Improving transition from paediatric to adult cystic fibrosis care: programme implementation and evaluation
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ABSTRACT

Background The paradigm of cystic fibrosis (CF) care has changed as effective therapies extend the lives of patients well into adulthood. Preparing for and maintaining high quality CF care into the adult healthcare setting is critical for prolonged survival. Unfortunately, this transfer process from the paediatric to the adult CF centre is met with a variety of challenges.

Objective and methods The objective of this quality improvement (QI) project was to develop, implement and evaluate a theory-based programme for transition from paediatric to adult CF care. In a multi-phase process, the paediatric and adult programmes developed a transition curriculum, addressed care standards and standardised patient transfer protocols. We evaluated the impact of this process through staff surveys, review of field notes from QI meetings, tracking transfers and responses of patients to the Transition Readiness Assessment Questionnaire (TRAQ) at the start of the programme and 18 months after initiation.

Results The collaboration between the paediatric and adult teams continued through quarterly meetings over the past 4 years. This has provided a forum that sustained our transition programme and 18 months after initiation.

Conclusions We successfully created a curriculum and process for transition from paediatric to adult CF care at our centres. This collaboration shapes the communication between our paediatric and adult CF care teams and enables ongoing feedback among patients, families and providers. The impact of our transition programme on long-term patient morbidity will require future evaluation.

BACKGROUND

Advances in cystic fibrosis (CF) treatment have changed CF from a disease lethal in early childhood to a chronic illness with a median survival of 40 years.1 2 Emerging small molecule therapies hold promise to further extend survival.3 More than 48% of CF patients in the USA are age 18 years or older, and this number is increasing.4 For young adults with CF, the transition from paediatric to adult care should be a triumphant milestone; however, time of transfer has been associated with morbidities such as loss of pulmonary function, decrease in body mass index (BMI) and hospitalisations.5 6 Increased morbidity in young adults with chronic disease is not unique to CF; similar trends occur in youth with other chronic health conditions and reflect challenges of self-care and treatment adherence.7–15

Not surprisingly, both paediatric and adult providers face challenges in caring for young adult patients.16 17 Providers in the nationwide network of Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Care Centers work in multidisciplinary teams and deliver expert CF-specific care in paediatric or adult care settings which are often geographically, administratively and professionally separate. Providers struggle to meet the psychosocial challenges and ensure the necessary skills for young adults to be successful adult consumers of healthcare.18–22 While multiple reports endorse the standard that...
paediatric providers should prepare their patients for
transfer to adult care, there are no generally accepted
guidelines to assure smooth transfer from paediatric to
adult centres.23–26

The University of California, San Francisco Cystic
Fibrosis Center (UCSF-CFC) paediatric and adult
teams recognised poor coordination in the transfer of
paediatric patients to adult care. While some young
adult patients transferred to adult care by choice,
others were transferred because of being over the age
limit of care in the paediatric hospital, marriage, preg-
nancy or incarceration. Often these transfers were
unplanned and occurred during hospitalisation for
acute illness, raising a concern for later morbid-
ities.17 27 We hypothesised that by jointly formalising
a transition process between the two programmes, our
providers would improve the care delivered to adoles-
cents and young adults with CF and strengthen young
adult self-management and self-advocacy skills. The
transition programme was developed around three
principles:
1. Transition is a responsibility shared by the paediatric and
adult CF teams.
2. Patient introduction to transition in a framework of
developmental milestones would promote sufficient
knowledge of CF and skills in self-care to maintain their
health and access therapies.
3. Evaluation of the transition programme would allow the
CF centre to recognise and sustain positive impacts on
patient outcomes and the process of care.

This paper describes the theory supporting our
approach to transition, the implementation process,
and the effect of the transition programme on the
patients, providers and UCSF-CFC.

METHODS
UCSF-CFC description
The UCSF-CFC averages an annual census of 160
adult and paediatric patients, with an average of 5–8
patients transferring to the adult centre per year. The
paediatric CF clinics are located on the main
San Francisco campus and at a larger, suburban out-
reach clinic 40 miles away. A single separate adult CF
clinic is located on the main city campus. Paediatric
and adult CF teams admit patients to the same hos-
pital. Paediatric and adult care teams are led by paedi-
atic or adult pulmonary medicine specialists; team
members include nurse practitioners, social workers,
respiratory therapists and nutritionists. Except for one
nurse practitioner trained to see both paediatric and
adult patients, there is little overlap of paediatric and
adult team members.

UCSF-CFC paediatric to adult transfers prior to transition
initiative
From 2005 to 2008, 20 patients transferred from the
paediatric to adult centre.

Forty per cent of these patients transferred to adult
care during an inpatient hospitalisation without prior
contact with the adult CF care team. Eighty per cent
of patients transferred required multiple hospitalisa-
tions in the year following transfer. During this inter-
val, adult CF providers attempted to facilitate
transition by introducing the adult CF team through
outreach services at the suburban paediatric clinic or
meeting with families during paediatric hospitalisa-
tions. Neither of these interventions solved the poor
transfer outcomes.

Identification of barriers to transitioning
Growing frustration with the transfer process
prompted physician leaders of the paediatric and adult
CF programmes to perform a centre needs assessment.
Care providers identified and reviewed transition bar-
riers for 26 paediatric patients aged 15–26 years. The
work generated served as the basis for a quality
improvement (QI) proposal funded by the Cystic
Fibrosis Foundation (CFF) and was the impetus to for-
malise a QI transition programme between our paedi-
atic and adult centres.

Development of the transition programme
Theoretical framework underlying the transition programme
Three theories of change28 provided the basis for the
design of our transition programme (figure 1) as a
single approach to change would not likely address
the barriers encountered by the clinic. Barriers identi-
fied in the needs assessment were approached from an
ecological model. This model acknowledges that over-
coming transition barriers must be approached in a
multi-level process: intrapersonal (within patient and
provider), interpersonal (among providers and
between providers and patients), and within the com-
unity (clinic/hospital). The specific interventions to
address barriers per level are listed in online supple-
mental appendix 1. Social Cognitive Theory of
Change provided the framework for changes in
provider and patient interaction through reciprocal
determinism (changing the environment through
educational materials used by providers), behavioural
capacity (ensuring skills were met), expectations
(improving discussion on health outcomes), self-
efficacy (curriculum to improve understanding and
disease), modelling (through repetition and teaching)
and reinforcements (achieved by reviewing set goals
with providers). Educational transition materials for
patients and families were developed within the
Health Belief Model framework (table 1). Materials
focused on addressing gaps in knowledge of disease
and the perceived beliefs of health status. The goals of
the materials were to develop patient self-management
and self-advocacy skills while documenting their
readiness to engage in adult responsibilities related to
their CF care.
Provider and clinic/hospital barriers: creating a culture of shared responsibility

To facilitate communication between the paediatric and adult centres, joint 3 h meetings were held every 2 months with published, time-allotted agendas to optimise productivity. Meetings were attended by respiratory therapists, social workers, pharmacists, dieticians and the clinical providers. At least one representative from each of the three clinic sites attended each meeting. During these meetings, staff addressed deficiencies in the programme and presented ideas and options for approaching the issue at hand. The physician-lead then assigned a team member to assemble a work group to develop a clinical solution to the problem. For example, annually, a QI meeting was devoted to transition readiness assessments of patients 16 years and older. To facilitate these patient reviews, the adult team social worker agreed to work with peers from the paediatric clinics to develop the Transition Readiness Tool. At the annual patient

Figure 1  Driver diagram for cystic fibrosis programme.

Table 1  Health Belief Model: contents of the transition notebook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>Notebook content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived susceptibility</td>
<td>▶ Not aware of current respiratory status, BMI or microbiologic profile and its effects on long term health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived severity</td>
<td>▶ Not aware of the consequences of CF disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived benefits</td>
<td>▶ Not aware of the benefits of CF treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived barriers</td>
<td>▶ No time to do chest therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ No time to take enzymes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cues to action</td>
<td>▶ May forget to keep track of symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ May forget medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>▶ Not sure they can do all their treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Not sure how to contact their providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Graphs of pulmonary function tests, BMI, and sputum microbiology data are reviewed with clinician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Prepared material selected based on per patient/provider discussion including graphs from CFF patient registry, antibiotic information, infertility, nutrition, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Graphs of pulmonary function when healthy versus sick and potential impact of pulmonary exacerbations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ BMI correlation with lung function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Calendar to monitor and encourage airway clearance therapies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Schedules for time management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Discuss ways to incorporate enzymes with meals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Calendar insert to record daily health status or symptoms and to remind patient to take medications and do chest therapy and chart completed therapies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Medication list to remind patient of medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ ‘To do list’ to set small but stepwise goals, reviewed by clinician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Business card holders for multiple specialists/provider numbers for patients to have easy access to their provider</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; CF, cystic fibrosis; CFF, Cystic Fibrosis Foundation.
review, adult and paediatric providers worked from this template to discuss and record challenges in care (eg, psychosocial, developmental) that could persist following transfer. Nutritionists from the paediatric and adult clinics observed that the approach to patients with low BMI varied among the clinic sites and clinicians. Working from the published nutrition guidelines of the CFF, the nutritionists developed a Nutrition Map to standardise nutritional assessments and interventions in our adult and paediatric clinics. A timeline illustrating the programme and dynamics of the QI processes is shown in figure 2.

Patient/family barriers: developing and implementing transition materials

We developed transition materials to educate patients, communicate transition goals and convey our centre’s approach to this process. The materials, a transition guide and notebook were developed in a series of rapid Plan–Do–Study–Act (PDSA) cycles over the 6-month process. Initially, a work group comprised of physicians and nurses created an educational guide entitled ‘Transitions’. The transition guide emerged as an action item between QI meetings as team members felt the need for tools to teach patients and families about CF care (Plan). The guide amalgamated transition materials from various chronic disease clinics, prior work of other CF centres and industry adherence tools. Our guide introduces transition in an age-based framework of developmental milestones. CF knowledge and skills are organised by age, in 2–3-year increments, as checklists delineating the transition curriculum. Each checklist incorporates discussion points for therapies, patient specific details of health status such as pulmonary function testing, and challenges of maturation including peer pressure, sexuality and work/education goals. The guide focuses on knowledge of CF, emotional coping, adherence strategies, and increasing autonomy in self-care within healthcare visits consistent with the Social Cognitive Theory Model and the Health Belief Model (figure 1 and table 1).

While our guide provided information, it did not support active learning. A pulmonary fellow developed a working notebook (Plan) that could be personalised to each patient, fostered interaction with the care team, and recorded challenges and progress through each of the 2-year blocks. The transition notebook was shaped by input from providers and CF team members (Do). The tool was then pretested on patients and families, vetting content, format and language, as well as research into other tools (Study). Information of the pre-testing was then incorporated into the tool and presented for group discussion at a subsequent QI meeting and approval by clinic members for implementation or change in the clinic (Act). The PDSA cycles continue as the supplemental resources and tools are added to the notebook materials with editing for relevance and to meet clinical and patient need. The guides and notebooks are given to all patients 8 years and older as standard of care. Patients are encouraged to bring the notebook to each clinic visit to discuss transition topics. If notebooks are not brought to clinic, patients receive a copy of the age-appropriate checklist excerpted from the guide. Provider documentation includes short-term interval goals to keep track of patient curriculum progress. Production costs for the guide and notebook were funded by the CFF Quality grant and an internal grant for pilot patient care projects. The approximate cost for patient materials was $8 per patient ($3 per colour guide and $5 for notebook) in 2009.
Supplement

Evaluation of the transition programme

Transition programme evaluation

Provider feedback following implementation assessed length of time and barriers to implementation during bimonthly and then quarterly meetings as part of the PDSA cycles. To measure the perceptions of the intervention on patients and families and its effect on transition outcomes, a survey was administered in the paediatric CF clinic at the start of the QI intervention and 18 months after the rollout process. The survey included closed questions on demographics and the transition materials (usefulness of guide and notebook, actual use of notebook and guide, which specific notebook components were used in clinic and at home). We also elicited open-ended feedback on the transition programme. Families of patients aged 8 and older, and patients aged 16 and older in the paediatric centre were given the survey just prior to the transition programme (Time 1) and 18 months after complete rollout of the programme (Time 2).

Transition readiness evaluation of patients

To evaluate patient transition readiness, we used the Transition Readiness Assessment Questionnaire (TRAQ). This validated 29-item transition readiness tool measures two major domains: ‘skills for chronic condition self-management’ and ‘skills for self-advocacy and communication with health providers’. Each item of TRAQ is scored on a 1–5 point scale, where 1 is the lowest skill level. An average score was generated for each domain. TRAQ assessments were performed on paediatric CF patients 16 and older at Time 1 and Time 2. Adult centre patients aged 18–25 were approached to complete the TRAQ assessment at Time 1 to serve as a comparison group.

Patient transfer status

A retrospective chart review assessed the ways patients transferred from the paediatric to adult clinic before and after the transition programme started. In addition we evaluated differences in BMI and hospitalisations 1 year after transfer to the adult centre. The first interval extended from March 2005 to July 2008, which preceded the start of the transition programme activities and serves as a historical baseline. The second interval extended from October 2009, which was the start of the full transition programme through December 2012.

Ethical consideration

No incentive was given to the patients or families to complete surveys. The University of California Committee on Human Subjects approved the QI project and evaluation.

Analysis plan

Demographic and clinical data from the CFF National Patient Registry was accessed to identify eligible patients in the adult and paediatric CF centres. The Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test was used to determine difference between paired Time 1 and Time 2 TRAQ scores. The Wilcoxon rank-sum, $\chi^2$ and Fisher’s exact tests were used to compare non-paired continuous and categorical variables accordingly. STATA V.11 was used for all analyses.

RESULTS

Paediatric and adult providers sharing the responsibility of transition: quality and process feedback

Online supplementary appendix 1 outlines the barriers identified by providers, interventions intended to mitigate these challenges and comments on the ongoing improvement process at the initial joint meeting in August 2008. The paediatric and adult CF team assembled as a group every 2 months for over 2 years to review progress, critique materials, and plan implementation and evaluation. Although travel compensation ended in 2010, the joint paediatric and adult QI meetings continue on a quarterly basis as the routine culture of our CF care centre. These meetings are essential to sustain the transfer of patients and are the forum for promoting improvement in overall CF care delivery at our centre. Ad hoc work groups, such as the paediatric and adult nutritionists, spearheaded standardisation of nutrition goals and laid the groundwork for future protocol standardisation at UCSF-CFC through active PDSA cycles. Transition initiative funding provided partial support of one paediatric nurse practitioner, enabling her to obtain training in adult CF care. Now, as a provider trained in paediatric and adult CF care, she shares time at the paediatric suburban CF clinic to provide adult CF care for youth who are challenged by schedules or distance. With the introduction of a hospital-wide outpatient electronic medical record (EMR), access to centre wide documentation of CF clinical care is available to all providers.

Provider, youth and parent feedback of programme and materials

Providers reported an average of 30 min introducing the transition programme and materials. Acute illness visits were not used to address the transition curriculum. The age-based curriculum in increments of 2–3 years allowed a flexible pace to review aspects of transition readiness.

At Time 1, 56 of 92 (61%) eligible patients or their parents responded to the survey. At Time 2, families reporting the discussion of transition with paediatric providers increased from 35% to 73% ($p<0.01$). Table 2 summarises the use and usefulness of the notebook and guide to parents and patients. The personal notebook was preferred over the overview guide (table 2). Families used the notebook predominantly in clinic with their child’s providers versus at home (70% vs 40%). Youth mirrored this pattern of preference clinic versus home use (68% vs 50%). The most utilised sections were: short term goal (to-do) list
(68%), personalised CF registry graphs of lung function and BMI over time (port CF graphs) (43%), and informational handouts (30%). Comments provided in the open-ended feedback portion in the survey emphasised that the transitions materials and overall project were well received. ‘[This transition program has] empowered [my] daughter to take responsibility for her care!’ Feedback also highlighted barriers to CF care that had not been addressed in initial implementation: ‘Appointments at UCSF have become all day events; it is difficult for young college students to get time off from school and commute all the way to [San Francisco].’

**Transition readiness**

Eighteen paediatric patients completed the post-intervention survey, with eight patients completing both the baseline survey and the post-intervention survey. This discrepancy reflected patients aging into the intervention cohort during the study period, and differential non-response. TRAQ self-advocacy scores at Time 2 vs Time 1 were improved to scores similar to their adult centre peers, but were not statistically significant (paired: 3.8 vs 4.8, p=0.058, table 3). There was no significant difference in the TRAQ self-management domain between Time 1 and Time 2 (table 3). Compared to baseline adult programme patients, paediatric patients scored significantly lower on self-management skills (4.0 vs 4.5 p<0.05), but their self-advocacy skills were comparable to their adult centre peers.

**Transfer outcomes**

Based on chart review, nine young adults transitioned to the adult programme during this pilot project (from October 2009 to December 2012). We compared these nine transfers to the 20 patients who transferred to the adult programme between March 2005 and July 2008. Four patients transferred readily to adult care with scheduled outpatient visits. During the first year of the transition programme two patients transferred to adult care during urgent hospitalisation without preparation of patient/family or adult providers for transfer of care. This undesirable outcome decreased from 8/20 (40%) pre-transition programme to 2/9 (22%) (p=0.43) post-transition. Since September 2010, there have been no urgent hospital transfers to adult care. Three patients required more gradual transition of care to the adult CF programme due to initial refusal by patient or parent. To address their unique transition needs, these patients accessed CF care through the paediatric or adult teams through a ‘shared care model’ continued over a number of months until patient and family were comfortable and settled in adult care. There was a trend towards fewer hospitalisations 1 year post-transfer, with the rate decreasing from 80% (16/20) to 44% (4/9) (p=0.09) after the transition programme was initiated. There was no change in BMI status.

**Table 3** Transition readiness assessment questionnaire (TRAQ) results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adult centre (18–25)</th>
<th>Unpaired youth paediatric clinic</th>
<th>Paired youth paediatric clinic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean TRAQ score (CI) baseline*</td>
<td>Mean TRAQ score (CI)†</td>
<td>Mean TRAQ score (CI)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-intervention (Time 1) n=10</td>
<td>Pre-intervention (Time 1) n=11</td>
<td>Post-intervention (Time 2) n=18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Skills for chronic condition self-management</td>
<td>4.5§ (3.9 to 5.0)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.9 to 4.6)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.9 to 3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Self-advocacy and communication with health providers</td>
<td>4.7 (4.3 to 5.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (3.4 to 4.7)</td>
<td>4.6 (4.4 to 4.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each item of TRAQ is scored on a 1–5 point scale, where 1 is the lowest skill level, for example, ‘No, I do not know how but I want to learn’; and 5, the highest, with the response, ‘Yes, I always do this when I need to’. Each item was used to generate a composite average of the two domains, where the lower the score, the less likely the youth is prepared to transition.

*p values generated from the Wilcoxon rank-sum test comparison of baseline adults <age 25 in adult CF centre versus Time 2 of all paediatric survey participants in the paediatric centre.
†p values generated from the Wilcoxon rank-sum test comparing Time 1 versus Time 2 of unpaired paediatric patients (comparing respondent groups in pre- and post-, but not within patient comparison).
‡p values generated from the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test comparing Time 1 versus Time 2 of paired (paired within individual) paediatric patients.
§p<0.05.
DISCUSSION

Over 2 years, we developed and implemented a programme to organise transition of CF patients from paediatric to adult care. The successful implementation was based on approaching an intervention through an ecological framework: working on interpersonal, intrapersonal and community (clinic/hospital) levels. Our process supports a culture of shared responsibility for transition among paediatric and adult CF providers, patients and families. Our providers worked to accommodate the needs of patients and families, mitigated worry about separation from familiar paediatric providers and created a cost-effective approach to a geographic need for adult CF care in the suburban clinic. As adult providers were integrated into the continuum of care, they acquired sensitivity to the dynamics of chronic illness management through adolescence. Paediatric and adult providers continue collaboration through quarterly meetings to address new challenges and align CF care in the clinics and hospital.

While physician champions provided the opportunity to address the transition process, the team members (nurses, social workers, nutritionists, respiratory therapists, clinic staff) generated the materials and workflows that now support patients, families and clinicians in preparing youth to maintain high quality CF care in adulthood. Components of curriculum and process reflect the efforts and expertise of disciplines and individuals from our care teams. For example, nutritionists, not physicians, spearheaded development of the nutrition education and more rigorous, guideline-based assessments. By working with all levels in the clinic, the staff devised ‘mini-interventions’ to address each of the barriers found in our transition needs assessment. With inherent buy-in created by responsibility for assessing and improving process, these solutions were readily incorporated and are sustained in the clinic. Other clinics developing their own transition programmes will differ in the specificity of ‘mini-interventions’ needed to address barriers in transition in their own institution. It is our view that similar issues (eg, communication between systems, self-efficacy, self-management) will challenge transitioning CF patients as they age out of paediatric healthcare.

The transition initiative process identified actionable barriers to transfer. When the adult centre was challenged by a subset of youth who were not prepared to transition by the anticipated timeline, we accepted a paediatric and adult shared model of care to accommodate this group. Increased paediatric and adult collaboration halved the number of unplanned urgent in-hospital transfers.

Our transition curriculum actively engaged patients and families in discussion of transition and jump-started the dialogue of key issues in chronic disease management in our paediatric clinics. There was not a significant improvement in self-management scores; curriculum updates and further assessment will be required to evaluate whether we can improve these metrics. Self-advocacy scores, including understanding access to therapies, increased to levels similar to those observed in transitioned adults, suggesting that stepwise discussion of responsibilities of care is important preparation in the management of chronic disease. Overall, our materials were well received; parents and youth preferred materials that actively engaged them with their providers in addressing the challenges of CF care over passive ‘how to’ materials. It is likely that transition strategies that attempt to simply ‘inform’ will not be as useful in helping youth transition. A critical part of this process was regular patient–provider engagement to review transition materials and goals.

We acknowledge the following features to successful implementation of our programme: (1) The grant from the CFF funded staff attendance at frequent, regular meetings between adult and paediatric programmes and allowed the adult CF programme to hire and train a paediatric nurse practitioner as a part-time mid-level provider. (2) Our multidisciplinary group of invested CF team members was enriched by an adolescent-focused health services researcher who planned surveys, organised the multi-layered analysis of our programme and introduced the concept of theories of change. (3) Implementation of an EMR system throughout the Medical Center facilitated communication among adult and paediatric providers and clinics. (4) Having an accessible clinician common to both the paediatric and adult CF teams allayed familial concern about continuity and access during a process that challenged patients, their families and providers.

We believe the major key to successful development of our programme was working on an ecological framework: recognising the patient/provider and system level factors in transition through the inclusion of families, providers and staff of the clinic. This framework allowed for programme sustainability. The transition process requires shared responsibility of all parties that involves identification of needs and actionable items with systematic follow-up to address and act upon the domains in an ecologic framework. Therefore, we feel that this framework can be replicated in any clinical environment that wishes to address healthcare transitions in their programme.

LIMITATIONS

Surveys are based on self-report, and though clinical outcomes were monitored, perceptions of quality of care may not reflect actual quality of care received. We had a small sample size, which likely impacted the statistical significance of our metrics, which trended towards improvement but were not statistically significant. Impact on long-term morbidity will need subsequent monitoring. Experiences and data described in
this paper are based on experiences from a single academic medical CF centre, and therefore other centres will need to consider their particular context.

CONCLUSION
We successfully developed and implemented a transition programme, which created a culture of shared responsibility between adult and paediatric programmes. The transition programme created mechanisms to identify and address barriers within our transfer process impacting our hospital and clinics, adult and paediatric providers, and patients and families. Working with behavioural theories to improve care delivery, we improved communication and improved the transfer process for families, staff and providers. The collaborative effort between our adult and paediatric programmes continues in regular communication and efforts have expanded to standardise CF care protocols. A change in culture has occurred with paediatric and adult programmes respecting our common interest and acknowledging a shared responsibility of transition. This process of shared responsibility and QI can be implemented in any clinic or service that requires a coordinated transition and eventual transfer of care from paediatric to adult services.
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Appendix 1. Summary of transition barriers from needs assessment for University of California, San Francisco Cystic Fibrosis Center Transition Program and subsequent interventions with process comments through an ecologic framework of addressing barriers at patient/provider (intra- and interpersonal) and system level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier/Need</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient/Family Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental concern and anxiety about transition process and child’s ability to execute self-care</td>
<td>Transition guide</td>
<td>Pediatric providers directly address parental transfer concerns in clinic. Providers track patient’s progress in disease knowledge and management skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s lack knowledge and skills to manage own CF care</td>
<td>Age appropriate checklists introduced at 8 years of age; benchmarks for demonstrating knowledge, self-management, and self-advocacy are introduced.</td>
<td>1 on 1 work with provider during clinic encounter engages patients in process of learning about CF and how to manage treatments and illness. Providers advance patients through curriculum; remediate and review as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of familiarity with adult CF care and Adult CF team</td>
<td>Brochure introduces Adult CF Team and clinic. Adult consultation during Pediatric hospitalization to introduce patient and family to adult team. Adult CF Team participates in parent night.</td>
<td>Pediatric providers endorse knowledge, skill and availability of Adult Team. Conversations with teens about adult CF care start before High School. Stylistic differences between pediatrics and adult medicine discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to adult program with concern for missed classes and work</td>
<td>Adult CF Nurse practitioner sent to suburban, outreach clinic site.</td>
<td>Pediatric Team agrees to host Adult NP at suburban clinic in alternate months. Fiscally sustainable compared to physician staffing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provider Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric and adult CF care teams not working together with infrequent opportunities to discuss care delivery problems</td>
<td>CFF Quality Grant funding and QI meetings initiated bi-monthly, now quarterly QI meetings attended by pediatric and adult CF team members.</td>
<td>Participation in QI meetings and projects supported by travel and work-release re-imbursement. Provider survey indicates continued enthusiasm for QI meetings and professional fulfillment from projects. Joint meetings considered valuable and now part of clinical culture of the center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult CF physician providers not able to maintain suburban outreach clinic</td>
<td>CFF Quality Grant partly supported a nurse practitioner as adult CF Clinic Coordinator and permitted training as adult CF Provider.</td>
<td>NP trained in adult CF care sets criteria for safe participation in outreach clinic. Electronic medical record (EMR) supports remote access to data and decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric providers lacked information regarding status of former patients. Adult providers lacked</td>
<td>Transition readiness assessments at QI meetings inform adult center of coming patients; review of patients</td>
<td>EMR allows all providers to review current patient health status and serves as a repository for transition assessments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information about incoming patients.</td>
<td>Update pediatric program on status of patients who transferred.</td>
<td>Providers from all disciplines active in Transition program. Patient and family experience does not hinge on a single meeting or provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No systematic approach to transition patients and families</td>
<td>Transition guide and notebook</td>
<td>Providers from all disciplines active in Transition program. Patient and family experience does not hinge on a single meeting or provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between delivered CF care between sites</td>
<td>PDSA cycle developed at QI meetings</td>
<td>Nutritional standards first subject to undergo standardization between the two centers. Dieticians took key roles to initiate and change plan of care within both adult and pediatric centers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Clinic/Hospital Level**

| Pediatric patient information difficult to access by the Adult CF Team | System-wide EMR | Psychosocial and developmental issues known to pediatric providers were now available to adult team through EMR. |
| Transfer of care to adult team during acute hospital admission without prior knowledge of family* | Inpatient CF nurse toured pediatric patients and families to the adult floor. Adult consultation during pediatric hospitalization of transition aged adolescents. Shared care model developed to help ease transfer for some families. | Patients had the opportunity to return to pediatric team for de-briefing on their experience and support in progress through transition process. |

| *Specific indications for hospitalization under adult care include but are not limited to marriage, pregnancy, incarceration and age greater than 25 years. |

1. Comments are drawn from provider surveys and group meetings describing group and individual activities on behalf of the transition program

CF: Cystic Fibrosis
EMR: Electronic Medical Record
PDSA: Plan-Do-Study-Act
QI: Quality Improvement