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ABSTRACT
Background The concept of patient safety culture (PSC) has increasingly been used in the development of patient safety. However, no theoretical framework on the nature of the underlying phenomenon has been created. Multiple characterisations of the key dimensions of PSC exist, but they yield little theory on patient safety culture or its relation to patient safety. The authors propose a dynamic and multilayered construct of patient safety culture and illustrate the critical dimensions at each layer.

Conclusions PSC can be defined as the willingness and ability of an organisation to understand safety as well as the willingness and ability to act on safety. Patient safety requires controlling and steering the organisation, and being mindful of the social processes and psychological phenomena.

INTRODUCTION

Patient safety culture (PSC) has been proposed as a concept tackling the organisational properties of patient safety. The properties include the personnel’s safety attitudes, beliefs and knowledge. The concept highlights the significance of group- and organisational-level processes for safety.

As recent safety research has pointed out, it is important to consider safety as something more than merely the absence of incidents.1–4 Furthermore, organisations should be treated not as mechanical machines but as dynamic sociotechnical systems.4–7

Previous studies have demonstrated multiple characterisations of key dimensions of PSC. However, they yield little theory on PSC or its relation to patient safety. The underlying theoretical framework has remained implicit in most previous studies.8–10 The advances made in organisational theory and safety science have not reached the concept of PSC.11 No coherent view of the contents of PSC has emerged.

This article proposes a new, dynamic and multilayered construct of PSC. We argue for a three-layer model of PSC that identifies the organisational dimensions, the psychological dimensions and the social processes. The layers are based on an analysis and synthesis of existing theories and case studies. The layers form the organisational potential for patient safety. We discuss the application and validity of the construct in light of cultural theories and existing knowledge of safety in complex systems.

LAYERS OF PATIENT SAFETY CULTURE

Organisational dimensions

Most studies concerning PSC have approached the subject from the organisational science paradigm. Survey studies have revealed different sets of dimensions of PSC. Most of these dimensions can be called ‘organisational’ because they depict key activities of the organisation in ensuring patient safety (box 1). For example, when studying the psychometric properties of the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture questionnaire, Sorra and Nieva arrived at a 12-dimension solution, separating the dimensions based on whether they measured unit- or hospital-level phenomena.11 Sexton et al considered only six dimensions central to patient safety,9 and Singer et al utilised the five-dimensional PSCI Culture Survey.10 Zohar et al studied the safety climate of nurses from the point of view of three caring dimensions at unit and hospital level.11

The accuracy of the reported dimensions is hard to evaluate because the theoretical reasoning behind them is either thin or altogether lacking.5–10 This reflects the theoretically underspecified nature of the construct of safety culture.4 12–14 Moreover, researchers have sometimes combined a set of qualitatively different dimensions. For example, in the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire9 the dimensions of job satisfaction and stress recognition represent individual-level phenomena, whereas the dimensions of teamwork climate or safety climate represent organisational- or team-level phenomena. We argue that it would be useful to make these qualitative distinctions explicit. The organisational dimensions are only one, although highly important, layer of PSC.

Some of the variation in the organisational dimensions is explained by the fact that researchers have named the dimensions differently, even when the content is almost the same. In fact, if we look under the surface level of the dimensions, we find a rather coherent picture of the organisational dimensions important to safety. Certain common themes repeatedly come up in safety culture research, both in healthcare and in other domains. We summarise these in table 1.

‘Organisational management system’ refers to the documented work roles and safety responsibilities. Standardisation and use of formal procedures have increased in healthcare through the rise of the patient safety movement and evidence-based practice.15 The utilisation of instructions as guidelines and resources for action is important. At the same time, it should be understood that rules can only serve as support tools for decision-making.16

Management commitment to safety has been considered the most central component of safety culture since the formulation of the concept in the 1980s.17 Flin concludes that management commitment to safety has proven to be the most important organisational dimension also in healthcare.18

‘Actions of the management to promote safety’ refer to the actions through which the safety
commitment of the management becomes visible. Examples are the prioritising of patient safety in the distribution of resources and a long-term plan concerning the development of patient safety. ‘Actions of the immediate superior’ refer to the way the supervisor shows her commitment to patient safety through her actions. Supervisor shows her commitment to patient safety through her actions.

Practices of cooperation’ refer to the way personnel and teams work together in order to ensure patient safety and whether all the necessary information to perform the actual work is easily available. The importance of teamwork and communication to patient safety has been recognised in many studies.8

‘Practices of organisational learning’ include both the collective practices of continuous improvement8 and the ways in which errors are reported and utilised as opportunities for learning. ‘Management of competencies and training’ concerns the ways in which the organisation ensures that the personnel have the abilities necessary to do their work. It includes providing the necessary formal training and ensuring adequate supervision of interns and new employees. ‘Management of resources’ refers to the adequacy of working conditions and personnel resources.6

‘Management of change’ has recently received attention in the industrial safety domain.20 In healthcare, change management is not yet well established. However, large-scale technological changes and the economic pressure to rationalise healthcare are forcing organisations to pay more attention to how they handle changes. ‘Management of third parties/subcontractors’ rarely comes up in studies of PSC, but its importance to safety is growing through the increasing outsourcing of healthcare-related services.

‘Collaboration and information flow between units’ and ‘collaboration and information flow between professional groups’ are critical dimensions of PSC because healthcare organisations are typically large and complex, and the culture is often hierarchical and comprises strong professional subcultures.11 22 23

Social processes
Social processes affect how things are interpreted, how practices are shaped and how meaning is created within the organisation.6 24 25 Social processes deal with intentional changes, unintentional variations, trade-offs and reinterpretations of daily work. Time is an important component of these processes. Social processes can be seen as social mechanisms that ‘quietly’ shape organisational and psychological dimensions in the organisation. Table 2 depicts the most important social processes in terms of patient safety.

Collective sensemaking of events and incidents6 25 and the formation and maintenance of social identity28 are closely linked processes. Social identity refers to a sense of belonging to a certain organisation, profession or group, and the differentiations made between the in-group and other groups. The manner in which characteristics of organisational life affect behaviour depend strongly on the self-categorical meaning of those characteristics for the members of the organisation.26 For example, organisation members evaluate information in light of its source. The same information can be received very differently, even disregarded, if the messenger is from some other professional group (eg, a nurse) as opposed to a colleague (eg, a fellow doctor). Collective sensemaking is an ongoing social process of enacting organisational reality and generating the cues that are later used for interpretation.6 25 Thus, sensemaking is about creating a plausible narrative of ambiguous and uncertain events. This narrative creates expectations that guide attention in future. For example, during the flu season, a patient’s symptoms of a sore throat or a headache are more easily interpreted as manifesting flu, since the diagnosis fits the context created by all the other patients.

Table 1 Organisational dimensions of patient safety culture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisational management system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions of the management to promote safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actions of the immediate superior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practices of cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and information flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practices of organisational learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of competencies and training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management to third parties/subcontractors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration and information flow between units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration and information flow between professional groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Social processes of patient safety culture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collective sensemaking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social identity maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimising and local adaptation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalisation of cues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedding of conceptions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Optimisation and adaptation of the work practices dictated by local demands have an impact on patient safety. Normalisation of cues can be seen as a process where repetitive cues such as oversensitive alarms, continuous overflow of patients or erroneous measurements become normalised and regarded as not requiring attention. This has negative consequences if the cue is important to patient safety. It is practical to ignore an oversensitive (and typically false) alarm, except for the one time that it signals a real threat.

Often, tools and technology mean whatever they have been used for in the past. The process of embedding conceptions and meanings within the technology, tools and procedures in organisations affects how the hazards and the demands of the work are understood. For example, the categories—reason for admission, previous known medical conditions—that exist in electronic patient records direct attention to those issues. In a similar manner, the design of an incident reporting system and its error categories already embeds a conception of what information is critical for improving patient safety.

Specification of social processes is challenging. It relies on in-depth qualitative assessment and self-reflection by the organisation. Nevertheless, current theories in safety science have provided compelling evidence on the role of these processes in various organisational failures. Thus, it is important to understand social processes in order to improve patient safety.

**Psychological dimensions**

The psychological dimensions of PSC indicate the subjective experiences and views of the personnel concerning their work. We have summarised the psychological dimensions of PSC in table 3. These dimensions have been identified in work psychological research in various domains.

When assessing PSC in an organisation, it is important to take notice of how the personnel experience their work (sense of control, responsibility and meaningfulness). It is also important to consider how the personnel view safety. For example, are errors considered individual faults or organisational-level problems?

It should not be taken for granted that the personnel understand the variety of safety hazards. In healthcare, the nature of hazards is complicated by the fact that patients suffer from various illnesses or injuries, some of which are fatal and some of which can become fatal if not diagnosed correctly and treated accordingly. Inadequate organisational practices and processes can pose additional hazards. Treatments also cause side-effects and are themselves sometimes hazardous. Finally, patients can create hazards either for themselves or for others, intentionally or unintentionally.

The psychological dimensions should create a mindset that is alert to the possibility of unpleasant surprises or unexpected events. This mindfulness refers to a state of mind where the healthcare professional is constantly trying to anticipate potential failure scenarios and update their knowledge of the unfolding situation.

**Table 3. Psychological dimensions of patient safety culture**

| Perceived meaningfulness of one’s own work |
| Sense of control over one’s own work and its results |
| Knowledge of organisational and interest groups’ expectations concerning one’s own work |
| Sense of personal responsibility over one’s own work and its results |
| Knowledge of the hazards and hazard mechanisms that can turn potential hazards into actual harm |
| Knowledge of safety and the necessary means to achieve it |
| Knowledge of the organisational core task and its constraints and requirements |

The psychological dimensions provide the individual preconditions for safe situational activity. The aim of safety management is to develop and sustain optimal psychological dimensions. The organisational dimensions are the layer where development activities are carried out and which can be managed by interventions and technological innovations. Social processes can explain why certain dimensions have formed in the way they have. PSC, when understood as a construct involving the three layers described above, can be seen as an indicator that comes close to measuring patient safety itself. PSC could provide a proactive indicator of patient safety.

Figure 1 illustrates that the organisational dimensions create the preconditions for the psychological dimensions and provide cues (actions, information, practices) for the social processes. Social processes in turn attribute meaning to the organisational dimensions and constrain or enable the development of psychological dimensions. Finally, psychological dimensions direct and steer the organisational dimensions. The content of the psychological dimensions feeds back into social processes and requires collective interpretation. Together these three layers form the organisational potential for providing safe care.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The paper proposed a theoretical framework and a measurement model for the concept of patient safety culture. This framework should be tested and verified empirically in future research. The framework viewed PSC as a multilayered construct. This view is different from many PSC studies that do not explicitly depict the qualitatively different layers behind the measurements and, in fact, do not put PSC into a theoretical framework at all. According to the framework proposed in this article, patient safety requires controlling and steering the organisational dimensions, and being mindful of the social processes and the psychological dimensions.

PSC does not include the situational performance of individual workers. PSC is more about potential than actual performance. In real situations, the preconditions (potential) created by PSC actualise in a manner that is dependent on many task- and situation-specific variables. These include the nature of
Box 2 Examples of concrete positive manifestations of the layers of patient safety culture

Examples of organisational dimensions
Management of third parties/subcontractors
Subcontractors are carefully introduced to the practices and safety issues of the organisation giving them a better understanding of how patient safety is assured.

Management of change
Risk analysis is conducted both technical and organisational changes leading to increased understanding of the hazards associated with change.

Actions of the immediate superior
Supervisor provides feedback on safety conscious behaviour, promoting employees’ understanding of hazards, and expectations concerning patient safety.

Examples of social processes
Collective sensemaking
Reporting of errors and near-misses becomes an important part of daily work promoting increasing understanding of issues threatening patient safety.

Social identity maintenance
Social identity promotes high ethical standards (sense of personal responsibility) and acknowledgement of uncertainties in professional knowledge (understanding of hazards).

Optimising and local adaptation
Units are able to adapt to changing circumstances, such as a sudden increase in the flow of patients, without losing the big picture and all the while increasing their understanding of the means to achieve safe care.

Examples of psychological dimensions
Sense of control over one’s own work and its results
Realistic sense of control enables one to perceive one’s capabilities and limitations, and to learn from one’s job and learn about one’s competence.

Knowledge of organisational and interest expectations concerning one’s own work
Good knowledge of expectations helps the personnel to concentrate their work on those issues that are considered important in the organisation and to know whether they are acting in line with common practice.

Knowledge of safety and the necessary means to achieve it
Understanding of the systemic nature of safety promotes cooperation and sharing of knowledge as well as the realisation that safety needs to be maintained and recreated in the daily work.
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The three layers of PSC can be studied by different research methods, both qualitative and quantitative. No one method is likely to encompass all layers of the culture. Thus, it is important to acknowledge which layer the methods measure and which layers are excluded. PSC research would benefit from a more explicit theoretical focus including explicating the premises concerning the concepts of organisation and patient safety.


