INTERACTIVE WORKSHOPS

**145WS EVIDENCE-BASED GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT FOR DIAGNOSTIC QUESTIONS**

M E Vella, X Yao, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada; Program in Evidence-Based Care, Cancer Care Ontario, Hamilton, Canada

Objectives/Goal: Using the lessons from our guideline group, the objectives of this workshop are for participants to learn practical skills related to the development of guidelines for diagnostic questions. Specifically, the following areas will be addressed: Generating an appropriate research question. Developing relevant eligibility criteria for choosing diagnostic studies. Critically appraising diagnostic studies using existing tools and quality criteria. Determining what types of recommendations can be generated when different types of evidence and information are available and to respond when the most relevant information is not available.

**138WS THE US INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) CRITERIA FOR TRUSTWORTHY GUIDELINES, THE NATIONAL GUIDELINE CLEARINGHOUSE (NGC) AND YOU: A WORKSHOP ON NGC’s REVISED INCLUSION CRITERIA**

M Nix, J Jue, L Haskell, S Cunningham, V Coates. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville MD, USA; ECRI Institute, Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA

Background: The National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC), funded by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, will adopt the 2011 IOM revised definition of an evidence-based clinical practice guideline (CPG) and change its criteria for inclusion; thereby raising the bar CPGs must meet in order to be included. Systematic evidence review and benefits and harms of care options are the key changes.

Objectives/Goal: At the end of the workshop, participants will be able to recognize the new aspects of the inclusion criteria; understand how the criteria will be applied; apply them to CPGs provided by instructors and estimate eligibility for inclusion; and apply this learning to their organization’s readiness to submit new/updated guidelines to NGC.

Target Group, Suggested Audience: Current and future CPG developers; CPG implementers and disseminators; researchers and clinicians.

Description of the Workshop and of the Methods used to Facilitate Interactions: This workshop will discuss the revised NGC inclusion criteria and describe specific requirements around a systematic review underpinning the CPG as well as descriptions of benefits and harms. The workshop will include a didactic portion, an interactive exercise, and a take-away checklist. There will be ample question and answer opportunities. Instructors will distribute guidelines and materials and participants will determine eligibility for inclusion in NGC. A checklist will enable participants to understand the changes needed to ensure inclusion of their CPGs in NGC.

**144WS HOW TO MAKE JUDGEMENTS ABOUT THE QUALITY OR STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE TRANSPARENT**

M Langendam, R Mustafa, M Ventresca, P Heus, N Santesso, A Carrasco, R Moustgaard, J Lasserson, H Schunemann, Dutch Cochrane Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada; Central Editorial Unit, Cochrane Collaboration, London, United Kingdom; Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark

Objectives: In this interactive workshop the participants will learn how to formulate understandable and informative reasons for down- and upgrading the quality of evidence by using a footnotes checklist.

Target Group: Systematic reviewers and guideline developers assessing the quality or strength of evidence.