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Background: Anaesthetists are regularly involved in the management of patients who have suffered trauma. Acute physiological derangements can occur at any time after the original injury, with life threatening sequelae. These problems may be complex in nature and evolve rapidly, often with an obscure aetiology, so a systematic approach to them is essential.

Objectives: To examine the role of a previously described core algorithm “COVER ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK” supplemented by a specific sub-algorithm for trauma, in the management of anaesthesia involving trauma cases.

Methods: The potential performance of a structured approach for each of the trauma incidents among the first 4000 incidents reported to the Australian Incident Monitoring Study (AIMS) was compared with the actual performance as reported by the anaesthetists involved.

Results: There were 38 relevant reports relating to trauma in the first 4000 reports to AIMS. In 39% of these there was “emergency corner cutting”, although in the majority the urgency was thought to have been more perceived than real. The previously described “core” crisis management algorithm for crises during general anaesthesia was an effective means of discovering (82%), diagnosing (68%), and correcting (66%) the majority of trauma incidents. However, a sub-algorithm specific for the traumatised patient was required for unusual, obscure, or complex presentations.

Conclusion: Although the small numbers preclude validation of the sub-algorithm, it would have successfully managed all the trauma cases reported to AIMS.

Early Management of Severe Trauma (EMST), also known as Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS), is a system which has been devised to systematically assess and resuscitate patients suffering from acute trauma. Many of these patients require anaesthesia and surgery, sometimes while still in the resuscitation phase of EMST, but also during the definitive care phase. Not infrequently, they will return to the operating theatre for months or even years. Given the now widespread acceptance of EMST it seems reasonable to extend the principles of EMST into the operating theatre for months or even years. Given the now widespread acceptance of EMST it seems reasonable to extend the principles of EMST into the operating theatre when managing anaesthesia for acute trauma patients. EMST principles are also useful for resuscitation for a considerable time after the acute trauma episode, when close surveillance continues to be important. Acute physiological derangements can occur at any time after the original injury, with life threatening sequelae. These problems may be complex in nature and evolve rapidly, often with an obscure aetiology; so a systematic approach to them is essential.

In 1993, a “core” crisis management algorithm, represented by the mnemonic COVER ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK (the AB precedes COVER for the non-intubated patient), was proposed as the basis for a systematic approach to any crisis during anaesthesia where it is not immediately obvious what should be done, or where actions taken have failed to remedy the situation. This was validated against the first 2000 incidents reported to the original Australian Incident Monitoring Study (AIMS). AIMS is an ongoing study which involves the voluntary, anonymous reporting of any unintended incident which reduced, or could have reduced the safety margin for a patient.

It was concluded that if this algorithm had been correctly applied, a functional diagnosis would have been reached within 40–60 seconds in 99% of applicable incidents, and that the learned sequence of actions recommended by the COVER portion would have led to appropriate steps being taken to handle the 60% of problems relevant to this portion of the algorithm. However, this study also showed that the 40% of problems represented by the remainder of the algorithm, ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK, were not always promptly diagnosed or appropriately managed. It was decided that it would be useful, for these problems, to develop a set of specific sub-algorithms in an easy-to-use crisis management manual.

This study reports on the place of the COVER ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK algorithm in the diagnosis and initial management of trauma, provides an outline of a specific crisis management sub-algorithm for crises arising in patients under anaesthesia for these problems, and provides an indication of the potential value of using this structured approach.

METHODS

Of the first 4000 reports to AIMS, those which made reference to “trauma” were extracted and analysed for relevance, causes, diagnosis, management, and outcome. The COVER ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK algorithm, as presented elsewhere in this set of articles, was applied to each relevant report to determine the stages at which the problem might have been diagnosed and to confirm that activating the COVER portion would have led to appropriate initial steps being taken. As patients suffering from trauma are not dealt with by this algorithm, a specific sub-algorithm was developed for these problems based on EMST principles (see fig 1) and its putative effectiveness was tested against the reports.

The decision to develop a draft trauma algorithm before complete data analysis was taken because of the wide acceptance of EMST principles and was done in an attempt to validate the algorithm by the data analysis. EMST comprises four phases with the life threatening issues usually being addressed during the primary survey. This consists of five elements:

A - airway with cervical spine control;
B - breathing including ventilation and oxygenation;
ACUTE TRAUMA SUB-ALGORITHM
Early Management of Severe Trauma (EMST) protocol

As many incidents in trauma patients involve ‘corner cutting’ such as failure to check due to perceived urgency, it is essential to recheck everything carefully. The best way to do this is to start again with COVER ABCD. If not successful consider possible missed diagnoses – follow EMST system – repeat primary survey; review results to date, and look for missed injuries.

A  Airway injury
   look for  failure to secure airway, failure to ventilate with tube in airway
   manage  failed intubation drill, consider surgical cricothyrotomy early with facial injury

   Cervical spine injury
   look for  priapism, areflexia, trauma above clavicles, history of neck pain or tenderness
   manage  immobilise neck, review cervical spine X-ray (radiologist if possible), further films as required

B  Tension pneumothorax
   look for  distended neck veins, decreased air entry on ipsilateral side, hyperresonance on ipsilateral side, mediastinal shift away
   manage  immediate needle thoracostomy/formal UWSD insertion
   relevant algorithm  pneumothorax algorithm

   Massive haemothorax
   look for  distended or flat neck veins, decreased air entry on ipsilateral side, dullness to percussion on ipsilateral side, mediastinal shift away
   manage  volume load ++, formal UWSD insertion, beware increased bleeding on UWSD insertion, consider early involvement of thoracic surgeon
   relevant algorithms  hypovolaemia/pneumothorax algorithms

   Bronchopleural fistula
   look for  continuous air leak via UWSD
   manage  consider UWSD piercing lung, consider inserting additional large UWSD, consider isolating lung with ETT down other bronchus or double lumen tube
   relevant algorithm  desaturation algorithm

C  Unexplained blood loss/hypovolaemia
   look for  sites of concealed bleeding: chest/abdomen/pelvis, sites of visible bleeding
   manage  consider chest x-ray, consider pelvis x-ray, consider diagnostic peritoneal lavage or if severe urgent laparotomy, view operative site, examine known wounds
   relevant algorithm  hypovolaemia/pneumothorax algorithms

   Cardiac tamponade
   look for  distended neck veins, decreased heart sounds, unexplained hypotension, equalisation of cardiac pressures
   manage  consider pericardial tap/may need open drainage
   relevant algorithm  hypotension algorithm

   Myocardial contusion
   look for  unexplained dysrhythmias, ST/T wave changes on ECG, hypotension with adequate filling
   manage  dysrhythmia/hypotension algorithms

   Hyperkalaemia after suxamethonium
   look for  patient after head injury, spinal injury or burns, widened QRS, cardiac arrest
   manage  consider taking blood for K estimation, CPR as required, give glucose and insulin, consider giving calcium, consider giving NaHCO3, do not discontinue CPR for at least 30 minutes
   relevant algorithm  cardiac arrest/hyperkalaemia algorithm

D  Undiagnosed intracranial collection
   look for  dilating pupil under GA, unexplained bradycardia and hypertension in presence of known or suspected head injury, raised ICP if monitored, failure to waken
   manage  urgent CT scan if available, urgent neurosurgical consultation

E  Hypothermia
   look for  temperature fall during long cases
   manage  cover as much of patient as possible, active heating methods, warm theatre
   relevant algorithm  hypothermia algorithm

TRAUMA/BLEEDING

CONSIDER WITH
Any unexplained change in the patient’s condition

HIGH RISK SITUATIONS
After high velocity motor vehicle accidents
With any neck/head/chest/abdominal injury, no matter how trivial
With multiple injuries
Patients with pre-existing systemic disease
Elderly patients

PRECIPITATING FACTORS (1)*

Breathing:
Pneumothorax
Pulmonary contusion
Ruptured larynx/bronchi

Circulation:
Ongoing haemorrhage (event or occult)
Intracranial hyperfusion from any cause
Cardiac tamponade
Myocardial contusion

Hypothermia

Rapidly evolving clinical problems (2)
Especially before systematic assessment

Haemorrhage from suxamethonium

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT (3)

Complete COVER–A SWIFT CHECK
Treat all cervical spines as unstable until cleared
Inform the surgeon about any concerns
If there is cardiovascular instability, consider:
Haemorrhage. Many blood volumes may disappear

Myocardial contusion

Haemoperitoneum

If you suspect an intracranial problem:
Assess for focal signs
Inform a neurosurgeon immediately

Check arterial line, measure filling pressures
Be prepared to completely expose and examine the patient, including top to toe, front and back.

Check haemoglobin, electrolytes and clotting regularly.

IF THE SITUATION IS STILL UNRESOLVED, RECHECK FOR:

Airway injury
Cervical spine injury
Pulmonary contusion
Bronchopleural fistula
Unexplained blood loss/hypovolaemia
Cardiac tamponade
Hyperkalaemia after suxamethonium
Undiagnosed intracranial collection
Hypothermia

FURTHER CARE

Maintain vigilance
Continuously reassess the situation
Consider admission to ICU/HOU post operatively.

NOTES:
The COVER–ABCD algorithm detected (82%), diagnosed (68%) and corrected (66%) a high proportion of relevant incidents reported to AMS.

(1) Commonest modes of presentation were, hypoxia/desaturation – 8%, aspiration – 8%, cardiac arrest – 8%, air embolus – 6% and hypotension – 3%.
(2) 39% of incidents were sequelae of ‘cutting corners’ in an effort to save time. Retrospectively, in 73% of these incidents the urgency was judged to be perceived rather than real. Failure to check the machine resulted in equipment related problems in 5% of cases.
(3) EMST – Early Management of Severe Trauma protocol (Australia), USA/UK equivalent is ATLS – Acute Trauma Life Support protocol.

* Numbers in brackets refer to Notes in the right hand panel.
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RESULTS
There were 87 reports that contained the word “trauma”. Of these, 49 were either related to accidental trauma caused during anaesthesia to a non-trauma patient, most commonly damage to a tooth, or involved patients who had a past history of trauma mentioned in the report but which was unrelated to this episode. These unrelated cases were not used in the subsequent analysis and results. The remaining 38 reports related to patients with trauma where the trauma may have contributed to the incident or where the incident occurred in an acute trauma setting. Eight (21%) of these 38 cases involved children 14 years or younger. Incidents were evenly spread across the range of ASA status (table 1) with a surprisingly high proportion (29%) being ASA 1.

Hypoxia/desaturation was the clinical situation occurring most commonly with 20 instances (53%). Fifteen incidents (39%) in apparently urgent cases had “corner cutting” as a factor (table 2). In 11 of these 15 cases, further analysis revealed that the urgency was perceived rather than real.

The COVER ABCD core algorithm was useful in discovering (82%), diagnosing (68%), and correcting (66%) of the trauma based on the EMST protocol (table 3). In the light of AIMS reports by comparing its potential effectiveness for each trauma incident with that of the actual management, as recorded in each report.

DISCUSSION
It is clear that anaesthetising the acutely injured patient can be very stressful. The high percentage of emergency ASA E codes (95%) bears witness to this (table 1). The “emergency” situation seems to engender a sense of urgency that may then lead to “corner cutting” in an attempt to save time; 39% of incidents seem to have occurred at least in part due to this “corner cutting” (table 2). For example, there seems to be a tendency to overlook checks in “emergency” trauma cases, when clearly these are the situations where one can least afford a failure of equipment. It is also crucial to note that on further analysis 11 of the 15 “corner cutting” cases were not

C - circulation with hemorrhage control;
D - disability and pupil status (a neurological assessment);
E - exposure and environmental control.

Potential value of this structured approach (that is, the application of COVER ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK to the diagnosis and initial management of this problem, followed by the application of the sub-algorithm for management of trauma based on the EMST protocol) was assessed in the light of AIMS reports by comparing its potential effectiveness for each trauma incident with that of the actual management, as recorded in each report.

C1 fracture missed pre-op—not viewed by radiologist 1
Suxamethonium given by mistake after intubation 1
Nerve block wrong side 1
Uncrossmatched blood given 1
Missed oesophageal intubation 1
Throat pack left in 1
Partial extubation during movement 2
Ventilator/circuit failure due to no/poor checking 6
Temp set too high on humidifier 1
Review equipment (R2) 1
Review monitors (R1) 1
Airway (A) 2
Breathing (B) 1
Circulation (C) 0
Oxygen (O1) 0
Vaporizer (V2) 0
Endotracheal tube (E1) 1
Elimination (E2) 0
Ventilate (V1) 12
Vaporizer (V2) 0
Endotracheal tube (E1) 1
Elimination (E2) 0
Ventilate (V1) 12
E - exposure and environmental control.

Desaturation

COVER ABCD 25 66
Desaturation* 9 24
Trauma 8 21
Bronchospsam 1 3
Embolism 2 5
Hyperthermia 1 3
Hypothermia 1 3

*All desaturation cases would have been addressed by COVER ABCD.

would have been amenable to prevention simply through better checking. These were a missed C1 fracture, a nerve block on the wrong side, and the giving of uncrossmatched blood. None of these incidents required any special corrective manoeuvres once they had been identified.

Incident related to "corner cutting" n (= 15)

Table 1 Classification according to the American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) function/risk status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASA status</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Healthy patient</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Mild systemic disease</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Moderate systemic disease</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Severe systemic disease</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Moribund patient</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Emergency cases</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Classification of reports according to the algorithm or sub-algorithm that definitively addresses the presenting incident

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm/sub-algorithm</th>
<th>n (=38)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COVER ABCD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desaturation*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchospsam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embolism</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All desaturation cases would have been addressed by COVER ABCD.

Table 3 Role of the various elements of COVER ABCD in discovering, diagnosing, and correcting incidents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COVER ABCD Item</th>
<th>Discover</th>
<th>Diagnose</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circulation (C1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour (C2)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen (O1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen analyser (O2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilate (V1)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaporizer (V2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotracheal tube (E1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination (E2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review monitors (R1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review equipment (R2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Breakdown of incidents addressed by trauma sub-algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trauma sub-algorithm incident</th>
<th>n (=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lacerated intra-thoracic trachea</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broncho-pleural fistula</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperkalaemia after head injury</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncrossmatched blood given*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 fracture missed pre-op</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve block wrong side*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension due to incorrectly used MAST suit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Incident which can be addressed simply by being recognised.
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There were 38 reports relating to trauma in the first 4000 incidents reported to AIMS. Eight (21%) of these involved children less than 14 years of age.

These trauma cases related to incidents in which either the trauma may have contributed to the incident or the incident occurred in an acute trauma setting.

Hypoxia/desaturation was the commonest occurring clinical incident (53%).

Fifteen incidents (39%) involved unjustified “corner cutting”, where the level of urgency appeared to be more perceived than real. The commonest “corner cutting” incident was ventilation/circuit failure due to no/poor checking.

ASA grades I to IV were almost equally represented among the 38 cases; 36 cases were emergencies, with three moribund cases.

A specific trauma sub-algorithm was developed based on the widely accepted Early Management of Severe Trauma (EMST) course principles and its effectiveness was tested against the reports.

The COVER ABCD core algorithm discovered 82%, diagnosed 68%, and corrected 66% of the trauma incidents.

The application of several sub-algorithms from this series of papers, including the proposed trauma sub-algorithm, would have corrected the remaining incidents in this trauma series. The sub-algorithms that definitively addressed the presenting incident were: desaturation in nine cases, trauma in eight cases, embolism in two cases, and bronchospasm, hypothermia, and hyperthermia in one case each.

The single largest contributor to the successful correction of the trauma incidents was “ventilate by hand” (V1) in COVER.

Although consistency with current EMST principles is important, a larger series of trauma incident reports is needed for ongoing validation and revision of the protocol.

The authors would like to thank all the anaesthetists in Australia and New Zealand who contributed to the 4000 incident reports upon which this and the other 24 papers in the Crisis Management Series are based. The coordinators of the project also thank Liz Brown for preparing the draft of the original Crisis Management Manual; Loretta Smyth for typing; Monika Bullock, RN, for earlier coding and documenting; if a particular precipitating event was significant, or a particular action was useful in resolving the crisis, this should be clearly explained and documented.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank all the anaesthetists in Australia and New Zealand who contributed to the 4000 incident reports upon which this and the other 24 papers in the Crisis Management Series are based. The coordinators of the project also thank Liz Brown for preparing the draft of the original Crisis Management Manual; Loretta Smyth for typing; Monika Bullock, RN, for earlier coding and classifying of data; Dr Charles Bradfield for the electronic version of the algorithms; Dr Klee Benveniste for literature research; Drs Klee Benveniste, Michal Kluger, John Williamson, and Andrew Paix for editing and checking manuscripts.

Authors’ affiliations

W M Griggs, Director, Trauma Service, Royal Adelaide Hospital and University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

R W Morris, Director of Research and Development, Sydney Medical Simulation Centre, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, New South Wales, Australia

W B Runciman, Professor and Head, Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, University of Adelaide and Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
REFERENCES