   - Surgical
   - Special care baby units
   - Theatre - recovery
   - Theatre - anaesthetics
   - Other (please specify)
4. Are you aware of the guidance issued by the National Patient Safety Agency on the storage and handling of potassium chloride?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaguely</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. *If yes,* what can you tell me about the advice it contains?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Withdraw from all but intensive care areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep in locked cupboard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign for use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. *If no,* can you tell me what the trust policy is with regard to the storage and handling of concentrated potassium chloride solution?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Withdraw from all but intensive care areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep in locked cupboard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign for use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. How did you become aware of this guidance, or of changes to Trust policy on the storage and handling of potassium chloride?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guidance/policy circulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational event</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orally by pharmacist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If other, give details:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
8. Has anything happened in the past to make you personally concerned about the storage and handling of potassium chloride?

Yes [1]  
No [2]  
Not sure/Don’t know [3]

If yes, can you share that experience?
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

9. What is the process of reporting administration errors involving potassium chloride?
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

10. Is concentrated potassium chloride stored in your clinical area?

Yes [1]  
No [2]  
Don’t know [3]

If yes answer questions 11 to 15. If no, go to question 16

11. How is it stored?

   Controlled drugs cupboard [1]  
   Other double locked cupboard [2]  
   single locked cupboard/container [3]  
   unlocked cupboard/container [4]

12. How and where is its use recorded?

   Controlled Drugs book [1]  
   Other book [2]  
   Not recorded [3]

13. How many people are required to sign for its use

   No signatures required [1]  
   1 [2]  
   2 [3]
14. Who can sign for potassium chloride?

- No signature required [ ]
- Registered practitioners only (doctors and nurses) [ ]
- One registered and one unregistered practitioner [ ]
- Any two people [ ]

Comments:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

15. How are stocks of concentrated potassium chloride solution replenished?

- Routinely stocked up by pharmacy [ ]
- Ordered in same way as controlled drugs [ ]
- Ordered by nursing staff by other route [ ]

16. What are your responsibilities out of normal pharmacy hours if wards request to borrow concentrated potassium chloride from you?

If no to 9

17. What happens if a patient on your ward requires treatment involving the infusion of potassium chloride different from the stock solutions kept in the ward (eg 60 mmols of KCl in a litre of fluid?)

- Pharmacy supplies ready mixed bags for patient [ ]
- Pharmacy supplies concentrate for patient [ ]
- Other [ ]

If other, please specify

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

18. What is the process of getting hold of potassium chloride solution out of hours?

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

19. Have you experienced or are you aware of any problems in getting hold of non-stock solutions of potassium chloride out of hours?

- Yes [ ]
- No [ ]
- Don’t know [ ]
All respondents

20. Has this guidance (or the trust policy on potassium chloride) caused any difficulties for this clinical area

Yes 1
No 2
Don’t know 3

21. If yes, please describe.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

21. Do you have any other comments or observations?

____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

Thank you for your help in answering these questions
Appendix 3

NATIONAL AUDIT of the IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NPSA POTASSIUM CHLORIDE GUIDANCE

Audit of Clinical areas

Include in sample 5 of the following:
- Intensive care
- Coronary care
- A&E
- theatre
- renal
- HDU
+ 5 non-intensive care wards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Throughout this audit potassium chloride concentrate should be deemed to include strong solutions i.e. concentrations of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% (1g potassium in 10 mls)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% (1.5g potassium in 10 mls)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% (2g potassium in 10 mls)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Trust code

2. Type of ward/unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accident and Emergency</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronary care</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High dependency</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medical</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrics</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncology</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal units</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surgical</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special care baby units</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre - recovery</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre - anaesthetics</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Is this area named by the trust as one requiring a stock of concentrated potassium chloride solution?  

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

4. Inspection of drug cupboard  
Was concentrated potassium chloride solution found?  

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

5. Inspection of controlled drug cupboard  
Was concentrated potassium chloride solution found?  

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

6. Inspection of drug trolley  
Was concentrated potassium chloride solution found?  

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

7. Inspection of crash trolley (non intensive care areas)  
Was concentrated potassium chloride solution found?  

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

8. Inspection of area where IV and IM drugs are prepared (where sodium chloride and distilled water are stored.)  
Was concentrated potassium chloride solution found?  

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

9. Was concentrated potassium chloride solution found in any other location?  

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

If yes, in which locations?  

____________________________________________________________________  
____________________________________________________________________  
____________________________________________________________________

If area is permitted to stock concentrated potassium chloride solution or if any has been found in any location, answer questions 10-14, if not jump to question 15
10. Where is concentrated potassium chloride stored?

- Controlled drug cupboard
- Schedule 3 cupboard
- Separate single locked cupboard
- Separate double locked cupboard
- Other (please specify)

11. Where is the use of potassium chloride recorded?

- In controlled drugs book
- In separate book
- Other

12. In the record, is every use of concentrated potassium chloride signed for by two people?

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

13. Does number of ampoules recorded coincide with number in stock?

Yes ☐ 1  No ☐ 2

14. From what date was potassium chloride recorded in controlled drug book?

If date unknown because book not available tick here ☐

If not an area in which potassium chloride is permitted as a stock drug

15. Which concentrations of potassium chloride are provided in ready mixed bags?

- 0.15% (20 mmols in 1 litre) ☐ 1
- 0.3% (40 mmols in 1 litre) ☐ 2
- 3% (40 mmols in 100 mls) ☐ 3
- Other concentrations (please specify) ☐ 4

Comments

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

Audit team:
When all ten forms are completed for one trust, please place in a sealed envelope and send to Diane Wright, YHEC, Market Square.
Appendix 4

Sample of trusts in study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eastern</th>
<th>London</th>
<th>North West</th>
<th>North &amp; Yorks</th>
<th>South East</th>
<th>South West</th>
<th>Trent</th>
<th>West Mid</th>
<th>Wales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: number of trusts by “old” NHS regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trust code</th>
<th>Recent merger</th>
<th>No of beds (DoH 2002b)</th>
<th>NHS Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;599</td>
<td>600-1199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: merger status, size of trusts and performance rating July 2002 (DoH, 2002c)