

**Discussion** In this study, the impact of symbols on the understanding of a guidelines recommendation was limited. Important methodological limitations apply but this study questions the impact of symbols to clarify a recommendation's message. However, visual aids are likely to be very important for users to help to identify recommendations within larger text document and therefore make guidelines easier to use and implement.

**P093 DETERMINING PHARMACIST AWARENESS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NICE MEDICINES ADHERENCE GUIDELINE**

<sup>1</sup>J Thornton, <sup>2</sup>S Tait, <sup>2</sup>D Steinke, <sup>2</sup>D Ashcroft, N Elliot. <sup>1</sup>National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, Manchester, UK; <sup>2</sup>School of Pharmacy, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

10:1136/bmjqs-2013-002293.157

**Background** Up to 50% of medicines are not taken as recommended with potential for reduced benefits or treatment failure and financial implications of the unused medicines. The NICE clinical guideline on Medicines Adherence is relevant to all healthcare workers but there has been no formal assessment of its uptake among pharmacists.

**Objectives** To determine awareness and application of the Medicines Adherence guideline among UK hospital pharmacists

**Method** A postal self-completion questionnaire was sent to hospital pharmacies across northwest England. Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the responses and key themes identified from free-text comments.

**Results** There were 45 responses. Pharmacists were aware of the guideline via communication from NICE (26%), pharmaceutical/medical press (20%) and local communication (14%). 20% of respondents reported that their hospital/department had guidance in place before publication of the guideline and 23% that their hospital issued guidance after publication. 39% already used the principles of the guideline in their practice and further independent action was not needed whereas 22% changed their practice. Although most pharmacists considered they had adequate experience and training, insufficient time and technical support were major barriers to addressing adherence issues in practice.

**Discussion** Improved communication about the guideline is needed. Many pharmacists want to apply the principles of the guideline but need support to overcome barriers to effective implementation.

**Implications for Guideline Developers/Users** Guideline developers could help implementation by disseminating relevant guideline information more specifically at pharmacists. Cross-referral to the Medicines Adherence guideline could be included in all other relevant NICE guidelines.

**P095 THE ROLE OF NATIONAL GUIDELINES IN DEVELOPING REGIONAL WORKING ARRANGEMENTS BETWEEN MEDICAL SPECIALISTS AND GENERAL PRACTITIONERS**

<sup>1,3</sup>L Meijer, <sup>1,2</sup>F Schellevis. <sup>1</sup>Nivel, Utrecht, Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>VU University Medical Centre General Practice & Elderly Care Medicine/EMGO+, Amsterdam, Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Medical Coordinating Center Eemland, Amersfoort, Netherlands

10:1136/bmjqs-2013-002293.158

**Background** In the Dutch health care system, general practitioners (GPs) are gatekeepers for secondary health care. A referral is needed before consulting a medical specialist. In 20 Dutch regions Medical Coordinating centres develop Regional

Agreements (RAs) about patient care at the interface between primary and secondary health care. Ideally, national evidence-based guidelines are used as the basis for RAs.

**Objectives** To provide insight into the usefulness of national guidelines in the development of RAs.

**Methods** Qualitative semi-structured interviews were conducted in 2009 with medical coordinators (N = 9), GPs (N = 16) and medical specialists (N = 14), from seven coordinating centres. All participated in developing an RA about different subjects (hematuria, gastroscopy, postmenopausal bleeding, stroke or exercise ECGs). The recorded interviews were transcribed, encoded and analysed in MAXQDA.

**Results** National guidelines were used in the development of most RAs. GPs and medical specialists reported to use national guidelines from their own (monodisciplinary) organisation. Medical coordinators introduced the most national guidelines. Developing or revising an RA often started on the occasion of a newly published or revised national guideline. The problems in the use of national guidelines are: limited information about cooperation, conflicting information between different guidelines, no trust in the guideline development procedure, and guidelines are not up to date.

**Discussion** National guidelines have an important role in the development of RAs. National guidelines should pay more attention to recommendations for regional collaboration.

**Implications** After the development of a national guideline, the developers should keep in touch with GPs and medical specialists in the regions to pick-up their implementation problems.

**P096 THE QUALITY OF CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES OF ACUPUNCTURE**

<sup>1,2</sup>H Chen, <sup>1</sup>Y Gu, <sup>1</sup>X Zhang, <sup>1</sup>G Li, <sup>1</sup>B Xu. <sup>1</sup>Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China; <sup>2</sup>Chinese GRADE Center, Lanzhou, China

10:1136/bmjqs-2013-002293.159

**Background** Acupuncture practice plays an important role in healthcare in China as well as in the world. 2011, the China Academy of the Chinese Medical Sciences (CACMS) published 5 Clinical practice guidelines (CPGS) of acupuncture, which were the only 5 CPGS specialised for acupuncture in China. Our research was to systematically review the quality of these 5 CPGS.

**Methods** We evaluated the quality of the 5 CPGS through the guideline appraisal instrument: Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation II (AGREE II). Four appraisers rated 6 domains separately.

**Results** None of the included 5(0%) guidelines described the systematic methods for searching and selecting the evidence, and all (100%) appraised the quality of evidence and graded the strength of recommendations. 5 guidelines (100%) reported the guideline panel which involved special methodologists, and 5 (100%) mentioned updates but no one (0%) described a procedure and frequency for updating the guideline. None of the guidelines (0%) considered the patients values, and no one (0%) used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) system. None (0%) reported the conflicts of interests. From the assessment with AGREE II, the mean scores were very low for the domains editorial independence (2%) and applicability (8%), while the other domains were low for the rigour of development (18%), stakeholder involvement (35%), 'clarity of presentation' (46%) and 'scope and purpose' (54%).