QUALITY IN HEALTH CARE

Editor: Fiona Moss

Associate editors: Richard Baker, Pam Garside, Richard Grol, Alison Kitson,

Michael Maresh, Hugh McKenna, Richard Thomson

Technical editor: Diana Blair-Fish

EDITORIAL BOARD

M Rigge N Barber I Firth-Cozens N Mckechnie I Girvin C Shaw H Buchan R Fitzpatrick B Haussler M McNicol D Costain A Frater A Hopkins K McPherson I Wadsworth G Cunningham J Gabbay D Kerr I Muir Grav C West A Giraud V Maehle C Normand Editor, BM7 N Dickson

Notice to subscribers

Quality in Health Care is published quarterly. The annual subscription rates are £97 worldwide for institutions and £59 for individuals. Rates for individuals are available only on orders placed directly with the publisher and paid for out of personal funds. Orders should be sent to the Subscription Manager, Quality in Health Care, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JR. Orders can also be placed with any leading subscription agent or leading bookseller. Subscribers may pay for their subscriptions by cheque (payable to British Medical Journal) or by Access, Visa, or American Express by quoting on their order the credit or charge card preferred together with the appropriate personal account number and the expiry date of the card. (For the convenience of readers in the US subscription orders with or without payment (\$158 for institutions; \$94 for individuals) may also be sent to British Medical Journal, Box 408, Franklin, MA 02038, United States. All inquiries, however, must be addressed to the publisher in London.)

Notice to advertisers

Applications for advertisement space and for rates should be addressed to the Advertisement Manager, *Quality in Health Care*, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JR (071 383 6339).

COPYRIGHT © 1994 Quality in Health Care. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of Quality in Health Care.

ISSN 0963 8172

Editorial

The trouble with brain injury

"The trouble with head injury is that it isn't cuddly," to quote Kate Field's article (p 217)¹ and plea for better head injury services. And this is true not only of head injury. Whether due to stroke, multiple sclerosis, or any other cause, cognitive damage which results in personality and behavioural problems creates a nightmare for any family, who have not only lost someone they love but are obliged to take on a stranger in their place – often not a very pleasant stranger at that.

I am sometimes surprised by the uncomplaining acceptance of this burden. Sadly, the commonest reaction among medical colleagues is to heave a sigh of relief and plan an early discharge date. When community care breaks down, as it almost certainly will, there is at least a sporting chance that the patient will be admitted somewhere else.

Effective rehabilitation is cost effective. It can increase independence and reduce care needs, complications, and readmission rates – quite apart from any improvement of quality of life for patient and carer. Computed over a patient's lifetime, the savings in continued care offset many times the cost of rehabilitation. But there is a hitch. Health care pays for the rehabilitation, while the benefit of reduced care costs accrues to the social services. Joint commissioning must be the answer, but is proving very slow in gestation.

Meanwhile, how do we ensure that rehabilitation is effective? Effective management of cognitive and behavioural problems requires the coordinated effort of a specialist multidisciplinary team. Not every patient needs this level of service, but when he or she does it is often hard to persuade purchasers to pay for it. Many purchasers would prefer to develop their own services

locally or in the community, but it is very rare for these services to be able to provide the necessary level of skill and experience – there are simply not enough appropriately trained therapists. Whether they work in hospital or community settings, specialist teams take time to build. They cannot be picked off a shelf and returned at a whim. Short term contracts, negotiated year by year, do not allow the stable development of a highly skilled team. The demise of the regional health authorities threatens the existence of specialist brain injury units, many of which have had at least partial top sliced regional funding. Their survival is essential, not only for their specialist skills and experience but also as a focus for the training and research that is vital to the future development of rehabilitation.

Under pressure, short term financial considerations are apt to take precedence over long term planning, but before we opt for any cheap alternatives it is important that we capitalise on existing resources. Maximal cost efficiency demands a critical mass of senior staff and training grades, and this in turn demands a sufficient caseload. Linking outreach or day facilities to existing inpatient services may allow this critical mass to be reached and thus serve the dual purpose of training tomorrow's staff and containing today's costs.

LYNNE TURNER-STOKES

Regional Rehabilitation Unit, Northwick Park Hospital, Harrow, Middlesex HA1 3UJ

1 Field K. The game of injury hop-scotch, UK style. Quality in Health Care 1994;3:217-20.

Keyword indexing: brief guidance for authors

From 1994 onwards the method of compiling the subject index in *Quality in Health Care* will change, whereby papers will be indexed by a keyword system. Authors of papers are requested to include up to three, occasionally four, keywords (words or phrases identifying the subject) on their manuscript, which will contribute to the compilation of the annual index in the December issue. The subject index will be different, with the title of the paper repeated after each keyword for every entry; cross references will not appear in the subject index. The author index will no longer include the title of the paper and will comprise a list of authors and page

Choosing keywords may not seem intrinsically difficult, but there are unforeseen problems. An index should be as consistent as possible, and entries should not be split between, for example, "antenatal care" and "care, antenatal." Whereas some decisions may reasonably be made about the entries that can be predicted, authors will not know

what other work is being published in the same volume or under what titles. Therefore, some modifications by the technical editor may be necessary.

General points

Authors should scan their paper for keywords that may not be in the title, use British approved names for drugs rather than proprietary names, and avoid general terms such as clinical, complications, adverse effects, and patient. As the subjects of the journal are "quality" and "health" it would be better to avoid these terms as keywords whenever possible. Retaining accepted phrases and concepts is preferable – for instance, "health district" rather than "district, health". Some shortened forms may be acceptable as keywords – AIDS, HIV, GP, TQM are widely known and understood – but generally the full form of abbreviations should be used.

Within this framework authors are encouraged to consider their choice of keywords carefully to facilitate location of their published work by readers.

232 Audit tools/Learning tools/Diary

READAPT: An Evaluation of Services for People with Learning Disabilities. (Three booklets, two disks; £85 per set, additional sets of booklets £80). Leeds: Nuffield Institute for Health, University of Leeds, 1993. ISBN 1871 977 58 4. (Available from Quality Assurance Unit, Nuffield Institute for Health, 71–75 Clarendon Road, Leeds LS2 9PL (0532 459034).)

READAPT is an instrument which purports to evaluate services for people with learning disabilities and is intended to be used by any provider or purchaser of services to this group. It consists of two scales: the evaluation of care scale, which is used to assess the care of 10 clients and asks an independent observer to ask clients or their advocate about whether a range of aspects of care (plan of care, goals for elimination, goals for recreation, etc) have been provided, and the organisation evaluation scale, which asks the independent observer to find out whether the service has policies and procedures for everything that a good service should have for example, dealing with client's possessions, confidentiality, information, and staff development. The responses to all questions on the extensive scales are recorded as yes, no, or not applicable. The pack comes with a brief user friendly guide to the scales, a copy of the two scales, and easy to use software (two disks). All the materials are well presented and clear.

To evaluate the instrument we asked five people (from a variety of professional backgrounds) heavily involved in learning disability services to assess the scales' strengths and weaknesses and tell us if they would buy it. All in this small, and perhaps unrepresentative, sample completed the task, but none expressed an interest in using or purchasing the scales. Why is this you might ask?

The brief and easy to read introduction provides the first clue. The scales seem to emerge from nowhere, in that there is no analysis of how the items were derived, what values, principles, and theory guided the choice of items nor an account of the process of the scales' construction. There was no presentation of whether validity had been considered, nor any relevant data. The reliability of the scale was not discussed. The instructions were very simple for both scales, but no guidance was provided about the complications that would almost certainly arise from asking the important, but simplistic, questions. All our assessors thought that the scale had high face validity, in that it covered a good range of significant variables, but that it was somewhat superficial and likely to prove unreliable.

Several other significant weaknesses were evident. The instrument is very institutionally oriented, with its emphasis on policies, procedures, and documentation. Many very good community services would score badly on the scales and yet could still offer a high quality service to clients. Further, there is very little concession to different types and levels of disability and the instrument is disproportionately focused on clients' excesses, deficits, and inappropriateness rather their needs. Finally, certain subscales such as "dignity" seemed to be

assessed rather curiously, being determined by whether you had multiple changes of your own clothes and whether you had access to a hairdresser.

Although this review is fairly critical, the scale undoubtedly has its strengths, which include the motivation of the authors, the extensive range of issues covered by the scales, and the comprehensiveness with which they assess services' documentation, policies, and procedures. Unfortunately, in the final analysis, its obvious weaknesses and little documented evidence about its reliability, validity, and usefulness make us unable to recommend READAPT.

TONY LAVENDER Director, Clinical Psychology Training Scheme RICHARD PEMBERTON Consultant Clinical Psychologist storage, analysing data, and interpreting statistics are particularly helpful and should provide a useful reference for those who want to start an audit initiative but who do not have a background in research.

Within the limits of the resource book, the authors have fulfilled their claim to provide "what every nurse, midwife, and health visitor needs to know about professional audit." The excellent resource material could be further developed in the form of a video to brief members of a quality assurance team for classroom tuition. Future development of a computer tutorial to build on the information gained in the course might be an advantage for those interested in learning more about statistics and measurement.

VALERIE OVERTON
Midwife

LEARNING TOOLS

Moving to Audit: An Education Package for Nurses, Midwives, and Health Visitors. Centre for Medical Education, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee. Distance learning package: £29.00 (course enrolment); £14.00 resource book only (free to practitioners in Scotland). Dundee: University of Dundee, 1994. ISBN 1871749 42 10 (Available from postgraduate office).

This Moving to Audit educational package developed as a distance learning programme at the Centre for Medical Education at the University of Dundee, in collaboration with the Clinical Resource and Audit Group for Scotland.

The package contains a standalone resource book and a set of challenges and audit activities presented in diary format simulating everyday practice. Registered practitioners at all levels of knowledge and experience of audit are invited to enrol, and on completion of the six challenges and activities a certificate is awarded, with the possibility of future accreditation prior for learning. Individualised feedback is provided by computer, and there is the opportunity to compare and exchange audit experiences with other course participants.

The resource book is lively, interesting, and easy to read. The twelve chapters are divided into three sections: part one describes the concept of audit and how to set up an audit; part two explains data collection and analysis and interpreting results; and the final part looks at continuing the audit cycle. The reader is guided in the text by helpful subheadings and symbols that highlight key areas for reflection or suggestions for further activity. A comprehensive glossary describes key terminology and there is a wide range of references and suggested further reading.

The chapters dealing with data collection; sampling techniques; practical advice in designing audit tools, data

DIARY

9 March

Nottingham: East Midlands Conference Centre. Case based auditing. A conference of Lincolnshire Medical Audit Advisory Group (MAAG) in conjunction with the Department of Health; Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham; Vale of Trent Faculty of the Royal College of General Practitioners; Trent Health; and the Eli Lilly National Clinical Audit Centre. The conference is aimed at members of MAAGs and audit facilitators in primary care, researchers in clinical audit, and health service managers. (£25.00 including VAT.) Further details from Ms Barbara Walker, Lincolnshire MAAG, PO Box 206, Cross O'Cliff, Bracebridge Heath, Lincoln LN4 2JE (tel/fax 0522 569874).

30 and 31 March

London: Royal College of Physicians. Continuing medical education in Europe: the way forward through European collaboration. An international conference of plenary sessions and seminar groups with leaders of medical education in Europe. (£293.75 (2 days), £164.50 (1 day) before 31 January 1995; £317.25 (2 days), £176.25 (1 day) after that date, including materials, refreshments, reception (30 March), and VAT.) Further details from Mrs JM Coops, Conference Office, c/o Fellowship of Postgraduate Medicine, 12 Chandos Street, London W1M 9DE (tel 00 44 0171 636 6334; fax 00 44 0171 436 2535).

27 April

London: Royal Society of Medicine. Evaluating clinical audit: past lessons, future directions. A joint conference of the RSM Forum on Quality in Health Care, CASPE Research, and Quality in Health Care on the progress and impact of clinical audit in the NHS. (£45, RSM fellows and former members; £85 others, including all materials, lunch, and VAT.) Further details from Miss Lisa Spicer, RSM, 1 Wimpole Street, London W1M 8AE (tel 071 290 2986; fax 071 290 2989.

Referees

The journal would like to thank the following people for their support in acting as referees of submitted papers.

S Adam **B** Ennis C Adams A Farmer P C Adams R Farquharson L Ficker D Armstrong J Firth-Cozens I A Baker R Fitzpatrick P Banfield M J Bankier D M Fleming P Bannister L Flood A Frater N Barber S L Barron G Freeman A J Barson N Freemantle A Barton J Gabbay J Garcia A K Bates N Gent G Batstone M Gill A Bauling M Gleeson E Beck D Bell M Goldacre J M Gray N Bentzen N Black E S Green K Greene J Bond I Grimley Evans N Bosanquet M Boulton **J** Grimshaw C Bradley D R Hannay **B** Harris S Bridgman R H Harwood T Brooks I Hasler P Brunskill C Bulstrode A Hastings P Burke N Hicks I Higginson M Buxton M Hyland J Cavanagh P Hjortdahl I Chalmers R Hobbs R Chambers **B** Charlton A Hopkins R Chesson J L Hopton L D M Collett L Horsman G Houghton M Contreras P Conway D Howel S Hudson G A Cook C Humphrey A Coulter N Craig I Hunt J Hurt F Creed **B** Hurwitz D Cunningham G Cunningham A Hutchinson D Irvine K J Dalton M Irving M Dancy C P Jardine Brown H Davies B Jarman S Davies D Jefferies H P Davis P Jefferys S Dawson G C Jenkins D De Bono C Jenkinson F T De Dombal P De Zulueta M Johnson P N Johnson G Delacey N Dennis R W G Johnson J Derry A Jones K Jones E J Dickinson R Jones H Donovan R H Jones A C Dowell F Kee F Eben D Keeley S Ebrahim C Kelly M Eccles M C Kelsey S Eccles M Kelson M Emberton

R Kennedy P Kinnersley W Kirkup A Lack M K Lakhani T Lavender P Lelliott M Letts D Lipkin **B** Livesley I M R Lowdon C Ludlum R Madhok V Maehle D Mant M Marinker C L Marx G C Mason S Maxwell N Mays A McCallum A McGeorge S McIver S McIvor M McKee R K McKinley A J McMahon C McManus M McNicol A D Mendelow S Merchant J F Middleton H Millington E Milne P C Milner G Mitchell J Mitchell A Mordue G Morgan D Morris J A Muir Gray A Navsmith R C Neville I Newton C Normand V Overton R Palmer C Pantin G Parker J M Parkin N R Parrott V Parsons D L H Patterson S Payne I M Peat D Pereira Gray L Persson S Proctor D Pruce E J Pugh A K Raffles

L Ratoff

M Rawlins

L Regan C F B Regnard **I** Rhodes C Richards M Rigge J Riordan M Robinson M Roche H Rodgers S Russell D Ruta D Ryan A Saha O Samuel J Scadding M Schachter H Schnieden J Scott T Sensky I Shanks P Sharp C Shaw T Sheldon D Sheridan D Shlosberg C Singer M Singer S Singleton L Sireling A Sivakumar M Smith J Soper T Spenser A Spigelman D Stevens C Stuart-Buttle W McN Styles S Sumners C Tait A Tattersfield L Turner-Stokes A Tyler T Usherwood C Victor C Vincent D Wade I Wadsworth P Wainwright M Walker K Walshe J P Walsworth-Bell N J Wareham C Warlow M White

P White

D Whittaker

E I Williams

K L Woods

T Woollass

A Yardumian

A Wu

A Wilson

QUALITY IN HEALTH CARE VOLUME 3 · AUTHOR INDEX

KEY: E = Editorial L = Letter S = Supplement

Abdalla MI, 180, 186 Adeniran A, 173 (L) Alpin VCL, 92 Anderson S, 153

Baker R, 176
Baker RH, 164
Barber N, 153
Bark P, 123
Barnes G, 133
Barton AG, 225
Beard R, 3
Bell RWD, 60 (L)
Bennett J, 92
Bergmann M, 92
Bhan A, 92
Boulton M, 107
Bray J, 199
Bucknall C, 174 (L)
Bury M, 69
Buxton MJ, 31 (S)

Caan W, 173 (L)
Calman KC, 10 (S)
Canavan C, 17
Cleary R, 3
Cluzeau F, 121 (E)
Cohen H, 79, 120 (L), 230 (L)
Cohen MM, 137
Coles J, 3
Cooper M, 58
Coutts A, 180

Davies AR, 6 (S) DeBoer DP, 137 Devlin B, 3 Dickinson E, 11, 53 Donaldson L, 37 (S) Duncan PG, 137 Dunleavey J, 60 (L)

Ebrahim S, 11, 53, 69 Evans D, 173 (L)

Field K, 217 Fitzpatrick R, 107 Foster A, 16 (S) Fowler G, 193 Frater A, 177 (E)

Gabbay J, 79, 203 Gaffney B, 17 Garratt AM, 180, 186 Gompertz P, 69 Goodwin D, 60 (L) Gray AJ, 29 Gray J, 86 Gray S, 193 Griffiths PG, 60 (L) Grimshaw JM, 45, 121 (E) Grol R, 147

Harwood RH, 11, 53 Hearnshaw HM, 164 Henry T, 35 (S) Higginson G, 12 (S) Higginson I, 210 Hopkins A, 3, 203 Hurwitz B, 37

Ivory JP, 114

Jitapunkul S, 53 Jones A, 123 Jones R, 60 (L)

Kee F, 17 Kitson A, 25 (S) Kon OM, 173 (L)

Lancaster T, 193 Little J, 17 Littlejohns P, 121 (E) Longfield M, 1 (E) Lovelock R, 199 Lowdon IMR, 114 Lydeard S, 60 (L)

McConnell W, 17 McManus IC, 67 (E) Madhok R, 86 Marteau TM, 75 Martin MA, 29 Mendelow AD, 86 Millard FJC, 173 (L) Mordue A, 86 Moss F, 1 (S), 46 (S) Mutimer D, 23

Naylor CD, 221 Nee PA, 29 Neuberger J, 203 Neuberger JM, 23 Nightingale PG, 23

Organisation of Care Working Group of the National Asthma Task Force, 133 Partridge MR, 133 Peters M, 23 Philp I, 199 Pound P, 69 Powell J, 199

Ramsay M, 120 (L) Ramsay ME, 79, 230 (L) Ratchford D, 16 (S) Rees S, 1 (E) Renton A, 120 (L), 230 (L) Richards KF, 20 (S) Rigge M, 2 (S), 159 Robertson N, 164 Rogers A, 11 Russell IT, 45, 180, 186 Ruta DA, 180, 186

San Lazaro C, 142 Savory J, 123 Schumacher D, 3 Shaw RW, 75 Sheldon TA, 41 (S) Silagy C, 193 Simpson J, 62 Slack J, 75 Slaughter PM, 221 Smith DK, 75 Smith F, 153 Summerfield J, 114

Taggart I, 173 (L)
Tan G, 79
Tanner AR, 34
Taylor D, 16 (S)
Taylor F, 120 (L)
Taylor FC, 79, 230 (L)
Telford AM, 17
Thompson DR, 169
Thomson R, 65 (E), 86
Thomson RG, 225
Thorne S, 114
Turner-Stokes L, 179 (E)

Victor CR, 210 Vincent C, 123

Wareham NJ, 97, 102 Waterston T, 142 Watson JD, 17 Wickings I, 3 Wilcock M, 60 (L) Williams A, 173 (L) Williams I, 173 (L) Williamson DM, 114 Wilson CW, 34

QUALITY IN HEALTH CARE VOLUME 3 · SUBJECT INDEX

KEY: S = Supplement

Accident and emergency department

Audit of thrombolysis initiated in an accident and emergency department, 29

Effect of guidelines on management of head injury on record keeping and decision making in accident and emergency departments, 86

Achievements

Achievements of audit in the NHS, 31 (S)

Achievements with quality improvement in the NHS, 25 (S)

Anaesthesia, Assessing discomfort after anaesthesia: should you ask the patient or read the record?, 137

Angina, Is choice of general practitioner important for patients having coronary artery investigations?, 17

Anterior uveitis, Reducing unnecessary investigation of anterior uveitis: letter, 60

Anticoagulant clinic, Referral of patients to an anticoagulant clinic: letter, 120

Anticoagulant treatment, Evaluation of patients' knowledge about anticoagulant treatment, 79: letter, 230

Assessment

Assessing discomfort after anaesthesia: should you ask the patient or read the record?, 137

Assessing and treating patients admitted to hospital with chronic airways obstruction: letter, 173

Qualitative methods for assessing health care, 107

Asthma

Community asthma clinics: 1993 survey of primary care by the National Asthma Task Force, 133

Scottish Confidential Inquiry into Asthma Deaths: letter, 174

Audit

Achievements of audit in the NHS, 31 (S)

Audit in prisons: views from outside and inside: editorial, 1: letter,

Audit of thrombolysis initiated in an accident and emergency department, 29

Auditing for patients, 16 (S)

Is audit running out of steam?, 225

Multidisciplinary audit in primary healthcare teams: facilitation by audit support staff, 164

Quality of life of long wait orthopaedic patients before and after admission: a consumer audit, 159

Raising quality in the NHS: progress, Supplement, June 1994: foreword, 1(S)

Regional organisational audit of district departments of public health, 92

Role of users of health care in achieving a quality service, 203 Awareness, Raising awareness of quality in the NHS, 10 (S)

Book reviews

Al-Assaf AF, Schmele JA, editors. The textbook of total quality in healthcare, 231

Audit: a manual for speech and language therapists, 61

Boucher I, Beck J, Russell I, editors. Quality assurance in medical care,230

Dickens P. Quality and excellence in human services, 175

Kinn S, Siann T. Computers and clinical audit: an introduction for doctors and health care professionals, 175

Lelliott P, Flannigan C, Shanks S. Review of seven mental health information systems: a functional perspective, 175

Moving to audit: an education package for nurses, midwives, and health visitors, 232

Orton HD, Prowse J, Millen C. Charting the way to excellence: indicators of ward learning climate, 231

Rationing in action, 61

Readapt: an evaluation of services for people with learning disabilities,232

Vincent C, Ennis M, Audley RJ, editors. Medical accidents, 174
Walshe K. Making audit work. Guidelines on selecting, planning, implementing and evaluting audit projects, 61

Woodroffe C, Glickman M, Barker M, Power C. Children, teenagers, and health, 174

Brain injury

The game of head injury hop-scotch, UK style, 217

The trouble with brain injury: editorial, 179

Cardiac rehabilitation services, Cardiac rehabilitation services: the need to develop guidelines, 169

Care, Effectiveness of care for older people, 210

Care standards, Involving consumers in assessing service quality: benefits of using a qualitative approach, 199

Childhood leukaemia, Clinical Standards Advisory Group, 12 (S) Children, Sending parents outpatient letters about their children: parents' and general practitioners' views, 142

Chronic airways obstruction, Assessing and treating patients admitted to hospital with chronic airways obstruction: *letter*, 173

Chronic disease, Measuring handicap: the London handicap scale, a new outcome measure for chronic disease, 11

Clinical audit

Involving patients in clinical audit, 2 (S)

Raising quality in the NHS: progress, Supplement, June 1994: foreword, 1(S)

Role of users of health care in achieving a quality service, 203

Clinical complaints, Clinical complaints: a means of improving quality of care, 123

Clinical guidelines

Achieving health gain through clinical guidelines. II: Ensuring guidelines change medical practice, 45

Appraising clinical guidelines: towards a "Which" guide for purchasers: editorial, 121

Clinical guidelines: proliferation and medicolegal significance, 37 Problems with implementing guidelines: a randomised controlled trial of consensus management of dyspepsia: *letter*, 60

Clinical Standards Advisory Group, Clinical Standards Advisory Group, 12 (S)

Clinical tests, Effects of a computerised protocol management system on ordering of clinical tests, 23

Coding, Improving accuracy of coding plastic surgical operations: letter, 173

Comment, 62

Community asthma clinics, Community asthma clinics: 1993 survey of primary care by the National Asthma Task Force, 133

Complaints, Clinical complaints: a means of improving quality of care, 123

Computerised protocol management system, Effects of a computerised protocol management system on ordering of clinical tests, 23

Contracting, Building quality into contracting and purchasing, 37 (S)

Coronary artery bypass surgery, Clinical Standards Advisory Group, 12 (S)

Coronary artery investigations, Is choice of general practitioner important for patients having coronary artery investigations?,

Cystic fibrosis, Clinical Standards Advisory Group, 12 (S)

Databases, Comparative hospital databases: value for management and quality,3

Decision making, Effect of guidelines on management of head injury on record keeping and decision making in accident and emergency departments, 86

Delayed surgery, A stitch in time: case for assessing the burden of delayed surgery, 221

Diary, 63, 120, 176, 232

Discomfort, Assessing discomfort after anaesthesia: should you ask the patient or read the record?, 137

District health authorities, Role of district health authorities: a strategic future: *editorial*, 177

Dyspepsia, Problems with implementing guidelines: a randomised controlled trial of consensus management of dyspepsia: *letter*, **60**

Effectiveness

Effectiveness of care for older people, 210

Quality: the Jurassic Park experience, 35 (S)

Quality: link with effectiveness, 41 (S)

Elderly patients, Involving consumers in assessing service quality: benefits of using a qualitative approach, 199

Elderly people, Effectiveness of care for older people, 210

Endoscopy, Improving information given to patients before endoscopy: a regional audit, 34

External monitoring

Changing systems of external monitoring of quality of health care in the United States, 102

External monitoring of quality of health care in the United States, 97

General practitioner

Is choice of general practitioner important for patients having coronary artery investigations?, 17

Sending parents outpatient letters about their children: parents' and general practitioners' views, 142

Guidelines

Achieving health gain through clinical guidelines. II: Ensuring guidelines change medical practice, 45

Clinical guidelines: proliferation and medicolegal significance, 37 Problems with implementing guidelines: a randomised controlled trial of consensus management of dyspepsia: *letter*, 60

Handicap

Measuring handicap: the London handicap scale, a new outcome measure for chronic disease, 11

Measuring handicap: motives, methods, and a model, 53

Head injury

Effect of guidelines on management of head injury on record keeping and decision making in accident and emergency departments, 86

The game of head injury hop-scotch, UK style, 217

The trouble with brain injury: editorial, 179

Headway, The game of head injury hop-scotch, UK style, 217 **Health care**

Changing systems of external monitoring of quality of health care in the United States, 102

External monitoring of quality of health care in the United States, 97

Qualitative methods for assessing health care, 107

Health gain, Achieving health gain through clinical guidelines. II: Ensuring guidelines change medical practice, **45**

Health professionals, Lack of knowledge in health professionals: a barrier to providing information to patients?, 75

Health status, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. II: responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, **186**

Health status assessment, Patient defined outcomes, 6 (S)

Health survey questionnaire, SF 36 health survey questionnaire.

I. Reliability in two patient based studies, 180: II.

Responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, 186

Information

Improving information given to patients before endoscopy: a regional audit, 34

Spreading the word: information for quality, 46 (S)

Intermountain Health Care, Developments in total quality management in the United States: the Intermountain Health Care perspective, 20 (S)

Iritis, Reducing unnecessary investigation of anterior uveitis: *letter*,

Jurassic Park experience, Quality: the Jurassic Park experience, 35 (S)

Knowledge

Evaluation of patients' knowledge about anticoagulant treatment, 79: letter, 230

Knowing the knowledge: editorial, 67

Lack of knowledge in health professionals: a barrier to providing information to patients?, 75

Laboratory investigations, Effects of a computerised protocol management system on ordering of clinical tests, 23

London handicap scale, Measuring handicap: the London handicap scale, a new outcome measure for chronic disease, 11

Long wait, Quality of life of long wait orthopaedic patients before and after admission: a consumer audit, 159

Low back pain, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. II: Responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, 186 Medicolegal aspects, Clinical guidelines: proliferation and medicolegal significance, 37

Meetings Reports

Clinical audit 1994. Improving care through clinical audit, Birmingham, February 1994, 62

Ninth family practitioners' congress, Cape Town, South Africa, April 1994, 176

Menorrhagia, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. II: Responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, 186

Midwives, Lack of knowledge in health professionals: a barrier to providing information to patients?, 75

Multidisciplinary audit, Multidisciplinary audit in primary healthcare teams: facilitation by audit support staff, 164

Myocardial infarction, Audit of thrombolysis initiated in an accident and emergency department, 29

National Asthma Task Force, Community asthma clinics: 1993 survey of primary care by the National Asthma Task Force, 133

National Health Service

Achievements of audit in the NHS, 31 (S)

Achievements with quality improvement in the NHS, 25 (S)

Raising awareness of quality in the NHS, 10 (S)

Raising quality in the NHS: progress, Supplement, June 1994

Negligence, Clinical guidelines: proliferation and medicolegal significance, 37

Neonatal intensive care, Clinical Standards Advisory Group, 12 (S)

New Zealand, View from New Zealand, 58 **Notes**, 176

Obstetrics, Lack of knowledge in health professionals: a barrier to providing information to patients?, 75

Older people, Effectiveness of care for older people, 210

Organisational audit, Regional organisational audit of district departments of public health, 92

Orthopaedic patients, Quality of life of long wait orthopaedic patients before and after admission: a consumer audit, 159

Outcomes, Patient defined outcomes, 6 (S)

Outpatient letters, Sending parents outpatient letters about their children: parents' and general practitioners' views, 142

Parents, Sending parents outpatient letters about their children: parents' and general practitioners' views, 142

Patients

Auditing for patients, 16 (S)

Evaluation of patients' knowledge about anticoagulant treatment, 79: letter, 230

Involving patients in clinical audit, 2 (S)

Patient defined outcomes, 6 (S)

Role of users of health care in achieving a quality service, 203

Peer review, Quality improvement by peer review in primary care: a practical guide, **147**

Peptic ulcer, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. II: Responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, 186

Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, Clinical Standards Advisory Group, 12 (S)

Pharmacists, Improving quality of health care: the role of pharmacists, 153

Physiotherapy, Views of survivors of stroke on benefits of physiotherapy, 69

Plastic surgical operations, Improving accuracy of coding plastic surgical operations: *letter*, 173

Prenatal screening, Lack of knowledge in health professionals: a barrier to providing information to patients?, 75

Primary care, Quality improvement by peer review in primary care: a practical guide, 147

Primary healthcare teams, Multidisciplinary audit in primary healthcare teams: facilitation by audit support staff, 164

Prisons, Audit in prisons: views from outside and inside: *editorial*, 1: *letter*, 173

Public health, Regional organisational audit of district departments of public health, 92

Purchaser role, The purchaser role in provider quality: lessons from the United States: *editorial*, **65**

Purchasers, Appraising clinical guidelines: towards a "Which" guide for purchasers: editorial, 121

Purchasing, Building quality into contracting and purchasing, 37 (S)

Qualitative approach, Involving consumers in assessing service quality: benefits of using a qualitative approach, 199

Qualitative methods, Qualitative methods for assessing health care, 107

Quality

Raising awareness of quality in the NHS, 10 (S)

Raising quality in the NHS: progress, Supplement, June 1994 Role of users of health care in achieving a quality service, 203

Quality improvement

Achievements with quality improvement in the NHS, 25 (S) Quality improvement by peer review in primary care: a practical guide, 147

Quality monitoring

Changing systems of external monitoring of quality of health care in the United States, 102

External monitoring of quality of health care in the United States, 97

Quality quotes, 63

Questionnaire, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. I. Reliability in two patient based studies, 180: II. Responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, 186

Record keeping, Effect of guidelines on management of head injury on record keeping and decision making in accident and emergency departments, 86

Referral, Referral of patients to an anticoagulant clinic: letter, 120
Regional organisational audit, Regional organisational audit of
district departments of public health, 92

Rehabilitation, Cardiac rehabilitation services: the need to develop guidelines, **169**

Reliability, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. I: reliability in two patient based studies, 180

Responsiveness, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. II: responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, 186

Scotland, Scottish Confidential Inquiry into Asthma Deaths: letter,

SF 36 health survey questionnaire, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. I. Reliability in two patient based studies, 180: II. Responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, 186

Smoking, Effectiveness of training health professionals to provide smoking cessation interventions: systematic review of randomised controlled trials, 193

Stroke, Views of survivors of stroke on benefits of physiotherapy,

Surgery, A stitch in time: case for assessing the burden of delayed surgery, 221

Team quality system, Multidisciplinary audit in primary healthcare teams: facilitation by audit support staff, **164**

Thrombolysis, Audit of thrombolysis initiated in an accident and emergency department, 29

Total hip replacement, Total hip replacement, 114

Total quality management

Developments in total quality management in the United States: the Intermountain Health Care perspective, 20 (S)

Quality: the Jurassic Park experience, 35 (S)

Training, Effectiveness of training health professionals to provide smoking cessation interventions: systematic review of randomised controlled trials, 193

United States

Changing systems of external monitoring of quality of health care in the United States, 102

Developments in total quality management in the United States: the Intermountain Health Care perspective, 20 (S)

External monitoring of quality of health care in the United States, 97

The purchaser role in provider quality: lessons from the United States: editorial, 65

Users, Role of users of health care in achieving a quality service, 203

Varicose veins, SF 36 health survey questionnaire. II: Responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions, 186

Warfarin, Evaluation of patients' knowledge about anticoagulant treatment. 79: letter. 230

"Which" guide, Appraising clinical guidelines: towards a "Which" guide for purchasers: editorial, 121