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Background: Tens of millions of patients worldwide suffer disabling injuries or death every year due to unsafe medical care. Nonetheless, there is a scarcity of research evidence on how to tackle this global health priority. The shortage of trained researchers is a major limitation, particularly in developing and transitional countries.

Objectives: As a first step to strengthen capacity in this area, the authors developed a set of internationally agreed core competencies for patient safety research worldwide.

Methods: A multistage process involved developing an initial framework, reviewing the existing literature relating to competencies in patient safety research, conducting a series of consultations with potential end users and international experts in the field from over 35 countries and finally convening a global consensus conference.

Results: An initial draft list of competencies was grouped into three themes: patient safety, research methods and knowledge translation. The competencies were considered by the WHO Patient Safety task force, by potential end users in developing and transitional countries and by international experts in the field to be relevant, comprehensive, clear, easily adaptable to local contexts and useful for training patient safety researchers internationally.

Conclusions: Reducing patient harm worldwide will require long-term sustained efforts to build capacity to enable practical research that addresses local problems and improves patient safety. The first edition of Competencies for Patient Safety Researchers is proposed by WHO Patient Safety as a foundation for strengthening research capacity by guiding the development of training programmes for researchers in the area of patient safety, particularly in developing and transitional countries, where such research is urgently needed.

INTRODUCTION

Patient safety represents a global public health problem which affects countries at all levels of development. WHO Patient Safety (formerly known as the World Alliance for Patient Safety) was established in 2004 to mobilise global efforts to improve the safety of healthcare for patients in all WHO Member States. WHO estimates that millions of patients worldwide suffer disabling injuries or death every year due to unsafe medical practices and care.1 While nearly one in ten patients is harmed while receiving healthcare in well-funded and technologically advanced hospital settings, there is little evidence about the burden of unsafe care in developing countries, where the risk of patient harm may be even greater due to limitations in infrastructure, technology and human resources, either in hospital or in primary care and community settings.

WHO Patient Safety gives special emphasis to research advancement as one of the essential building blocks for achieving safer care. Patient safety research is defined as: an action-oriented field of scientific enquiry that aims to determine: 1) the type and magnitude of harm caused by unsafe care; 2) the contributing factors and causal pathways that are potentially modifiable, including unsafe systems, processes and behaviours; and 3) cost-effective and locally adapted interventions that can successfully prevent, reduce or mitigate unsafe care to reduce harm. More knowledge - and better use of the knowledge available - are essential for understanding the extent and causes of patient harm, and for developing solutions that can be used in different contexts. To address the lack of research capacity in patient safety research worldwide, especially in developing and transitional countries, WHO Patient Safety convened a task force of world experts in patient safety research, curriculum development and
research capacity strengthening from a wide range of countries in early 2008. The initial goal was to develop a set of core competencies for patient safety research to guide the development of education and training opportunities for promoting capacity strengthening in this area. Below, we describe the group’s approach to competency development, present the competencies, and discuss implications and next steps. The full report is available on the WHO website (http://www.who.int/patientsafety/).

**METHODS**

The 21-member international task force convened by WHO Patient Safety followed a seven-stage process (figure 1) to develop patient safety research competencies that would be applicable in developing, transitional and developed countries. The first stage involved preparing a background paper and initial framework for patient safety research competencies. These documents were discussed at the first meeting of the task force in February 2008 (Stage 2). An expanded literature review of competencies relating to patient safety, research and knowledge translation (Stage 3) was combined with the initial framework from Stage 1 and feedback from Stage 2 to create a preliminary list of patient safety research competencies (version 0.1). An internal consultation with the task force (Stage 4) used an on-line survey to identify key documents or competencies that did not emerge from the Stage 3 literature review, and to suggest changes to the content or format of the preliminary list of competencies. Feedback was incorporated into version 0.2 of the competencies. A large-scale external consultation with potential end users of the competencies (Stage 5) used a snowball technique to send an on-line survey to researchers, practitioners and policymakers in developing and transitional countries working in the area of patient safety, to determine whether the list of competencies (version 0.2) would be easy to understand, appropriate to local contexts and useful for training future patient safety researchers. Feedback was used to revise the competencies to version 0.3. A second external consultation with international experts in patient safety (Stage 6) involved sending an online questionnaire to a convenience sample of 155 experts chosen from several key meeting and conference lists to assess the face validity of the proposed list of competencies. The sample, which included the external leads of WHO Patient Safety as well as advisory council members for the Research Programme, involved experts from the six WHO world regions, although the predominance was from high-income countries in Europe and North America where patient safety research has been more developed. Version 0.4 of the patient safety research competencies was presented to the task force for discussion at a 2-day conference (Stage 7) aimed at (a) achieving consensus on a first edition of the Patient Safety Research Competencies (version 1.0), as well as (b) identifying steps for further validation and dissemination of the competencies, and for their incorporation into existing or new training programmes in developing and transitional countries in particular.

**RESULTS**

In January 2008, when the seven-stage process of competency development began, little had been published on the nature, boundaries and challenges of patient safety research. Since that time, a great deal more has emerged in the literature. The initial framework for patient safety research competencies that emerged from Stage 1 suggested that competencies may differ for different target audiences, different regional contexts and different levels of research advancement (table 1). The primary discussion points of the international task force (Stage 2) focused on (a) how to develop patient safety research competencies that would be relevant for developing and transitional countries, and (b) who should be the main target audience of patient safety research education and training.

Twenty-nine documents were identified for inclusion in the literature review and synthesis of competencies relating to patient safety research (Stage 3). These included documents on competencies for promoting patient safety, for conducting health services research and for knowledge translation. A preliminary list of patient safety research competencies was created (version 0.1) based on key themes which emerged from the literature (figure 2) relating to patient safety, research, and knowledge translation. Feedback on this preliminary list was obtained from nine out of 21 members (43%) of the task force (Stage 4).
Respondents to the internal consultation highlighted the need for further attention to questions about whether and how patient safety research competencies may differ for different researcher profiles (e.g., academic researcher, policy-maker, healthcare practitioner interested in research), different regional, social, economic and cultural contexts, and the extent to which the competencies take into account unique contextual issues in developing countries.

In response to these concerns, Stage 5 solicited feedback from potential end users of the competencies in developing and transitional countries. The external consultation yielded usable data from 73 respondents in 35 developing and transitional countries across all six WHO regions. Respondents were largely early to mid-career academics or physicians involved in conducting research relating to patient safety (96%), with one-third focusing on safe medicines and devices, and another third focusing on healthcare associated infections. Respondents indicated that the proposed patient safety research competencies were easy to understand and relevant in developing and transitional countries, and would be useful for training patient safety researchers in these regions. Respondents also indicated that few patient safety research training opportunities presently exist (see Table 2). At least half of respondents believed that each of the three competency areas is important for practitioners, policy-makers and academic researchers alike, thus indicating that a single list of competencies for all three profiles of patient safety researchers should be retained in version 0.3.

Of the 46 international experts who responded in Stage 6, 85% found the competencies easy to understand, 87% considered them to be appropriate for local contexts, and 93% thought they would be helpful for training future patient safety researchers internationally (see Table 2). They also emphasised the need to avoid the use of technical jargon (such as ‘disseminating’ and ‘surveillance’) and the need for subdividing competences that cover multiple concepts and grouping together those where there is overlap.

In the final stage of the competency development process (Stage 7), 17 of the 21 members of the task force, as well as three key informants, attended a consensus conference for an in-depth discussion of version 0.4 of the patient safety research competencies. During the conference, modifications were made to better reflect that the main target audience are researchers (as opposed to end users of research such as clinicians or policy-makers) and to ensure that the final document would employ appropriate terminology commonly used in the field of competency development. Consensus was reached that since all competencies contained basic and advanced levels, there was no need to specify competencies as basic or advanced for trainees at different academic levels. The first edition of the Competencies for Patient Safety Research (version 1.0—Box 1) was agreed upon at the close of the meeting.

**DISCUSSION**

Patient safety research competencies represent the fundamental knowledge, ability, skills and expertise needed to carry out research in this area and to use...
patient safety research evidence to make healthcare safer. The current paper describes the methods and results of a multistage process, including literature reviews and consultations with experts and stakeholders that were used to develop patient safety research competencies. The competencies that emerged are intended to guide patient safety researchers in acquiring the knowledge and skills needed to conduct research that aims to better understand the magnitude and type of patient harm, identify potentially modifiable contributing factors, and design and test cost-effective and locally adapted interventions that can successfully prevent, reduce or mitigate unsafe care to reduce harm.

The first edition of Competencies for Patient Safety Research (version 1.0—box 1) proposed in this paper emphasises (a) understanding the science of patient safety, (b) conducting valid and ethical research and (c) translating research into practice. Our results indicate that both experts and potential end users believe the competencies can be tailored to different audiences, local and national contexts, and levels of educational attainment. Our results further suggest the core competencies have potential to be used by educational and research institutions in high- as well as low- and middle-income country contexts to inform curricula and the development of training programmes. In particular, the core competencies can be used to (a) provide a systematic basis for training, (b) define key learning objectives that should be covered, (c) demonstrate the many different types of knowledge and skills that are needed to carry out patient safety research, (d) tailor training programmes and curricula to different professional profiles, contexts and needs, and (e) help evaluate the progress of patient safety research trainees.

Experience utilising the proposed patient safety research competencies will be essential to achieve a better understanding of the completeness, specificity and acceptability by different user groups in different socio-economic environments, as well as the feasibility of incorporating the competencies into a variety of educational programmes and training modalities. This will require the more general competency areas of ‘designing and conducting patient safety research’ and ‘translating research evidence to improve the safe care of patients’ to be adapted to the field of patient safety research by drawing upon context-specific examples, case studies, data collection tools and study designs that are particularly useful in trying to address research questions relating to patient safety.27

### Implications for policy and practice

Relatively little is known about the epidemiology of patient safety in developing or transitional countries, and about what strategies will be effective in improving...
Patient safety researchers are able to:

1. Describe the fundamental concepts of the science of patient safety, in their specific social, cultural and economic context. These concepts include the following items, among others:
   1. Basic definitions and foundational concepts, including human factors and organisational theory
   2. The burden of unsafe care
   3. The importance of a culture of safety
   4. The importance of effective communication and collaboration in care delivery teams
   5. The use of evidence-based strategies for improving the quality and safety of care
   6. The identification and management of hazards and risks
   7. The importance of creating environments for safe care
   8. The importance of educating and empowering patients to be partners for safer care

2. Design and conduct patient safety research. These competencies include the ability to perform, but are not necessarily restricted to, the following:
   1. Search, appraise and synthesise the existing research evidence
   2. Involve patients and carers in the research process starting with defining the research objectives
   3. Identify research questions that address important knowledge gaps
   4. Select an appropriate qualitative or quantitative study design to answer the research question
   5. Conduct research using a systematic approach, valid methodologies and information technology
   6. Employ valid and reliable data measurement and data analysis techniques
   7. Foster interdisciplinary research teams and supportive environments for research
   8. Write a grant proposal
   9. Obtain research funding
   10. Manage research projects
   11. Write-up research findings and disseminate key messages
   12. Evaluate the impact of interventions as well as feasibility and resource requirements
   13. Identify and evaluate indicators of patient safety for use in monitoring and surveillance
   14. Ensure professionalism and ethical conduct in research

3. Be part of the process of translating research evidence to improve the safe care of patients. The skills involved include, but are not restricted to, the ability to contribute to the following:
   1. Appraise and adapt research evidence to specific social, cultural and economic contexts
   2. Use research evidence to advocate for patient safety
   3. Define goals and priorities for making healthcare safer
   4. Translate research evidence into policies and practices that reduce harm
   5. Partner with key stakeholders in overcoming barriers to change
   6. Promote standards and legal frameworks to improve safety
   7. Institutionalise changes to build supportive systems for safer care
   8. Apply financial information for knowledge translation
   9. Promote leadership, teaching and safety skills.
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