P100 IHC TESTING FOR SEBACEOUS NEOPLASMS: A RAPID REVIEW OF SCREENING ACCURACY AND APPLICATION OF GRADE
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Background Lynch syndrome is the most common form of inherited colorectal cancer (~3% of cases). Among patients with colorectal cancer tumours, immunohistochemistry (IHC) for mismatch repair proteins has demonstrated validity and utility as a screening test for Lynch syndrome, although guidelines differ on whether screening should be limited to patients at increased risk. IHC can be performed on other Lynch-related tumours, including sebaceous neoplasms, although it is unclear if IHC should be part of routine pathologic evaluation for sebaceous neoplasms.

Objectives To determine the clinical validity and utility of routine IHC testing of sebaceous neoplasms to inform development of guidance on screening for the Muir-Torre variant of Lynch syndrome.

Methods An AHRQ-based analytic framework was created. We conducted comprehensive searches to identify clinical studies that evaluated IHC testing of sebaceous neoplasms as a method of screening for Muir-Torre syndrome. GRADE was used for critical appraisal.

Results The body of evidence included 14 clinically heterogeneous studies representing approximately 300 patients. The weighted mean screen positive rate was 37%. Few studies reported measures of clinical validity, although half of the studies reported the prevalence of visceral malignancy and/or results of germline mutation testing, suggesting possible reporting bias.

Discussion The overall body of evidence is of low quality and does not provide conclusive evidence for or against IHC testing for sebaceous neoplasms, either as a routine protocol or only among patients at high risk for Muir-Torre syndrome.

Implications for Guideline Developers/Users Rapid evidence reviews are useful for informing guideline development on rare/genetic conditions.

Results We wanted the system to: be web-based, guide reviewers through a standardised data extraction form, be easy-to-use and manage, allow enough flexibility to accommodate different guideline topics, be free-of-charge and easily accessible from different locations without the need for downloading software. We identified a customer relationship management service, Salesforce, that allowed us to build a data extraction module using their backbone structure. It incorporates centralised management of multiple systematic reviews simultaneously, batch allocation of studies to individual reviewers, guided customised point-and-click data extraction, generation of tables to assist discrepancy resolution with easy export to a csv-file extension format.

Discussion This project represents a continuous effort to facilitate efficient and high-quality systematic reviewing with participation of our guideline development groups throughout the systematic reviewing process. A first version of the system is currently being evaluated.

Implications for Guideline Developers/Users Customising existing software for guideline development purposes might be an attractive and inexpensive alternative to developing new tools for data extraction when full participation of the guideline development group in the systematic review process is desired.
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Background Standardised duplicate data extraction and tabulation can be challenging for organisations that develop multiple guidelines involving multiple and remote systematic review teams simultaneously.

Objectives To develop a web-based tool for facilitating duplicate data extraction and efficient discrepancy resolution.

Methods Based on previous experiences with word-processing and spreadsheet tools, European Renal Best Practice listed their system requirements and collaborated with a consultancy company to identify appropriate customisable software.