Crisis management during anaesthesia: regurgitation, vomiting, and aspiration
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Background: Regurgitation, vomiting and aspiration may occur unexpectedly in association with anaesthesia. “Aspiration/regurgitation” was ranked fifth in a large collection of previously reported incidents that arose during general anaesthesia. These problems are encountered by all practising anaesthetists and require instant recognition and a rapid, appropriate response. However, the diagnosis may not be immediately apparent as the initial presentation may vary from laryngospasm, desaturation, bronchospasm or hyperventilation to cardiac arrest.

Objectives: To examine the role of a previously described core algorithm “COVER ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK”, supplemented by a specific sub-algorithm for regurgitation, vomiting, and aspiration, in the management of these complications occurring in association with anaesthesia.

Methods: The potential performance of this structured approach for each of the relevant incidents among the first 4000 reported to the Australian Incident Monitoring Study (AIMS) was compared with the actual management as reported by the anaesthetists involved.

Results: There were 183 relevant incidents of regurgitation, vomiting and aspiration among the first 4000 reports to the AIMS. Aspiration was documented in 96, was excluded in 69, and in 18 it could not be determined whether or not aspiration had occurred. It was considered that the correct use of an explicit algorithm would have led to earlier recognition and/or better management of the problem in 10% of all cases of regurgitation and vomiting and in 19% of those in which aspiration occurred.

Conclusion: Regurgitation and/or aspiration should always be considered immediately in any spontaneously breathing patient who suffers desaturation, laryngospasm, airway obstruction, bronchospasm, bradycardia, or cardiac arrest. Any patient in whom aspiration is suspected must be closely monitored in an appropriate perioperative facility, the acuity of which will depend on local staffing and workload. If clinical instability is likely to persist or if there are concerns by attending staff, the patient should be admitted to a high dependency unit or intensive care unit.

Regurgitation, vomiting and aspiration may occur quite unexpectedly in association with anaesthesia and may have serious sequelae. “Aspiration/regurgitation” was ranked fifth and comprised over 5% of a large collection of previously reported incidents that arose during general anaesthesia. Aspiration has been reported to occur with a frequency of 0.7 to 4.7 per 10 000 anaesthetics.2,3 There appears to be a higher rate of aspiration in children (10.2 per 10 000 anaesthetics) than in adults.4 Of note, the reported incidence in obstetric patients undergoing general anaesthesia during or immediately after delivery was similar to that of the general population (5.3 per 10 000 anaesthetics).5 The mortality following aspiration varies between 1 in 35 000 and 1 in 72 000 patients.2,3 While attention has usually focused on aspiration as the major consequence of regurgitation and vomiting, other sequelae such as laryngospasm, desaturation and bronchospasm are also important. These problems are encountered by all practising anaesthetists and present as emergencies requiring instant recognition and a rapid, appropriate response. This paper will focus broadly on aspects of regurgitation and aspiration in anaesthesia as reported to AIMS, and examine the place of a structured approach in dealing with the management of these problems.

In 1993 a “core” crisis management algorithm, represented by the mnemonic COVER ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK (the AB precedes COVER for the non-intubated patient), was proposed as the basis of a systemic approach to any crisis during anaesthesia where it is not immediately obvious what should be done or where actions taken have failed to remedy the situation.7 This was validated against the first 2000 incidents reported to the original Australian Incident Monitoring Study (AIMS). AIMS is an ongoing study which involves the voluntary anonymous reporting of any unintended incident which reduced or could have reduced the safety margin for a patient.9

It was concluded that, if this algorithm had been correctly applied, a functional diagnosis would have been reached within 40–60 seconds in 99% of applicable incidents, and that the learned sequence of actions recommended by the COVER portion would have led to appropriate steps being taken to handle the 60% of problems relevant to this portion of the algorithm.7 However, this study also showed that the 40% of problems represented by the remainder of the algorithm, ABCD–A SWIFT CHECK, were not always promptly diagnosed or appropriately managed.10,11 It was decided that it would be useful, for these remaining problems, to develop a set of sub-algorithms in an easy to use crisis management manual.9 This study reports on the place of the AB COVER CD–A SWIFT CHECK algorithm in the diagnosis and initial management of regurgitation, vomiting or aspiration, provides an outline of a specific crisis management algorithm for these problems during anaesthesia, and provides an indication of the potential value of using this structured approach.

METHODS
Of the first 4000 incidents reported to AIMS, those that made reference to regurgitation, vomiting or aspiration were extracted and analysed for relevance, presenting features, type of surgery, cause, management, and outcome. The AB...
**REGURGITATION/VOMITING**

**MANAGEMENT**
- Inform the surgeon
- Head down, lateral posture, if feasible
- Apply cricoid pressure
- Try to clear and suction the airway
- Give 100% oxygen
- Consider deepening anaesthesia (1)* to visualise and clear the pharynx/airway
- Try gentle mask CPAP/IPPV with cricoid pressure (2)*
- Ventilate the lungs with cricoid pressure

**IF YOU CANNOT VENTILATE → page 14**
- Give suxamethonium and atropine.
- Intubate with cricoid pressure, expedite surgery.

**ASPIRATION**
- **SIGNS (3)**
  - Laryngospasm/airway obstruction
  - Bronchospasm/wheeze/crackles
  - Hypoventilation/dyspnoea/apnoea
  - Reduced compliance (ARDS)
  - Desaturation/bradycardia/arrest.

**FURTHER CARE**
- Sedation, analgesia, IPPV via ET
- Suction airway, optimise FiO2 and PEEP
- Bronchoscopy and lavage if necessary
- Bronchodilators as necessary (4)
- Chest X ray. If normal, and
  - If saturation is adequate, extubate (5)
  - If stable after 2 hours in recovery, send to the ward and arrange for follow up (5)
  - If unstable or saturation is inadequate (5)
    - Maintain intubation and IPPV
    - Admit to a high dependency area (6)
- Explain what happened to relations/friends
- Repeat chest X ray and blood gases
- Consider PEEP, bronchodilators, inotropes
- Culture sputum
- Antibiotics; not routine
- Consider other causes (7)
- Reassess daily (8)

**EXPLAIN WHAT HAPPENED TO THE PATIENT**
- Arrange follow up as necessary.


* Numbers in brackets refer to Notes in the right hand panel.

---

**NOTES:**
- It was judged that the correct use of the algorithm would have led to earlier recognition of the problem and/or better management in 19% of the 96 incidents of aspiration reported to AIMS.
  1. An alternative is to allow the patient to recover consciousness and to start again. Deepening anaesthesia may be necessary to properly visualise and clear the pharynx/airway without precipitating laryngospasm and/or further aspiration or vomiting.
  2. An alternative, if the patient’s condition allows, and the appropriate equipment and assistance is at hand, is to proceed immediately with intubation.
  3. Diagnosis of regurgitation, vomiting or aspiration was clinically obvious in 70% of cases. However 15% of cases of aspiration presented as desaturation, 6% as laryngospasm, 3% as airway obstruction, 2% as bronchospasm, 1% occurred with difficult intubation, 1% presented with hypooxygenation, 0.5% as pulmonary oedema and 0.5% as cardiac arrest.
  4. Salbutamol: 0.5% ml (5mg) by mask nebuliser 4 hourly.
  5. *Stable*: saturation 95% with FiO2 <0.5, heart rate <100, respiratory rate <20/minute (adults), no bronchospasm, apyrexial.
  6. Major morbidity ensued in 50% of all cases of aspiration and death ensued in 4%.
  7. Bronchospasm, pulmonary oedema, ARDS, pulmonary embolism and other causes of ET tube obstruction may present a similar respiratory picture as aspiration.
  8. Steroids and antibiotics should not be used early or routinely.

These notes comprise a reverse side of a page of the Crisis Management Manual12.

---

**RESULTS**
Among the first 4000 AIMS reports there were 198 cases of regurgitation, vomiting or aspiration. Fifteen of these were excluded from further consideration either because other diagnoses were evident after review of the reports or because it was considered that these problems had not played a significant role in the incident. Of the remaining 183 incidents, 96 had evidence of pulmonary aspiration and 69 were documented not to have been associated with pulmonary aspiration. In 18 it was not clear from the report whether or not aspiration had occurred.

Regurgitation, vomiting or aspiration was clinically obvious in 128 cases (70%). A further 28 cases (15%) presented as desaturation (24 in the aspiration group), 11 cases (6%) as laryngospasm (eight in the aspiration group), five cases (3%) as airway obstruction (four in the aspiration group).
group), and four as bronchospasm (all in the aspiration group). A further eight cases of bronchospasm with aspiration occurred in which bronchospasm was not the presenting feature. Of the remaining patients who aspirated, two did so during difficult intubations, two presented as hypoventilation, one presented as bradycardia, and one as a cardiac arrest.

Of the 96 reports in which regurgitation or vomiting caused aspiration, 54 (56%) were in elective and 42 (44%) in emergency cases. The interventions undertaken in these patients are shown in table 1.

Major morbidity ensued in 48 cases (50%), minor morbidity in 41 cases (43%), and death in four cases (4%). In one case no outcome was documented and in two it was considered that there were no adverse sequelae. Admission to an intensive care unit was documented in 28 cases. Of the 69 reports in which regurgitation and vomiting did not cause aspiration, 19 (28%) were in elective and 47 (68%) were in emergency cases. In three cases the status of the patient was unknown. Forty eight cases (70%) suffered no adverse effects and the remaining 21 suffered minor morbidity (desaturation in 10 cases, laryngospasm in three, and airway obstruction in one). No major morbidity was documented in the 18 incidents in which it was not clear from the reports whether aspiration had occurred or not.

When the AB COVER CD–A SWIFT CHECK algorithm was applied to each report, it was considered that the majority of cases would have been detected at the A (Airway) or B (Breathing) stages preceding COVER, if not at the SCAN level (70% of cases were clinically obvious), then at the CHECK level. The terms SCAN and CHECK are discussed elsewhere elsewhere. Admission to an intensive care unit was documented in 28 cases. Of the 69 reports in which regurgitation and vomiting did not cause aspiration, 19 (28%) were in elective and 47 (68%) were in emergency cases. In three cases the status of the patient was unknown. Forty eight cases (70%) suffered no adverse effects and the remaining 21 suffered minor morbidity (desaturation in 10 cases, laryngospasm in three, and airway obstruction in one). No major morbidity was documented in the 18 incidents in which it was not clear from the reports whether aspiration had occurred or not.

When the potential effectiveness of the structured approach represented by the AB COVER CD–A SWIFT CHECK algorithm and the special sub-algorithm for regurgitation, vomiting and aspiration (fig 1) was compared with that of the actual management as documented in each of the 183 incident reports, it was considered that, properly applied, the structured approach recommended would have led to a quicker and/or better resolution of the problem in 18 cases (10% overall and 19% of the aspiration group). These were all cases of aspiration in which problems arose because of inadequate intervention—for example, airway not secured (eight cases), not placed in lateral or “head down” position (five cases), no cricoid pressure (four cases), airway not suctioned (two cases)—or because of an inappropriate action was taken—for example, not admitted to a high dependency area after proven aspiration; intravenous dexamethasone, resulting in convulsions. In general, the incidents were managed well as it was considered that the algorithm would have performed no better than the anaesthetist in 167 cases (91%).

**DISCUSSION**

Regurgitation, vomiting and aspiration are quite commonly perceived by anaesthetists to be significant problems as they comprised 183 (5%) of the first 4000 incidents reported to AIMS. Also, these problems comprise a significant proportion of the major complications and deaths attributable to anaesthesia. The reports analysed here form part of a larger study of this problem using the AIMS collection of incidents.

The diagnosis was clinically obvious in 70% of the cases reported and presented as laryngospasm or airway obstruction in a further 9%. However, 15% presented as desaturation, 3% as bronchospasm, and one case as cardiac arrest. Thus, although 80% of cases of regurgitation, vomiting or aspiration should be diagnosed at the A stage of AB (preceding COVER in the spontaneously breathing patient), it is important to recognise that 20% of patients present in different ways. These problems must immediately be considered in any spontaneously breathing patient who presents with unexplained desaturation, laryngospasm, airway obstruction, hypoventilation, bronchospasm, pulmonary oedema, or cardiac arrest.

In 81% of cases in the aspiration group it was considered that the anaesthetist managed the problem quite adequately. However, in 19% it was considered that the problem would have been better managed had a structured algorithm been followed, and it was concluded that the AB COVER CD–A SWIFT CHECK algorithm is still worth following even when the diagnosis seems obvious, as in some cases an underlying and ongoing cause of the problem was revealed—for example, an unintended excessive concentration of irritant vapour causing coughing and vomiting; or inadvertent administration of a muscle relaxant.

The AIMS reports also supported the use of each of the steps in the specific sub-algorithm (fig 1). Although the surgeon may oppose placing the patient in a head down and lateral position, this should be done whenever possible. There were several cases of aspiration with major sequelae in patients who were not placed on their sides (for example, orthopaedic cases), who were left in the lithotomy position or on their backs (for example, during urological or gynaecological surgery, or who were not placed head down (for example, with major plastic surgery to the face). It may be necessary for the anaesthetist to point out to the surgeon that aspiration is a potentially life threatening complication.

The airway should be secured as soon as possible with placement of an endotracheal tube using rapid sequence induction with cricoid pressure. There were several cases in which the airway was not secured and in which the patient went on to regurgitate again, sometimes with pulmonary aspiration. Once the airway has been secured, thorough suctioning of the trachea and accessible bronchi should take place. Again, this was not carried out in some patients who were later shown to have considerable soiling of their major airways. Rigid bronchoscopy should be considered if there is thought to be particulate matter in the major airways.

---

**Table 1** Documented management of patients with aspiration (n = 96)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management*</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intubation</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suction</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchodilators</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoscopy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insatopes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure. *More than one management approach was used in some patients.
Finally, careful evaluation and monitoring of the patient in the postoperative period is essential. For most patients, observation in the recovery ward for at least 2 hours is essential, although this will depend on staff levels and workload. A chest radiograph should always be obtained if aspiration is suspected. Adequate doses of nebulised bronchodilators should be used if there is bronchospasm, and continuous positive airway pressure or positive end-expiratory pressure should be used with artificial ventilation if there is evidence of reduced compliance or poor gas exchange. There is no evidence that intravenous steroids have a place in treatment, and one patient convulsed after the injection of a large dose of intravenous dexamethasone. Antibiotics should not be used and one patient convulsed after the injection of a large dose of antibiotics should be used if there is bronchospasm, and dilators should be used if there is bronchospasm, pulmonary oedema, or cardiac arrest.

56% of the 96 cases of aspiration were elective procedures.

In 48 cases of aspiration (50%) major morbidity resulted, with death in four patients (4%).

Although the majority (81%) of the reported aspiration cases were managed satisfactorily, it was considered that a structured approach would have resulted in better management in 19% of the cases.

Surgical opposition to placing the patient rapidly in the head down, lateral position should be countered with the fact that aspiration is a potentially life threatening situation.

Consider rigid bronchoscopy for suspected particulate matter in the airways.

Twenty eight cases required admission to an intensive care unit.

Criteria for monitored discharge of patients who have aspirated to a general ward are: clinical stability, SpO2 >95% on FiO2 <50%, heart rate <100 beats/min, respiratory rate <20/min, temperature normal, minimal bronchodilation needed.
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Key messages

- Of the 183 relevant incidents among the first 4000 AIMS reports, 96 showed evidence of pulmonary aspiration. In 128 cases (70%) regurgitation, vomiting or aspiration was clinically obvious.
- As 20% of such cases present in different ways, these complications must be considered in any spontaneously breathing patient presenting with unexplained desaturation, laryngospasm, airway obstruction, hyperventilation, bronchospasm, pulmonary oedema, or cardiac arrest.
- 56% of the 96 cases of aspiration were elective procedures.
- In 48 cases of aspiration (50%) major morbidity resulted, with death in four patients (4%).
- Although the majority (81%) of the reported aspiration cases were managed satisfactorily, it was considered that a structured approach would have resulted in better management in 19% of the cases.
- Surgical opposition to placing the patient rapidly in the head down, lateral position should be countered with the fact that aspiration is a potentially life threatening situation.
- Consider rigid bronchoscopy for suspected particulate matter in the airways.
- Twenty eight cases required admission to an intensive care unit.
- Criteria for monitored discharge of patients who have aspirated to a general ward are: clinical stability, SpO2 >95% on FiO2 <50%, heart rate <100 beats/min, respiratory rate <20/min, temperature normal, minimal bronchodilation needed.

Finally, it is important that a full explanation of what happened be given to the patient, that the event and the results of any tests should be documented in the anaesthetic record and, if appropriate, that the patient be given a letter to warn future anaesthetists. If necessary, a permanent warning bracelet should be worn by the patient. If a particular precipitating event was significant or a particular action was useful in resolving the crisis, this should be clearly explained and documented.
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