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ABSTRACT
Objective To explore and describe perceptions of antibiotic prescribing among Swedish hospital physicians, with special reference to whether the perceptions included awareness of antibiotic resistance (AR).

METHOD
Study design
This study was conducted with a phenomenographic approach.9 Phenomenography is a qualitative method designed to identify and describe perceptions in a group of people and has been used to explore perceptions among healthcare professionals.10–14 Data in a phenomenographic study are commonly collected in face-to-face interviews. A total of 20 interviews are regarded as sufficient to identify the variation of perceptions.15 The result of a phenomenographic study is presented as categories of descriptions, which are the researchers’ abstraction of perceptions. Furthermore, an outcome space is created, which shows how the categories of description relate to each other.16

Participants A strategic sample of 20 hospital physicians specialising in internal medicine, surgery or urology.

Main outcome The variation of perceptions of antibiotic prescribing.

Results Five qualitative different perceptions were found. AR was considered in two of the perceptions. Reasons for not considering AR included a dominating focus on the care of the patient combined with lack of focus on restrictive antibiotic use, or uncertainty about how to manage infectious diseases or the pressure from the healthcare organisation. Parallels between the five perceptions and the stages in the transtheoretical model of health behaviour change were seen.

Conclusions In three of the perceptions, AR was not considered when antibiotics were prescribed. Physicians who primarily express these three perceptions do not seem to be prepared to change to restrictive prescribing. Our findings can be useful in designing activities that encourage AR prevention. Organisational changes are also needed.

Increase in antibiotic resistance (AR) is a global problem that requires attention.1 The level of AR is related to the level of antibiotic use.2,3 To prevent an increase in AR development, restrictive prescribing is recommended.4 Several factors influencing the prescription of antibiotics have been proposed, for instance, awareness of AR among physicians5,6 and patients’ demands for antibiotic treatment.7 However, a decisive factor is certainly how the prescribers actually perceive antibiotic prescribing because the way people act is related to how they perceive certain phenomena. Many studies on physicians’ perceptions and attitudes to antibiotic prescribing focus on primary care practitioners; studies on hospital physicians are less frequent. However, although they are not the major antibiotic prescribers, hospital physicians are important because they often serve as role models for primary care practitioners.8 The aim of this study was to explore and describe perceptions among Swedish hospital physicians of how antibiotics are prescribed, with special reference to whether the perception included awareness of AR.

Data collection All interviews were conducted by one of the authors (JB) in May/June 2005. The interviews lasted 25–90 min, were semistructured and followed a common interview guide. Questions were constructed to help the participants focus on actual situations when they had prescribed antibiotics. This was done by asking them to recall a specific situation experienced during routine clinical practice and to describe the situation, including how the decision to prescribe antibiotics was made. The question was repeated and a second situation was examined. At the end of the interview, participants were asked for their general opinions regarding the development of AR. All interviews were held during the physicians’ work time in a quiet room at the hospital. Nineteen interviews were tape-recorded and transcribedverbatim. In one case, thorough notes were taken during the interview because the physician did not agree to be tape-recorded. Immediately after the session, the whole interview was written.
Table 1 Demographics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Urology (total)</th>
<th>Surgery (total)</th>
<th>Internal medicine (total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–70</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5 (1 woman)</td>
<td>5 (1 woman)</td>
<td>10 (2 women)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of female physicians is small. However, the proportion of female physicians in Sweden in 2005 was similar to the proportion in our study (internal medicine 31%, surgery 14% and urology 11% female physicians). The medical specialities were selected because of expected experience of treating patients with infections or for perioperative prophylaxis.

Analysis

The analysis was done by the first author (IB) and aimed at finding the variation of perceptions in the group of physicians as outlined by phenomenographic theory.9 The statements in all interviews were analysed together. From this meaning pool the categories emerged. Thus, findings relate to the group, not to individuals. The analysis can be described in five steps (see table 2). MR acted as co-reader. This meant that she first read all interviews for an overall impression of the content. Then she studied the preliminary categories of description and judged whether these were reasonable, after reflection on what was said in the interviews. After this a discussion was held between analyst and co-reader to establish final categories and create an outcome space.

FINDINGS

Five categories of description were identified, representing five qualitatively different perceptions. A basic concept expressed in all categories concerned the patient; today’s patient must be cared for and properly treated. There was also a general awareness of the existence of AR. However, this awareness was to various degrees either held in the foreground or in the background and thus had different meanings in different perceptions. Furthermore, infectious disease specialists were mentioned in all perceptions. However, the relationships to them varied.

The findings are reported as categories of descriptions, and the relationships among categories are shown in the outcome space (see figure 1). In this study, more awareness of AR when antibiotics are prescribed corresponded to a more complex perception. Categories are described in table 3; each category is illustrated by a quotation.

Table 2 Analysis described in five steps

1. First, the transcripts were read several times to establish familiarity with the contents and to outline preliminary categories of description. At this stage the focus was on the meaning embedded in all the texts together. During the analysis this question was kept in mind: what does this statement tell me about how this physician perceives antibiotic prescribing and how he or she considers AR?
2. All interviews were read again and the preliminary categories were described in words. A loose structure of the logical relation among categories was created. Here, focus was kept on the meaning but also on the structure of meanings.
3. The interviews were read once again and summaries of each interview were written down. During this reading the focus was on the meaning in each interview but in relation to all texts as a whole.
4. All summaries were grouped according to similarities and differences and formed into categories, if possible close to the preliminary categories of description. Here the focus was on the preliminary categories. Adjustments were made again and the descriptions of categories were revised.
5. At this point the analysis was presented to one of the authors who acted as co-reader (MR). In a discussion between the two researchers, the categories were revised once again and the outcome space was established.

AR, antibiotic resistance.

There are different ways to perform a phenomenographic analysis in practice.14 In this study the procedures used have similarities to the analysis described by Prosser.15

Figure 1 This outcome space illustrates the logical relationship among the five identified categories of description A-E. In an outcome space a category that is more complex is placed at a higher level, above categories that are less complex. Aspects building up the categories: 1) Care of patient, 2) Guidelines 3) Restrictive prescribing, and 4) Special interest in infectious disease treatment. Aspects included in the categories: A includes 1; B and C include 1 and 2; D includes 1, 2 and 3; and E includes 1, 2, 3 and 4.

DISCUSSION

This is one of the first studies exploring hospital physicians’ perceptions of antibiotic prescribing. The most important contribution is that it presents a new way to describe how physicians perceive antibiotic prescribing in hospital care. The analysis focused especially on whether the perceptions included awareness of AR. Five qualitatively different perceptions were identified; in two of them, AR was considered when prescribing antibiotics, and in three, AR was not considered.

A major factor that influenced perception was whether the physician had a special interest in infectious disease management or not. We found that only in the most complex perception was there a clear expression of this interest (Aware, interested and competent). In all other perceptions, the concept was that other areas were more important and interesting. Obviously, special competence is necessary to use antibiotics with narrow-spectrum and short treatment periods (which theoretically is more beneficial from a resistance development perspective) to avoid the feeling that the patient’s life and health is jeopardised.

In perception D (Aware and restrictive, but support required), the perception was that it is possible to use less aggressive antibiotic treatment strategy in most patients. This concept had developed despite lack of special interest in treatment of infectious diseases. Instead, this had developed from close collaboration with colleagues with such special interests, either physicians in the same departments or infectious disease specialists. The importance of these colleagues was emphasised, and to maintain this perception, close support from an infectious disease specialist is probably necessary.

In perception B, the barrier for restrictive antibiotic prescribing expressed by the physicians was the uncertainty of how to manage infectious diseases. Lack of such knowledge among physicians has been reported in earlier studies (see below). Thus, to encourage restrictive antibiotic prescribing, physicians must be reinforced in the management of infectious...
disease treatment; probably both education and restrictive prescribing experience are needed.

An important message to policy-makers and hospital managers is given in perception C (Stuck in the healthcare system). It was clearly stated that it is possible to use treatment methods that reduce the risk of AR, but the healthcare system develops in another direction and thus contributes to AR development. Most infections are not life-threatening, and it is often wise to wait and see how the disease develops before aggressive treatment strategies are chosen. Practicing these methods was, however, considered impossible in healthcare today. This finding is important and should not be ignored; the healthcare system must be organised in a way that supports restrictive antibiotic prescribing.

Many studies demonstrate that physicians do not practice restrictive antibiotic treatment. It has been suggested that a major
expressed in hospital care. It has been shown that physicians do in our study probably because patient demands are less clearly demands or pressure to satisfy patients were, however, not issues choosing a broad-spectrum antibiotic could be that the physician patient with what they perceive will satisfy the patient.18 Patient intravenous to oral antibiotics or from broad-spectrum antibiotics to different ways to act when prescribing antibiotics.

described different perceptions among physicians, which re participants experience and what actions they take. We have perceptions we identi
tions, it is possible to understand something about what the participants experience and what actions they take. We have described different perceptions among physicians, which reflect different ways to act when prescribing antibiotics.

Phenomenography has its roots in pedagogic research and was initially created to develop learning.7 Learning is considered an ongoing process, and new insights are gained by incorporating new aspects. Thus, there is an idea of development that can be applied to perceptions identified in a phenomenographic study. Examining our findings, we saw a development from perception A to perception E in the expression of aspects important for restrictive antibiotic prescribing. Care of the patient, the only aspect included at the first level (A), was obvious and present in all five perceptions. The next level (B and C) included one more aspect, guidelines. Next level (D) included these two aspects plus a new aspect, restrictive prescribing. Finally, at the highest level (E), the three aspects were included, as well as a new aspect, special interest in infectious disease treatment.

Studying our findings, we found parallels between the different perceptions we identified and the various stages of health behaviour change, as described in the transtheoretical model26 (see table 4). The model explains that action-oriented health promotion activities often fail because most people are not ready to change; other activities are needed to influence people in the first stages of behavioural change. Insights from health promotion can probably be used in the promotion of AR prevention. This conception can be most useful for promoters of AR prevention. We suggest that physicians who primarily express the perceptions described in A, B and C are not ready for change. For instance, physicians with dominant perception A must first be convinced that the threat of AR is real. Education about risks associated with inappropriate prescribing seems adequate.

The findings in this study are limited to how Swedish hospital physicians perceive the phenomena of prescribing antibiotics. We believe, however, that the findings can be used in other contexts; similarities to previous findings were seen and the possibility of finding correlations with the stages in the transtheoretical model strengthens our findings.

stage of change (Prochaska and Velicer)26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of change (Prochaska and Velicer)</th>
<th>Suggested correlation to our categories of description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precontemplation</td>
<td>Not aware of the problem; not interested in taking action; tend to avoid reading, talking or thinking about their high-risk behaviours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contemplation</td>
<td>Have intentions to change, know the pros but are much aware of the cons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation</td>
<td>Have intentions to act in the near future, have taken some action and have plans for how to change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Act to a degree to reduce risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Try to keep the new behaviour and avoid relapses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the model, behaviour change is a process involving five stages. Previous theories described behavioural change as an event. The right column shows our suggestion of best correlation between identified perceptions and stages of change. Note that perceptions and stages include different aspects and cannot be totally correlated.

CONCLUSIONS

This study presents a new way to understand how hospital physicians perceive antibiotic prescribing. Five qualitatively different perceptions were found. AR prevention was practiced in two of the perceptions. In the other three, AR was not considered in treatment of infectious diseases. This was related to the lack of focus on AR, the feelings of uncertainty in managing infectious treatment or that healthcare system was a barrier. Specialists in infectious diseases play decisive roles in helping hospital colleagues in AR prevention.

Parallels were found between identified perceptions and stages in the transtheoretical model of health behavioural change. Physicians who primarily express the three perceptions where AR was not considered do not seem to be ready to change to restrictive prescribing. Our findings can be useful in the development of activities directed at physicians who encourage AR prevention. It should be noted that, in addition to such activities, organisational changes are also needed.
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