

Supplement A: validated instruments used in this study

	Measured concepts	Number of items	Scale of item measurement	Range
Moral distress scale Revised version (MDS-R)	Moral distress	21	0-4 Likert scale on frequency and intensity of examples of morally distressing events	0-336
MBI-NL/Utrecht Burnout Scale for health care personnel (UBOS-C)	Emotional exhaustion	8	0-6 Likert scale (frequency)	0-6
	Depersonalization	5	0-6 Likert scale (frequency)	0-6
	Personal accomplishment	7	0-6 Likert scale (frequency)	0-6
Short Big Five Inventory (BFI-XS)	Agreeableness	3	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
	Conscientiousness	3	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
	Extraversion	3	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
	Neuroticism	3	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
	Openness	3	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
Survey Work-home interaction Nijmegen (SWING)	Negative work-home interaction	3	0-4 Likert scale (frequency)	0-4
	Negative home-work interaction	3	0-4 Likert scale (frequency)	0-4
Culture of Care Barometer (CoCB)	Organizational values	12	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
	Managerial support	11	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
	Relationships with colleagues	4	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
	Absence of job constraints	3	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5
Teamwork Climate Inventory (TCI) from the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire (SAQ)	Teamwork Climate	6	1-5 Likert scale (applicability)	1-5

Supplement B: Cross-study comparison of prevalence estimates, using ten different methods for estimating BOS-prevalence

Method	Country	Study	Discipline	Reported prevalence %	Total prevalence Nijmegen %	Prevalence physicians Nijmegen (n=53)	Prevalence nurses Nijmegen (n=194)
Pre-defined cut off values							
Cumulative MBI > -9	France	Embriaco et al, 2007	Intensive care	46.5	22.7	13.2	25.8
	France	Poncet et al, 2007	Intensive care	32.8			
	Switzerland	Merlani et al, 2011	Intensive care	29			
	Switzerland	Ricoe et al, 2018	Intensive care	32 / 24 ^b			
	Switzerland	Verdon et al, 2008	Intensive care	28			
MBI-HSS EE ≥ 27 AND/OR DP ≥ 10	Denmark	Pedersen et al, 2018	General Practice	25.0	11.6	20.8	9.3
	United States	Shanafelt et al, 2015	Multiple	54.4			
	United States	Shanafelt et al, 2012	Multiple	45.4			
	United States	Shanafelt et al, 2009	Internal medicine	34.0			
MBI EE > 30 OR DEP > 12 OR > PA < 33	France	Quenot et al, 2012	Intensive care	28 / 14 ^b	36.7	20.8 ^a	41.8 ^a
MBI-HSS EE ≥ 25, DP ≥ 10 AND PA ≤ 32	Portugal	Pereira et al, 2016	Intensive care	9.0	2.8	3.8	2.6
	Portugal	Teixeira et al, 2013	Intensive care	9.0			
MBI-HSS EE ≥ 27, DP ≥ 10 AND PA ≤ 33	Denmark	Brøndt et al, 2008	General practice	2.6	2.8	3.8	2.6
	Denmark	Pedersen et al, 2013	General practice	2.6			
	Denmark	Pedersen et al, 2016	Multiple	4.8			
	France	Lesage et al, 2013	Occupational medicine	11.8			
	Germany	Pantenburg et al, 2016	Multiple	10.9			
	Switzerland	Arigoni et al, 2009	Multiple	6			
	Switzerland	Goehring et al, 2005	Primary care	3.5			
UBOS-C EE ≥ 2.38, DP ≥ 1.6 (women)/DP ≥ 1.8 (men), and PA ≤ 3.7	Netherlands	Meynaar et al, 2016	Intensive care	4.4	6.6	5.7	7.0
UBOS-C EE ≥ 2.5, DP ≥ 1.6 (women)/DP ≥ 1.8 (men), and PA ≤ 3.7	Belgium	Vandenbroeck et al, 2017	Multiple	5.1	2.0	3.8	1.6
Percentile-based cut off values							
MBI-GS EE > top tertile AND DP > top tertile	UK	Upton et al, 2012	Multiple	19.8	20.8	18.4	21.9
MBI-HSS EE > top quartile, DP > top quartile AND PA < lowest quartile	Spain	Riquelme et al, 2018	Multiple	7.3	6.4	5.7	6.7
UBOS-C EE > top quartile AND DP > top quartile OR PA < lowest quartile	Netherlands	Twellaar et al, 2008	General practice	19.5	16.7	15.1	17.5
	Netherlands	Van der Wal et al, 2016	Anesthesia	19.8			

^asignificantly different according to Fisher's exact test (p < .01).

^b These studies reported pre- and post-intervention prevalence.

Supplement C: Scores of emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation and personal accomplishment categorized into subgroups of respondents without burnout symptoms and respondents with burnout symptoms using the estimation method of a cumulative MBI > -9

Subdomain of burnout	Respondents without indication for burnout symptoms (N=194)	Respondents with indication for burnout symptoms (N=57)
Emotional exhaustion, mean (SD)	.94 (.52)	2.23 (.86)
Depersonalisation, mean (SD)	.65 (.54)	1.52 (.79)
Personal accomplishment, mean (SD)	4.57 (.65)	3.60 (.79)
Percentage (%) of total (N=251)	77.3%	22.7%

Supplement D: Missing data analysis

Variable	Missing count	Missing percent
Sex	0	-
Age	6	2.4%
Years in current job	5	2.0%
Working hours per week	1	.4%
Pre-school or young child at home	0	-
Partner	13	5.2%
Profession	4	1.6%
Burnout syndrome		
Emotional exhaustion	0	-
Depersonalisation	0	-
Personal accomplishment	0	-
Moral distress	20	8.0%
Personality		
Agreeableness	4	1.6%
Conscientiousness	3	1.25
Extraversion	0	-
Neuroticism	4	1.6%
Openness	2	.8%
Work-home balance		
Negative work-to-home spill over	1	.4%
Negative home-to-work spill over	3	1.2%
Culture of care ¹		
Organisational values	74	29.5%
Support from supervisor	74	29.5%
Relationships with colleagues	71	28.3%
Absence of job constraints	71	32.7%
Teamwork Climate	82	32.7%

¹The many missing values on 'culture of care' were due to the fact that the data were gathered three months prior to this study

Supplement E: Univariate and multivariable linear regression analysis for emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and personal accomplishment with culture included in the multivariable model

	Emotional exhaustion		Depersonalisation		Personal accomplishment	
	N = 251	N = 122	N = 251	N = 122	N = 251	N = 122
	Univariate β [95% CI]	Multivariable β [95% CI]	Univariate β [95% CI]	Multivariable β [95% CI]	Univariate β [95% CI]	Multivariable β [95% CI]
Constant	-	1.41 [.87 to 1.96]	-	1.01 [.45 to 1.58]	-	4.35 [3.66 to 5.04]
Moral distress¹	.33 [.23 to .42]	.23 [.11 to .35]	.23 [.15 to .32]	.23 [.10 to .36]	-.14 [-.24 to -.04]	-.09 [-.24 to .07]
Sociodemographics						
Sex (0=male)	.08 [-.15 to .31]	-.15 [-.47 to .16]	-.22 [-.42 to -.02]	-.26 [-.59 to .06]	-.21 [-.43 to .01]	.07 [-.33 to .46]
Age ¹	-.10 [-.20 to .00]	-.07 [-.25 to .12]	-.20 [-.28 to -.11]	-.31 [-.50 to -.11]	-.10 [-.20 to -.00]	.00 [-.23 to .24]
Years of experience in current job ¹	-.07 [-.17 to .03]	.00 [-.17 to .17]	-.17 [-.25 to -.08]	.14 [-.04 to .31]	-.09 [-.19 to .00]	.08 [-.13 to .30]
Working hours per week ¹	-.04 [-.15 to .06]	-.02 [-.21 to .17]	.12 [.03 to .20]	.02 [-.17 to .22]	.12 [.03 to .22]	.31 [.07 to .55]
Pre-school or Young child at home (0=no)	.09 [-.18 to .36]	-.20 [-.50 to .09]	.20 [-.03 to .44]	-.21 [-.52 to .10]	.13 [0.13 to .39]	.33 [-.05 to .71]
Partner (0=yes) ³	-.15 [-.42 to .12]	-.09 [-.19 to .38]	-.21 [-.45 to .02]	.10 [-.19 to .40]	-.05 [-.32 to .22]	-.24 [-.60 to .12]
Profession (0=physician, 1=nurse)	.07 [-.18 to .32]	-.08 [-.49 to .33]	-.32 [-.53 to -.10]	-.20 [-.63 to .23]	-.33 [-.57 to -.09]	-.10 [-.63 to .42]
Personality						
Agreeableness ¹	-.13 [-.23 to -.03]	.01 [-.10 to .13]	-.14 [-.23 to -.05]	-.10 [-.22 to .03]	.20 [.10 to .30]	.19 [.04 to .34]
Conscientiousness ¹	-.05 [-.15 to .05]	-.02 [-.14 to .11]	-.11 [-.19 to -.02]	-.08 [-.21 to .05]	.18 [.08 to .28]	.11 [-.05 to .27]
Extraversion ¹	-.12 [-.22 to -.02]	.00 [-.12 to .12]	-.07 [-.16 to .01]	-.06 [-.19 to .06]	.21 [.11 to .30]	.08 [-.08 to .23]
Neuroticism ¹	.32 [.23 to .41]	.14 [.02 to .26]	.07 [-.01 to .16]	-.01 [-.114 to .11]	-.12 [-.22 to -.02]	-.05 [-.20 to .11]
Openness ¹	.04 [-.06 to .14]	-.02 [-.12 to .08]	-.00 [-.09 to .08]	-.02 [-.14 to .07]	.13 [.03 to .22]	.16 [.03 to .29]
Work-home balance						
Negative work-to-home spill over ¹	.53 [.46 to .61]	.32 [.18 to .46]	.30 [.22 to .38]	.09 [-.06 to .24]	-.10 [-.20 to .00]	-.10 [-.28 to .09]
Negative home-to-work spill over ¹	.40 [.31 to .49]	.05 [-.08 to .17]	.29 [.21 to .37]	.13 [.00 to .25]	-.18 [-.28 to -.08]	-.04 [-.20 to .11]
Culture						
Organisational values	-.09 [-.21 to .03]	-.09 [-.35 to .16]	-.05 [-.15 to .05]	.13 [-.14 to .393]	.08 [-.03 to .20]	-.12 [-.44 to .20]
Support from supervisor	-.07 [-.19 to .04]	-.06 [-.33 to .22]	-.05 [-.15 to .05]	-.23 [-.51 to .06]	.07 [-.04 to .19]	-.10 [-.45 to .25]
Relationship with colleagues	-.07 [-.18 to .05]	.04 [-.19 to .27]	-.02 [-.12 to .08]	.11 [-.13 to .34]	.04 [-.08 to .15]	.06 [-.23 to .35]
Absence of job constraints	-.08 [-.20 to .04]	-.13 [-.24 to .31]	-.00 [-.10 to .11]	.16 [-.06 to .37]	.06 [-.05 to .17]	.20 [-.06 to .47]
Teamwork climate	-.01 [-.13 to .11]	.07 [-.07 to .21]	.06 [-.05 to .16]	.13 [-.02 to .28]	.19 [.07 to .30]	.04 [-.14 to .22]
Fixed effects Dummies (0=ICU 1)						
ICU 2	-	.04 [-.24 to .31]	-	.16 [-.13 to .45]	-	-.01 [-.37 to .34]
ICU 3	-	.04 [-.41 to .49]	-	.15 [-.31 to .62]	-	.42 [-.15 to 1.00]
ICU 4	-	-.09 [-.61 to .43]	-	-.04 [-.58 to .50]	-	-.12 [-.78 to .54]
ICU 5	-	.08 [-.38 to .55]	-	-.12 [-.61 to .37]	-	.42 [-.18 to 1.01]
ICU 6	-	-.21 [-.78 to .36]	-	.63 [.04 to 1.22]	-	.30 [-.43 to 1.03]
Model R-squared²		.51*		.33*		.31*

¹ Independent variable scales have been transformed into z-score scales.

² Significant results are shown in bold, *= $p < .001$.

References

1. Embriaco N, Azoulay E, Barrau K, et al: High Level of Burnout in Intensivists: Prevalence and Associated Factors. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 175:686-692.
2. Poncet MC, Toullic P, Papazian L, et al: Burnout Syndrome in Critical Care Nursing Staff. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 175:698-704.
3. Merlani P, Verdon M, Businger A, et al: Burnout in ICU Caregivers: A Multicenter Study of Factors Associated to Centers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011 184:1140-1146.
4. Ricoe B, Gigon F, Durand-Steiner E, et al: Initiative for Burnout of ICU Caregivers: Feasibility and Preliminary Results of a Psychological Support. *J Intensive Care Med* 2018, doi: 10.1177/0885066618768223
5. Verdon M, Merlani P, Perneger T, et al: Burnout in a surgical team. *Intensive Care Med* 2008; 34:152-156.
6. Pedersen AF, Ingeman ML, Vedsted P: Empathy, burn-out and the use of gut feeling: a cross-sectional survey of Danish general practitioners. *BMJ Open* 2018; 8, doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020007
7. Shanafelt TD, Boone S, Tan L, et al: Burnout and Satisfaction with Work-Life Balance Among US Physicians Relative to the General Population. *Arch Intern Med* 2012; 172:1377-1385
8. Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Dyrbye LN, et al: Changes in Burnout and Satisfaction with Work-Life Balance in Physicians and the General US Working Population Between 2011 and 2014. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2015; 90:1600-1613.
9. Shanafelt TD, West CP, Sloan JA, et al: Career fit and burnout among academic faculty. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169:990-995.
10. Quenot JP, Rigaud JP, Prin S, et al: Suffering among carers working in critical care can be reduced by an intensive communication strategy on end-of-life-practices. *Intensive Care Med* 2012; 38:55-61.
11. Pereira SM, Teixeira CM, Carvalho AS, et al: Compared to Palliative Care, Working in Intensive Care More than Doubles the Chances of Burnout: Results from a Nationwide Comparative Study. *Plos One* 2016; 11, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0162340.
12. Teixeira CM, Ribeiro O, Fonseca AM, et al: Burnout in intensive care units – a consideration of the possible prevalence and frequency of new risk factors: a descriptive correlational multicentre study. *BMC anesthesiol* 2013; 13, doi: 10.1186/1471-2253-13-38.
13. Brøndt A, Sokolowski I, Olesen F, et al: Continuing medical education and burnout among Danish GPs. *Br J Gen Pract* 2008; 58:15-19.
14. Pedersen AF, Andersen CM, Olesen F, et al: Risk of burnout in Danish GPs and exploration of factors associated with development of burnout: a two-wave panel study. *Int J Family Med* 2013, doi: 10.1155/2013/603713
15. Pedersen AF, Sørensen JK, Bruun NH, et al: Risky alcohol use in Danish physicians: associated with alexithymia and burnout? *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2016; 160:119-126.
16. Lesage FX, Berjot S, Altintas E, et al: Burnout among occupational physicians: a threat to occupational health systems? a nationwide cross-sectional survey. *Ann Occup Hyg* 2013; 57:913-919.
17. Pantenburg B, Lupp M, König HH, et al: Burnout among young physicians and its association with physicians' wishes to leave: results of a survey in Saxony, Germany. *J Occup Med Toxicol* 2016; 11, doi:10.1186/s12995-016-0091-z
18. Arigoni F, Bovier PA, Mermillod B, et al: Prevalence of burnout among Swiss cancer clinicians, paediatricians and general practitioners: who are most at risk? *Support Care Cancer* 2009; 17:75-81.
19. Goehring C, Bouvier Gallacchi M, Künzi B, et al: Psychosocial and professional characteristics of burnout in Swiss primary care practitioners: a cross-sectional survey. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2005; 135:101-108.
20. Meynaar I, Van Saase JLCM, Feberwee T: Burnout among Dutch intensivists – a nationwide survey. *Neth J Crit Care* 2016 24:12-17.
21. Vandebroek S, Van Gerven E, De Witte H, et al: Burnout in Belgian physicians and nurses. *Occup Med* 2017; 67:546-554.
22. Upton D, Mason V, Doran B, et al: The experience of burnout across different surgical specialties in the United Kingdom: a cross-sectional survey. *Surgery* 2012; 151:493-501.

23. Riquelme I, Chacón J-I, Gándara A-V, et al: Prevalence of burnout among pain medicine physicians and its potential effect upon clinical outcomes in patients with oncologic pain or chronic pain of nononcologic origin. *Pain Med* 2018; doi: 10.1093/pm/pnx335
24. Twellaar M, Winants Y, Houkes I: How healthy are Dutch general practitioners? self-reported (mental) health among Dutch general practitioners. *Eur J Gen Pract* 2018; 14:4-9.
25. Van der Wal RAB, Bucx MJL, Hendriks JCM, et al: Psychological distress, burnout and personality traits in Dutch anaesthesiologists. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2016; 33:179-186.