

**Appendix Table. Individual studies describing implementation of patient/family engagement as part of a multi-factorial PSP**

<b><i>Author, year</i></b>	<b><i>Main study objective</i></b>	<b><i>Implementation Themes</i></b>
<i>Hand-hygiene intervention studies</i>		
McGuckin, 2011 <sup>27</sup>	Review of patient empowerment motivating strategies in hand hygiene intervention	Tools: educational tools, motivation and reminder tools, and role modeling  Facilitators/barriers: Social barrier of patient to confront health care workers; Lack of evidence of effectiveness
McGuckin and colleagues, 2001 <sup>28</sup>	Evaluation in acute care hospital of patient education behavioral model for increasing HH compliance and empowering the patient	Tools: visit from educational staff; brochure; prompting aids  Facilitators/barriers: Support from management; barrier of patient to confront health care workers; Greater willingness to ask nurses than physicians
Landers et al, 2012 <sup>29</sup>	Review of patient factors associated with willingness to encourage health care workers to perform hand washing	Facilitators: extroverted patient, belief that patient can control HCW's behavior, younger patient age, awareness of severity of health care associated infections, invitation by HCW to discuss HH  Barriers: older age and trust that HCW would perform hand washing
<i>Hospital-acquired infection studies</i>		
Hart, R. 2012 <sup>30</sup>	Empower patients to address lapses in health care associated infection prevention	Tools: poster in room, patient reminding  Facilitators: Reinforcement of poster by other elements of intervention (catheter care, gowning, etc.)
<i>Rapid Response System intervention studies</i>		

Ray, 2009 <sup>31</sup>	To implement a pediatric RRS based on direct family activation	<p>Tools: direct telephone number to reach the RRS which families could reach from any room in the hospital, posters, flyers</p> <p>Staff/education: mock script to help medical team discuss RRS activation with patients/families</p> <p>Facilitators/barriers: physicians concerned that their role would be undermined; providers' understanding of RRS as extension of care they already provide</p>
Dean, 2008 <sup>32</sup>	To integrate patients and families into an RRS at a children's hospital	<p>Tools: telephone number to activate RRS available to patients/families 24 hours, 7 days a week</p> <p>Staff/education: explanation by admitting unit's nurse to patient and family, reinforced by video and brochure</p> <p>Facilitators/barriers: leadership, provider involvement</p>
Gerdik, 2010 <sup>33</sup>	To implement a patient/family-activated RRS at an acute care hospital	<p>Tools: dedicated phone line</p> <p>Staff/education: patient and family education</p> <p>Facilitators/barriers: concern that resources would be overwhelmed; endorsement of hospital administration, physicians, and staff</p>
<i>Falls prevention intervention studies</i>		

Krauss, 2008 <sup>34</sup>	To implement an educational intervention among nursing staff, nursing secretaries, and patient care technicians to reduce falls in an academic hospital	<p>Tools: educating all patients and families in fall prevention, patient pamphlets</p> <p>Staff/education: Nurses, patient care technicians, and unit secretaries participated in education modules</p> <p>Facilitators/barriers: staff turnover; high patient-to-nurse ratios; high patient turnover or high patient volume; competing demands on nursing staff; lack of buy-in from staff</p>
van Gaal, 2011 <sup>35</sup>	To implement a multi-component intervention, including patient involvement, to reduce the risk of pressure ulcers, falls, and urinary tract infections in ten wards from four hospitals and ten wards from six nursing homes	<p>Tools: education, patient involvement and feedback on process and outcome</p> <p>Staff/education: Key nurses on each unit implemented small-scale educational program, two case discussions on every ward, and distributed CD-ROM with educational material</p> <p>Facilitators/barriers: complexity of intervention</p>
<i>Surgical checklist intervention studies</i>		
Bergal, 2010 <sup>39</sup>	To investigate patient compliance in marking surgical site	<p>Tools: verbal and written instructions to mark surgical site, marking pen provided; assessment for compliance on day of surgery</p> <p>Barriers/facilitators: patients' primary language, cultural tendency to rely on physicians, younger patient age, time between enrollment and surgery</p>

DiGiovanni, 2003 <sup>40</sup>	To investigate patient compliance in marking surgical site	Tools: written instructions to mark limb NOT to be operated on; assessment for compliance on day of surgery
<i>VAP reduction intervention studies</i>		
DeJulio, PA 2012 <sup>41</sup>	To implement a multi-component intervention, including family involvement, to reduce the rate of ventilator-associated pneumonia on an ICU	Tools: Education of nurses regarding importance of family education; family education about VAP prevention initiative